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### 1.1 Project Proposal

This is a proposal for partnership working between Nottinghamshire County Council as disposal authority, and the seven collection authorities in Nottinghamshire to implement the agreed Waste Strategy by means of a longterm service contract procured through the Private Finance Initiative. The project is also consistent with the Council's priorities in its Strategic Plan and the outcome of the Best Value review of Waste Management.

The proposal is unique in that procurement has commenced through a Public Private Partnership (PPP) with a resourced project team in place. There is substantial market interest in the project. Legal opinion has confirmed the Contract Notice allows the Private Finance Initiative (PFI) option to be developed.

It is now clear from revised cost assumptions derived from market consultation and review of the likely implications of the Prime Minister's Strategy Unit (PMSU) report that additional funding is required to deliver challenging targets for recycling, composting and significantly reduce the use of landfill. The aim of this project, therefore, is to exceed national waste strategy targets and best value targets for recycling and composting.

The overall key aims of this PFI project proposal are to:

- Reinforce with contractual effect the long term partnership working between the partners to deliver effective and challenging waste recycling and recovery targets;
- Use this partnership approach, augmented by the expertise and resources of the private sector, to reduce the anticipated waste growth rate from $3 \%$ to $1.5 \%$ per annum reducing to $0 \%$ growth as the impacts of national waste minimisation initiatives take effect;
- Progressively introduce a programme to recycle and compost $>40 \%$ of the waste by $2010,>50 \%$ by 2015 with a robust improvement programme aimed at achieving year on year improvements in recycling/composting rates to achieve a level of $>55 \%$ by the end of the contract;
- Progressively recover additional waste between 2010 and 2020 to increase the total amount of recovery from $\sim 60 \%$ in 2010 to $\sim 70 \%$ by 2015 and $\sim 75 \%$ by 2020;
- As a result, deliver a sustainable waste management solution consistent with the recommendations of the PMSU report and which reduces the amount of Biodegradable Municipal Waste delivered to landfill to a level that exceed the requirements of the landfill directive.

This project will require substantial investment in new infrastructure as well as a significant increase in operating costs. PFI Credits of $£ 31.93$ million are thus being sought to deliver these challenging targets for Nottinghamshire. At this level, there will still be an "affordability gap" averaging around £1m per annum (=7\%) over and above existing budgets in respect of revenue costs which the Council is committed to funding from its own resources.

At present, some 50,000 tonnes per annum of waste is contractually required to be delivered to an existing Energy from Waste facility at Eastcroft within the City of Nottingham until 2030. This project therefore focuses on ensuring the sustainable management of the remaining waste, principally through investment in recycling and composting.

### 1.2 Preferred Option

A number of options ranging from maintaining the status quo to achieving the highest practicable levels of recycling and recovery have been developed. These have been assessed against statutory, financial, and sustainability criteria, and an Enhanced Performance model has been defined as the preferred option or Reference Project comprising:

- 2 Material Recycling Facilities to manage up to 150,000 tonnes pa of kerbside segregated, HWRC and bring site dry recyclables from 2005.
- A Windrow green waste composter to manage up to 40,000 tonnes pa of kerbside collected and HWRC segregated green waste from 2005.
- An In Vessel composter to manage up to 15,000 tonnes pa of kerbside collected mixed organic waste from 2010.
- Additional non thermal recycling and recovery facilities to recover value from 190,000 tonnes pa of residual waste from 2010.

This Reference Project has a NPV over the 26 year contract duration of approximately $£ 300$ million. The comparison of a risk adjusted PCS with a private sector option has confirmed that the PFI option delivers Value for Money by approximately $£ 15$ million.

Financial analysis has confirmed an average affordability gap of around £1million per annum that the Council is committed to closing through enhanced minimisation, recycling and composting performance, or additional budgetary provision. The project has been confirmed as FRS5 compliant by independent assessment

### 1.3 Support and Commitment

The County Council is fully committed to providing a sustainable and cost effective waste disposal service throughout Nottinghamshire, which meets all national and international targets. In doing so both officers and members
recognise the need to deliver value for money services and show continuous improvement in service delivery to the public and other stakeholders.

The County commissioned a report in 1999 to look at existing waste collection and disposal methodology, and consider how this could be improved using best practice from across the United Kingdom and worldwide. The recommendations of the report informed the development of a "Draft Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Nottinghamshire" published in Autumn 2000. Following consultation with stakeholders it was adopted as the future model for waste management in the County. A copy of this Strategy is included at Appendix 1.

By tackling these important issues both Members and Officers became increasingly aware of the importance of waste, the rising costs in delivering effective solutions and meeting national targets. This set the debate on constructing options to deliver solutions and the costs associated. It also emphasised the need for integrated partnership working. The County Council has in real terms been increasing its waste budget in taking a proactive stance in delivering waste solutions. In addition, the need for a long term service contract was recognised which forms the basis of this project proposal.

Members have shown considerable support to the preparation of this project proposal. Cabinet confirmed their support at its meeting on 4 June 2003 and a letter expressing the Councils support and Commitment from the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Councillor Terry Butler, is attached at Appendix 2

The Nottinghamshire Waste Partnership consists of 8 authorities as detailed in Appendix 3. These include the County Council who act as the lead authority, and the seven district/borough WCA's. Each recognise the need to put effective long-term strategies in place to meet legislative, best value and consumer demand targets for reducing the production and treatment of household wastes. It is also recognised that markets are continually changing, as are the technology options for dealing with wastes. This reinforced the need to work in partnership to deliver a long-term sustainable waste strategy.

A Public Service Agreement (PSA) has also been entered into by the County Council together with the Waste Collection Authorities (WCA's) to achieve challenging stretch targets in each of their administrative areas and across the County as a whole. These targets, which require an increase of $3 \%$ on those contained in "Waste Strategy 2000", are supported by a programme of improvements in collection systems, and a significant education and awareness raising campaign undertaken by the partners.

Soft market testing by the way of consultation with stakeholders has shown wide public support for the proposals contained within the draft Municipal Waste Strategy for Nottinghamshire, in particular the extension of kerbside collection schemes, the construction of facilities to segregate and recycle materials, and an increase in composting of green waste, all received a very positive response.

### 1.4 Delivering the Project

As stated in the introduction, this project is a unique proposal in that procurement through a Public Private Partnership has commenced and reached shortlisted bidder stage. Significant market interest has been demonstrated and each bidder is aware of the Council's proposal to submit an Outline Business Case to secure provisional PFI credits. They also support this course of action.

It is agreed that the project will not proceed to formal Invitation to Negotiate stage until the application for PFI credits has been determined. The existing PPP project has followed the methodology for delivering a PFI solution through the negotiated procedure. The draft ITN and Project Agreement are prepared. The project agreement was confirmed to bidders under the PPP as needing to be SoPC compliant.

A project team including external advisors is in place and supported by 4 ps . This is supplemented by ongoing liaison with the district council partners and this relationship will be an important part of the evaluation of ITN Bids. A project timetable is set out in the main text.

This project has significant market interest, a dedicated project team, partnership support and the opportunity of a signed contract by April 2004.

## 2 STRATEGIC CONTEXT

### 2.1 Background

Nottinghamshire County Council is the $11^{\text {th }}$ largest local authority in the United Kingdom and is geographically diverse with a mix of rural and urban areas, market towns and villages spread over 805 square miles with a population of 750,000 . The County Council area is two tier with 4 Districts, Ashfield, Bassetlaw, Mansfield, and Newark and Sherwood, and 3 Boroughs, Broxtowe, Gedling and Rushcliffe.

The other council in Nottinghamshire is Nottingham City Council, a Unitary Authority with an established contractual arrangement for disposal of the majority of its waste to an existing Energy from Waste (EfW) Plant until 2030. The City Council does not, therefore, form part of this PFI procurement process.


### 2.2 Existing Waste Flows

In Nottinghamshire as a whole some 580,000 tonnes of municipal solid waste (MSW) is produced each year of which 450,000 tonnes is managed by the partnership.

Of this 450,000 tonnes 50,000 tonnes is also committed to the existing EfW facility through a historic joint long term contract, and only the remaining 400,000 tonnes is considered as part of this PFI proposal.

Nottinghamshire County Council (The County) is a Waste Disposal Authority (WDA) with a statutory duty under the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (EPA) and its attendant subordinate legislation to make arrangements for the disposal of Municipal Waste collected by the Waste Collection Authorities (WCA's) in their areas.

Waste in Nottinghamshire is collected by the 7 District and Borough Councils (See Appendix 3) as WCA's, whose functions as waste collection authorities are governed by section 48 of the EPA. The County is required to dispose of all waste delivered to it by the District Councils as Collection Authorities. The collection services are all operated by the Direct Service/Labour Organisations (DSO/DLO), of the council concerned.

The County Council has a Strategic Plan 2001-2005 "Building a Future". This Strategic Plan has waste as a top priority under its commitment to "safeguard the natural and built environment, and work for more and better public transport options, better roads, more recycling and less waste", and includes a key target to double the recycling rate in the County from 8\% in 1998/99 to 16\% in 2003/04.

### 2.3 Waste Strategy

Recognising the importance of waste within the County a report was commissioned in 1999 to look at existing waste collection and disposal methodology, and consider how this could be improved using best practice from across the United Kingdom and worldwide.

This report, by Enviros Aspinwall, recommended a series of options to help the Partners meet potential challenging recycling and recovery targets in the years ahead. These options informed the development of a "Draft Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Nottinghamshire" which was published in Autumn 2000 and following consultation with stakeholders was adopted as the model for waste disposal in the County. A copy of this Strategy is included at Appendix 1.

The key outputs required in delivering the Waste Management Strategy form the basis of this project in addition to other initiatives already in place or being delivered.

A Best Value assessment of the existing waste service was carried out in July 2002 and the details of this report are covered later in this OBC, and a copy of the summary report and recommendations is included at Appendix 4.

### 2.4 Waste Management in Nottinghamshire

Overall recycling performance within Nottinghamshire has to date been only average, despite some very good recycling initiatives from specific Boroughs and the county itself.

Detailed below are the actual performance figures for each District/Borough and the County for 2002/03, together with the targets for 2003/04 and 2004/05.

In Nottinghamshire these individual recycling and composting targets were set in light of the previous recycling performance, however the 2004/05 target has now been stretched by $3 \%$ as a result of the Public Service Agreement, to 27\% Countywide (previously 24\%).

|  | 2002-03 |  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AUTHORITY | RECYCLING | \% | \% | \% |
|  | TONNAGE |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ASHFIELD | 2,441 | 5 | 8 | 21 |
| BASSETLAW | 3,237 | 6 | 8 | 24 |
| BROXTOWE | 4,625 | 11 | 8 | 21 |
| GEDLING | 7,043 | 15 | 14 | 24 |
| MANSFIELD | 1,632 | 4 | 8 | 21 |
| NEWARK | 2,264 | 5 | 8 | 21 |
| RUSHCLIFFE | 5,890 | 15 | 12 | 21 |
| DISTRICT TOTAL | 27,132 | 9 | 9 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| COUNTY TOTAL | 43,716 | 47 | 47 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| COUNTYWIDE TOTAL | 70,848 | 17 | 17 | 27 |

In order to achieve the stretch targets a major step change in recycling performance is required from many of the WCA's. This is being achieved by the introduction of twin bin dry recyclable collections on a trial basis across all areas during the coming months, with a commitment to roll out the system from most authorities in due course. In addition several authorities are proposing seasonal green waste trials following the lead set by Rushcliffe Borough Council.

Several of the Districts received funding from DEFRA for the provision of the additional wheeled bins, and the County Council is making funding available via the PSA to purchase further bins as necessary.

The County Council is also working alongside the WCA's to develop and market twin bin collection regimes via a dedicated Recycling Officer with extensive experience in introducing such systems. This includes advising on leaflets and promotional literature, planning and undertaking roadshows, and liasing with the collection workforce to raise awareness and improve customer care. A second Recycling Officer works mainly with businesses, schools and the public to address minimisation and awareness issues at the grass roots level.

### 2.5 National Policy Framework

Underpinning all of these local activities is a strategic context defined by various EU and National policy documents:

The National Waste Management Strategy - 'Waste Strategy 2000', supports the need for the development of more sustainable waste management processes and sets, amongst other things, specific targets for recycling, recovery and diversion from landfill.

The Best Practicable Environmental Option is the key consideration, encompassing the waste hierarchy and the proximity principle to ensure waste is minimised or treated in an appropriate way and, wherever possible, as close to source as practicable.

The Government considers that Energy from Waste (EfW) plants have a role to play in a system of integrated sustainable waste management, however since the County is currently served by an EfW plant under an existing contract running until 2030, any further EfW options have been excluded from this proposal.

The key national (average) targets within "Waste Strategy 2000" are:

- to recover value from $40 \%$ of municipal waste with at least $25 \%$ of household waste recycled or composted by 2005;
- to recover value from $45 \%$ of municipal waste with at least $30 \%$ of household waste recycled or composted by 2010;

The EU Landfill Directive defines diversion targets for the biodegradable fraction of municipal solid waste from landfill disposal and sets the following targets:

- by 2010 to reduce biodegradable municipal waste landfilled to $75 \%$ of that produced in 1995
- by 2013 to reduce biodegradable municipal waste landfilled to $50 \%$ of that produced in 1995
- by 2020 to reduce biodegradable municipal waste landfilled to $35 \%$ of that produced in 1995

The above targets are used to define the "Meet Current Targets" option within this OBC.

In addition the Prime Minister's Strategy Unit (PMSU) has recently undertaken a review of waste management in England in order to identify and propose solutions to the barriers associated with complying with Waste Strategy 2000 and the Landfill Directive under the title "Waste Not, Want Not".

The Government in it's response to this report does "recognise that national recycling rates higher than the current targets are both possible and
desirable", and will review the national targets in 2004 in light of 2003/04 performance.

The targets in the initial report, which are likely to become statutory from 2004, are therefore:

- Recycle 35\% by 2010
- Recycle $45 \%$ by 2015

All of these drivers define a framework for maximising waste recycling, as far as is practicable, through recovering materials for beneficial reuse. Where materials cannot be recovered, energy recovery should be maximised. Only then should waste residues be disposed to landfill with appropriate pretreatment to comply with the requirements of the landfill directive. Best Value targets are being progressively set to ensure that these objectives are met.

The Councils recognise that these targets represent a programme of continual improvement, which need to be embodied into a contract of this type and duration.

The Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Nottinghamshire supports all of these strategic documents and forms a local focus to the development of the facilities and services necessary to meet the proposed targets.

### 2.6 Vires

By virtue of the EPA the County is empowered and indeed bound to arrange for the disposal of waste. Under s 52 and as described in Part II of Schedule 2 of the Act, however, the Disposal Authorities may not dispose of the waste themselves (although they may hold assets for the purpose). Rather, Disposal Authorities must contract with a waste disposal contractor. Disposal Authorities must also provide civic amenity sites for local residents, such facilities being known in Nottinghamshire as Household Waste and Recycling Centres (HWRC's)

In addition to the primary empowering act, the Partners are bound by the duty to secure "Best Value" as set out in the Local Government Act 1999 and in the various circulars issued pursuant to it. Most recently the County will be bound to carry out its procurement in accordance with Circular 03/03. The County's approach to Best Value and their inspection reports are considered elsewhere in this OBC

S2 Local Government Act 2000 empowers local authorities to do anything which is not expressly prohibited in another statute and which will promote well-being in their areas. This section is relied on widely by local Government in addition to s 111 of the Local Government Act 1972 but such reliance is unnecessary in this case given the primary power is express in the EPA. Nevertheless the partnership between the County and Districts reflects true joint working across a number of agencies in the spirit of the well-being provisions

The contract let will be certifiable under the Local Government (Contracts) Act 1997

The tendering procedure has been carried out pursuant to a number of regulatory regimes:

- The Public Services Contracts Regulations 1993
- S18-21 EPA Sch 2 part II
- The Councils' standing orders and codes of practice
- The process adopted to date is as follows:
- EC/ Trade Adverts (OJEC)
- PQQ
- Expressions of Interest and initial Shortlisting
- Bidders' Conference
- Invitation to Submit Outline Proposals
- Evaluation and further Shortlisting
- ITN (currently in draft pending determination of credits)


### 2.7 Fair Competition and Non-Discrimination

It should be emphasised that the application for PFI credits and the Outline Proposals to date will not be used as a way to distort competition nor favour/disadvantage any party. This is particularly important in the light of s18 of Schedule 2 Part II of the EPA that provides that documents must not discriminate against one type of provider.

While the outline proposals have been helpful in clarifying the feasibility of the Councils' objectives and has flushed out some important considerations and concerns which the Councils are now able to address, this OBC and the application for credits is made against a business case formed out of the Councils' preferred procurement option and needs rather than any particular solution. The Councils have not in any way predetermined the outcome of the tendering exercise.

## 3 EXISTING SERVICE PROVISION

### 3.1 History

The existing waste treatment and disposal regime in Nottinghamshire is founded on an abundance of suitable Landfill sites in and around the County as a result of extensive quarrying and opencast mining in it's recent industrial past. It is however recognised that Landfill as the major disposal method is not a sustainable option and that a move towards recycling, composting, and recovery is the only long term solution to managing the Councils waste while preserving the natural environment.

The County is proud of its record on sustainability, being at the forefront of thinking on Transport Planning, Local Agenda 21 and Rail Development in the East Midlands Region and the UK as a whole. This commitment is clear in the priorities set by the Council in its Strategic and Business Plans.

### 3.2 Best Value

The County's Waste Management Service was subjected to an independent Best Value Review in July 2002, receiving a Good 2 Star rating with "excellent prospects for improvement". The recommendations made in this report have already been acted upon in order to ensure continuous improvement within the service.

The report identified the strengths of the service as a clear corporate priority and commitment, strong partnerships with other councils in the area, good service at reasonable cost with motivated staff and good interaction and engagement with stakeholders.

Recommendations subsequently implemented include increasing service standards at Household Waste and Recycling Centres, making efforts to formalise partnership arrangements, and setting targets for awareness raising and waste minimisation initiatives.

The key recommendation was that the Municipal Waste Strategy should be implemented in partnership to ensure a countywide approach to waste management. Submission of this $O B C$ is the next stage in this process.

A copy of the report summary and key recommendations are included at Appendix 4.

### 3.3 Existing Disposal Arrangements

The current total tonnage of MSW produced in Nottinghamshire is 580,000 tonnes of which around 450,000 tonnes is managed by the Partnership, with the remainder being handled by Nottingham City Council.

The County is contractually required to deliver 50,000 tonnes of waste per annum to the Nottingham Eastcroft Energy from Waste Plant operated by Waste Recycling Group plc, with the remaining 100,000 tonnes of capacity at the plant utilised by the City Council. In view of this situation the City Council
has chosen to make it's own separate arrangements for recycling, composting, and disposal of residual waste outside of this procurement process.

The existing EfW arrangement has contractual effect until 2030 and the proposals for this project acknowledge those commitments and make no further proposals for the extension of thermal treatment options.

In 2002/03, a higher proportion than expected in future years was delivered to the EfW plant, 61,000 tonnes (14.3\%). Of the remaining household waste managed by the County 43,000 tonnes (10\%) was recycled, 28,000 tonnes (6.5\%) was composted and the largest proportion, 296,000 tonnes (69.2\%) was disposed of to land.

The percentage of waste delivered to the EfW plant by the County is currently being renegotiated and a figure of 50,000 tonne per annum is considered to be representative of the long term level of availability within the facility.

A detailed breakdown of these existing waste flows (2001/02 figures) is included within this OBC at Appendix 5.

Clearly the current disposal regime is unsustainable, and does not provide the County and WCA's with sufficient opportunity to meet either short term recycling or long term recycling, recovery and diversion targets. In addition the ever increasing cost and negative environmental impact of final landfill disposal combine to make the financial incentives in switching to a greater percentage of recycling, composting and recovery compelling.

The landfill facilities utilised within the current contracts are:

- Barnstone Due to Close 2006
- Bilsthorpe Due to Close 2006
- Carlton Forest Due to Close 2020
- Daneshill Due to Close 2020
- Dorket Head Due to Close 2020
- Staple Quarry Due to Close 2020
- Sutton Due to Close 2006

In addition Green Waste is Composted at Langar under an extension to these Landfill Contracts.

The existing contracts are operative until 31 March 2004 (subject to a month by month extension) with Waste Recycling Group plc who control all of the above sites.

The 18 existing Household Waste and Recycling Centre contracts are with South Herts Waste Management until November 2005, with the exception of the site at Worksop which is managed by Vaughan Logistics until March 2005. The HWRC sites are generally owned or leased to the County Council on a long term basis and with the exception of two sites, which are life expired and due for replacement, are expected to be available until at least 2015.

New short term contracts are presently being procured for the treatment of Green Garden Waste and Dry Recyclables until 31 March 2005, when the new Composting and Material Recycling Facilities proposed under the PFI contract are expected to be complete and able to receive waste.

### 3.4 Current Collection Arrangements

All of the WCA's currently carry out household waste collections via their Direct Services/Labour Organisations having won the contracts through open competition with the private sector. Current collection rounds are based on a one shift per day operation five days per week but with occasional Saturday working to cover for bank holidays and special collections.

Best Value reviews have been undertaken on the collection and waste management services operated by several Districts/Boroughs with mixed results.

Performance on BVPI's relating to collection operations have also shown wide variance across the County, particularly in the area of collection costs which differ by $25 \%$ from the worst to best performing authority in the county.

With this background of inconsistent performance, and in order to share best practice and develop a culture of continual improvement, all the WCA's in Nottinghamshire (and Nottingham City) have recently commissioned a consultant's report to look at potential efficiencies and synergies across collection service operations.

The final report is currently awaited; however, the first draft indicated that all the WCA's currently operate as very lean business operations with only minor efficiencies to be made by the pooling of reserve vehicles and maintenance operations.

Once the final report is complete it will be presented to the Joint Member Board for consideration and to identify the best way to progress the recommendations.

Clearly major investment in recycling, composting and recovery facilities by the WDA will require significant commitment and financial support from the WCA's if they are to collect segregated materials at the kerbside.

Funding from DEFRA and via the PSA has helped to lessen the capital implications to the majority of the Authorities, however there has been a recognition within all the WCA's that additional revenue funding will be necessary in order to deliver a quality service and provide enhanced customer care to support the culture change expected of the service users.

This need for additional revenue support is now being addressed by above inflation increases in relevant budgets by the authorities concerned.

### 3.5 Immediate Risks to the Service

It is likely, in the event that a decision on this OBC is delayed for more than 3 months, that a further short term contract for landfill disposal will be required in order to ensure continuous capacity is available. This will impact upon the County's ability to meet its short term recycling targets, and is unlikely to be cost-effective leading to a severe shortfall in budgets for the coming year.

For this reason it is imperative that the Council receives an indication of the approval period required by DEFRA very soon after the submission of the $O B C$, and if a delay is expected, commence a dialogue to resolve any resulting problems.

### 4.1 Strategy Development

In mid 1999 the County Council, in recognition of the emerging national agenda on Waste Management, commissioned a "Stage 1 Report" by Enviros Aspinwall to examine the existing waste management arrangements in the County and the possible future trends in waste arisings, to inform the development of a Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Nottinghamshire.

This baseline study considered:

- Proposed and likely EU Legislation and National Policy.
- Current Waste Management arrangements and arisings
- Future Waste growth and likely targets
- Possible Future Waste Management Options
- Possible Funding Options

The document examined the existing collection methods of all the WCA's, looked at the demographic and geographical makeup of the county, studied the current treatment and disposal regimes and developed various scenarios based on potential targets and possible technologies and identified key issues for the Strategy Development.

A subsequent "Stage 2" Discussion paper was produced in early 2000 that was agreed by a steering group of officers from all of the Waste Disposal and Collection Authorities in Nottinghamshire. This considered the information collated at stage 1 and carried out a detailed financial, technical and environmental evaluation on various alternative scenarios for treating and disposing of the likely waste flows in order to identify the BPEO and most cost effective solution for meeting proposed targets.

### 4.2 Approved Policy

From this evaluation a draft "Municipal Waste Strategy for Nottinghamshire " was published in August 2000. Consultation on this draft undertaken in Autumn 2000 highlighted significant public support for the proposals focusing on additional recycling and composting schemes, particularly when allied to kerbside collections of segregated materials and the development of Material Recycling Facilities.

With clear stakeholder support to the proposals contained in the draft strategy a report was approved by the Policy Committee of the County Council on 23 April 2001 adopting the Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Nottinghamshire as the model for development over the next 20 years.

As a result, and following debate at the Joint Officer Board and Joint Member Board, the partners agreed to pursue a policy of alternate weekly collection of dry recyclates and grey waste using a twin bin system, together with seasonal green garden waste collections in specific geographical areas, in order to meet statutory and emerging targets.

With financial support from DEFRA and the County Council all the WCA's are now committed to a roll out of twin bins, supplemented by targeted green garden waste collections. These programmes should see 85\%+ coverage of the twin bin scheme by 2005.

### 4.3 Scope

The project aims to address the full range of wastes that the County Council, in its capacity as a Waste Disposal Authority, has responsibility for with the exception of difficult wastes (eg fridges, asbestos, clinical/hazardous wastes, abandoned vehicles) where more flexible shorter term arrangements are considered to be more applicable. The project does also not include provision for the management of Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) given the current uncertainty over the statutory obligations of Waste Disposal Authorities in this area.

### 4.4 Duration

The existing contract arrangements for the Energy from Waste (EfW) facility at Eastcroft terminate in 2030. For clarity, this duration of this project is assumed to be 26 years from 1 April 2004 so as to be co-terminus with Eastcroft.

### 4.5 Project Options

The Council has considered three service delivery options with the associated level of investment required. The three options are:

Option 1 - Status Quo:

Option 2 - Meet Current Targets: Improving performance to meet existing targets as defined by Best Value Performance Indicators (BVPI) and Waste Strategy 2000 (WS2000)

Option 3 - Enhanced Performance: Achieving enhanced and longer term recycling and recovery performance to achieve and exceed targets defined by the Landfill Directive and PMSU

The Meet Current Targets and Enhanced Performance scenarios have been developed in line with the agreed policy defined in the Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Nottinghamshire.

Given that all the WCA's are committed to twin bin collections of dry recyclables, supplemented by green garden waste in particular geographic areas, both options are based on the development of Material Recycling Facilities (MRF's) to segregate and consolidate the dry mixed waste, and composting to deal with the organic fraction of the waste stream in the short term until 2010.

Development of appropriate facilities would enable the partners to meet and exceed all statutory or predicted targets until this date, when it would be necessary to provide additional recovery capacity. This recovery could be provided in any number of ways including Mechanical Biological Treatment, Anaerobic Digestion etc. These technologies are still emerging and at this stage no particular method is proposed, moreover a performance driven specification giving minimum recycling and recovery rates has been developed to ensure that all options can be considered within the bidding process.

For modelling purposes it has been assumed, based on the market testing, that these minimum recycling and recovery rates are achievable, and costs based around information provided by bidders within their Initial Statement of Proposals, and experience elsewhere in the industry, have been used for the evaluation.

In order to fully evaluate the proposals however a Status Quo option has also been considered. This option is predicated on the continuation of the existing collection, treatment and disposal regime, using growth rates identical to the other two options but without the continued roll out of twin bins and the development of Recycling and treatment facilities to provide the diversion from Landfill disposal. It is accepted that this option is clearly unsustainable and fails to meet any of the national or local targets, but is used purely to define a baseline financial cost for the provision of a Waste Disposal Service weighted heavily towards the traditional United Kingdom method of landfill disposal.

### 4.6 Waste Input Parameters

Input parameters used for the Outline Business Case are summarised below and presented in full in Appendix 5.

### 4.6.1 Baseline Waste Generation and Recycling Data

This section sets out the waste input assumptions that have been made in assessing technical options available to the Council for its future management of wastes. 2001/02 statistics have been used in order to define a baseline against which options to manage wastes in the future have been developed. The statistics show the source of the waste e.g. trade waste, schools etc and the amounts of waste collected via each collection method including kerbside and household waste recycling centres. 2002/3 figures are still being compiled, although a preliminary assessment indicates that these are not materially different to the 2001/2 data; use of this data for the purpose of this OBC is thus considered legitimate.

| Total Assumed Baseline Waste Quantity | 449,533 tonnes |
| ---: | ---: |
| WCA Collected HHW | 308,232 |
| HWRC HHW | 106,625 |
| Trade | 32,454 |
| Other | 2,222 |
| Current Recycling/Composting | $\mathbf{5 5 , 6 5 7}$ tonnes <br> $(=13.3 \% ~ H H W)$ |
| Kerbside/Bring Sites | 17,868 |
| Current Recovery | $\mathbf{1 3 8 , 3 4 3}$ tonnes <br> $(=30.7 \% ~ M S W)$ |
| Recycling | 55,657 |
| Eastcroft Energy Recovery | 70,311 |
| Other | 12,375 |
| Wecycling Centres |  |

### 4.6.2 Waste Generation Growth Rates

Future waste generation will be influenced by changes in population, changes in waste generation per household as well as the increased effectiveness of waste minimisation measures at a national level.

Taking these factors into account, based on past trends it is considered that the most likely growth rates over the contract period will be $1.5 \%$ for all County waste (including trade waste) until 2012, as and when the full effect of national waste minimisation measures will begin to apply resulting in the annual rate of growth decreasing to $0 \%$.

### 4.6.3 Waste Composition

The waste composition for Rushcliffe is assumed to be in line with the results of a survey conducted in the district in 2000. The other rural districts (Bassetlaw and Newark) are assumed to have the same waste composition as Rushcliffe.

No reliable data are available to support the current composition of wastes in other (more urban) districts. Accordingly, it is assumed that the waste composition in these areas corresponds to national waste composition figures.

The composition figures used are shown on the input sheet in the technical models shown as Appendix 5.

### 4.6.4 Existing Energy from Waste Plant at Eastcroft

As discussed above, the role of the existing EfW plant at Eastcroft has been excluded from the project. It is assumed that existing contractual
commitments will be met through diverting 50,000 tonnes per annum of waste to Eastcroft.

### 4.7 Option 1 - Status Quo

This option assumes that the current services will be continued and any current commitments required to maintain the current levels of performance and recycling/recovery levels will be implemented (for example the planned work at HWRCs and the construction of facilities to manage green waste and dry waste recyclables that are currently being source segregated).

### 4.7.1 Facility requirements

The facility requirements for Option 1 are summarised in the table and discussed in further detail below:

| Facility type | Capacity | Number of <br> facilities | Year facility <br> brought on-line | CAPEX per <br> facilitiy <br> $\mathbf{( \mathbf { E m } )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (kTpa) | 1 | $2004 / 2005$ | 0.75 |  |
| Green waste composter | 30 | 1 | $2004 / 2005$ | 2.5 |
| MRFs/bulking facilities | 50 |  |  | $2006 / 2007$ |

### 4.7.2 Kerbside Recycling

It is assumed that the current levels of kerbside collections are maintained and that they grow in line with total MSW growth.

Collected materials are to be sorted and/or bulked at a small Materials Recovery Facility (MRF) with a capacity of 50kTpa.

### 4.7.3 Household Waste Recycling Centres

Material capture rates remain constant at $21 \%$ of the total input. A nominal allowance has been allocated to HWRC capital costs for expenditure on maintaining existing sites, in each of years 1-5 of the project.

### 4.7.4 Bring Sites

The current levels of bring site recycling are increased solely in line with household waste growth for the duration of the project.

### 4.7.5 Organic waste collection

Green waste is collected primarily via HWRC sites and a small amount through kerbside collections across the County. The waste is processed in a covered windrow facility. Option 1 includes a composting facility with a capacity of 30 kTpa to manage these wastes.

### 4.7.6 Landfill

Landfill remains the main component of option 1 as it is the only disposal method (excluding the 50 kTpa sent to Eastcroft). As landfill capacity in Nottinghamshire becomes exhausted, provision for transfer stations to mitigate haulage cost increases has been included.

### 4.8 Option 2 - Meet Current Targets

Option 2 assumes that the service provided will meet the current statutory recycling standards as specified in WS2000.

### 4.8.1 Facility Requirements

The facility requirements for option 2 are summarised in the table and discussed in further detail below:

| Facility type | Capacity | Number of <br> facilities | Year on-line | CAPEX per <br> facilitiy <br> (£m) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green waste composter | 40 | 1 | $2004 / 2005$ |  |
| MRFs/bulking facilities | 75 | 1 | $2004 / 2005$ | 1 |
|  | 60 | 1 | $2004 / 2005$ | 6 |
| Transfer stations |  |  |  | 2.5 |
|  | 50 | 1 | $2006 / 2007$ | 2 |
|  | 50 | $2013 / 2014$ | 2 |  |

### 4.8.2 Kerbside Recycling

It is assumed in option 2 that the collection profiles for each district are as follows:

Ashfield, Bassetlaw, Broxtowe, Gedling, Mansfield and Newark and Sherwood will make collections of mixed recyclables throughout the project. This will exclude the collection of glass.

Rushcliffe will collect paper and green organic waste throughout the length of the project.

Capture rates are progressively increased to 50\% and 58\% for dry recyclables and organic waste respectively by 2014/15. This reflects an increase in participation and recognition rates (to $79 \%$ for dry recyclables and $85 \%$ organic) as a result of raising public awareness by implementing educational programmes and a 'design for recycling' philosophy.

Collected materials are sorted at a MRF. The MRF facilities included in option 2 are as follows:

75 kTpa facility at Mansfield on-line from the start of the project. This large facility will provide both sorting and some bulking capacity.

60kTpa bulking facility at Calverton to be operational from 2004/05.

### 4.8.3 Household Waste Recycling Centres

Material capture rates are progressively increased to $29 \%$ of the total input by 2019/20, reflecting an increase in recovery rates to $95 \%$. These increases reflect the improvements made to the standard of the sites via an upgrade programme over the first five years of the project.

### 4.8.4 Bring Sites

The current levels of bring site recycling are increased in line with household waste growth for the duration of the project.

### 4.8.5 Organic Waste Collection

Green waste is collected via HWRC sites and a kerbside collection in Rushcliffe. It is processed in a covered windrow facility. The option includes a facility with a capacity of 40 kTpa .

### 4.8.6 Landfill

Landfill is an essential element of option 2 as it is the only disposal method (excluding the 50kTpa sent to Eastcroft). Again, provision for transfer stations to mitigate haulage cost increases has been included, as landfill capacity in Nottinghamshire becomes exhausted.

### 4.9 Option 3 - Enhanced Performance

Option 3 assumes that the service provided will exceed the performance of option 2 and achieve the highest practicable levels of recycling to meet BVPI, WS2000, PMSU and Landfill Directive targets throughout the life of the project.

### 4.9.1 Facility requirements

The facility requirements for option 3 are summarised in the table and discussed in further detail below:

| Facility type | Capacity | Number of <br> facilities | Year on-line | CAPEX per <br> facilitiy <br> (£m) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green waste composter | 40 | 1 | $2004 / 2005$ | 1 |
| In vessel composter | 15 | 1 | $2009 / 2010$ | 2 |
| MRFs/bulking facilities | 75 | 2 | $2004 / 2005$ | 2.5 |
|  | 10 | $2009 / 2010$ | 6 |  |
| Additional |  |  |  |  |
| recycling/recovery facilities | 100 | 2 | $2009 / 2010$ | 12.5 |
| Transfer stations | 20 | $2004 / 2005$ | 2 |  |

### 4.9.2 Kerbside Recycling

It is assumed in the reference project that multi-material kerbside collection of recyclable materials is rapidly expanded to the whole area. The collection profiles for each district are as follows:

Ashfield, Bassetlaw, Broxtowe, Gedling, Mansfield and Newark and Sherwood will make collections of mixed recyclables throughout the project. A collection of glass will be introduced in 2009/10.

Rushcliffe will collect paper and green organic waste throughout the length of the project introducing a collection of organic kitchen waste in 2009/10.

Material capture rates are progressively increased to 69\% of targeted materials by 2019/20, reflecting an increase in participation and recovery rates to $90 \%$. This will be achieved by raising public awareness through long term education programmes, effective incentives, and a 'design for recycling' philosophy.

Collected materials are sorted at a MRF. The MRF facilities included in option 3 are as follows:

Two 75kTpa facilities at [Calverton and Mansfield] to provide both sorting and bulking facilities. These will be operational from the start of the project.

30kTpa bulking facility at [Worksop]. This facility will be operational from 2009/10.

This gives a total capacity of 180kTpa from 2009/10.

### 4.9.3 Household Waste Recycling Centres

Material capture rates are progressively increased to $29 \%$ of the total input by 2019/20, reflecting an increase in recovery rates over the life of the project to $95 \%$. These increases reflect the improvements made to the standard of the sites via an upgrade programme over the first five years of the project.

### 4.9.4 Bring Sites

The current levels of bring site recycling are increased in line with household waste growth for the duration of the project.

### 4.9.5 Organic Waste Collection

Green waste is collected both via HWRC sites and through the kerbside collection in Rushcliffe. It is processed in a covered windrow facility. The project includes a facility with a capacity of 40kTpa, which will be operational from 2004/05.

From 2009/10 the collection is expanded to include kitchen waste collected in Rushcliffe. Since it is highly unlikely that the composting of mixed organic waste could be satisfactorily carried out outdoors (due to the requirements of the Animal By-Products (Amendment) Order) from 2010 the entire kerbside collected organic fraction is to be processed in an in-vessel composting facility
in order to meet anticipated regulatory requirements. The reference project therefore includes an in-vessel facility with a capacity of 15 kTpa operational from 2009/10.

### 4.9.6 Other Recycling/Recovery Facilities

These facilities complement conventional kerbside collections, MRF's and composting facilities to enable recycling and recovery to be maximised such that the recycling and recovery targets can be met. The reference project incorporates two additional (Mechanical Biological Treatment (MBT) or Anaerobic Digestion (AD) based) recycling/recovery facilities each of 100kTpa capacity to be brought on-line in 2009/10. Given the presence of an existing Energy from Waste (EfW) facility at Eastcroft, it is considered that no significant additional EfW capacity will be required through this PFI project

### 4.9.7 Transfer

The provision of additional recycling facilities will conserve landfill void. However, there will still be a requirement for Transfer Stations in Newark and Worksop to act as delivery points for wastes remote from the planned facilities.

### 4.9.8 Landfill

It is inevitable that there will always be residues and waste that cannot be treated or recovered/recycled that will need to be disposed of to landfill. Landfill is therefore an essential element of this option 3, although reliance on this disposal method is minimised.

### 4.10 Technical Performance

The technical performance of the options has been assessed against the following:

BVPI targets for the County (on a District by District basis) in 2003/04 and 2004/05, as shown in Appendix 5

WS2000 targets:
30\% recycling by 2010
33\% recycling by 2015
PMSU targets:
35\% recycling by 2010
$45 \%$ recycling by 2015
An absolute reduction of MSW sent to landfill on an annual basis from 2007

Landfill Directive Targets
2010: Reduce biodegradable waste to landfill to 75\% of total BMW (by weight) produced in 1995
2013: Achieve a 50\% target
2020: Achieve a 35\% target

### 4.10.1 Option 1 - Status Quo

Option 1 does not satisfy any of the BVPI, WS2000, PMSU recycling/recovery or Landfill Directive targets. The performance is summarised below:

| Contract year | 1 | 6 | 11 | 16 | 21 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | 01 Apr 04 | 01 Apr 09 | 01 Apr 14 | 01 Apr 19 | 01 Apr 24 | 01 Apr 29 |
| Year end | 31 Mar 05 | 31 Mar 10 | 31 Mar 15 | 31 Mar 20 | 31 Mar 25 | 31 Mar 30 |
| Recycling achieved (T) | 63,590 | 68,505 | 71,634 | 71,634 | 71,634 | 71,634 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% |
| Recovery achieved (T) | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 11\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Total recycling/recovery achieved (T) | 113,590 | 118,505 | 121,634 | 121,634 | 121,634 | 121,634 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 25\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% |
| Landfill (T) | 342,686 | 373,034 | 392,358 | 392,358 | 392,358 | 392,358 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 75\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% |
| BMW to landfill (T) | 209,381 | 227,924 | 239,730 | 239,730 | 239,730 | 239,730 |
| WS2000 targets (T) | 67,734 | 136,816 | 157,372 | 157,372 | 157,372 | 157,372 |
| Percentage of target achieved (\%) | 94\% | 50\% | 46\% | 46\% | 46\% | 46\% |
| PMSU targets (T) | 67,734 | 159,618 | 214,598 | 214,598 | 214,598 | 214,598 |
| Percentage of target achieved (\%) | 94\% | 43\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Landfill Directive Target (T) | n/a | 131,060 | 87,373 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 |
| Excess over target/shortfall (T) | n/a | 96,864 | 152,357 | 178,569 | 178,569 | 178,569 |

### 4.10.2 Option 2 - Meet Current Targets

Option 2 has been designed to satisfy the WS2000 targets throughout the life of the project but does not satisfy any of the PMSU or Landfill Directive targets. The performance of the option is summarised below:

| Contract year | 1 | 6 | 11 | 16 | 21 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | 01 Apr 04 | 01 Apr 09 | 01 Apr 14 | 01 Apr 19 | 01 Apr 24 | 01 Apr 29 |
| Year end | 31 Mar 05 | 31 Mar 10 | 31 Mar 15 | 31 Mar 20 | 31 Mar 25 | 31 Mar 30 |
| Recycling achieved ( T ) | 115,606 | 137,645 | 157,555 | 159,018 | 159,018 | 159,018 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 25\% | 28\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% |
| Recovery achieved (T) | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 11\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Total recycling/recovery achieved (T) | 165,606 | 187,645 | 207,555 | 209,018 | 209,018 | 209,018 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 36\% | 38\% | 40\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% |
| Landfill (T) | 290,670 | 303,894 | 306,437 | 304,974 | 304,974 | 304,974 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 64\% | 62\% | 60\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% |
| BMW to landfill (T) | 177,600 | 185,679 | 187,233 | 186,339 | 186,339 | 186,339 |
| WS2000 targets (T) | 67,734 | 136,816 | 157,372 | 157,372 | 157,372 | 157,372 |
| Percentage of target achieved (\%) | 171\% | 101\% | 100\% | 101\% | 101\% | 101\% |
| PMSU targets (T) | 67,734 | 159,618 | 214,598 | 214,598 | 214,598 | 214,598 |
| Percentage of target achieved (\%) | 171\% | 86\% | 73\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% |
| Landfill Directive Target (T) | n/a | 131,060 | 87,373 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 |
| Excess over target/shortfall (T) | n/a | 54,620 | 99,860 | 125,178 | 125,178 | 125,178 |

### 4.10.3 Option 3 - Enhanced Performance

Option 3 has been designed to satisfy all of the BVPI, WS2000, PMSU and Landfill Directive targets throughout the life of the project. The performance of the option is summarised below:

| Contract year | 1 | 6 | 11 | 16 | 21 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | 01 Apr 04 | 01 Apr 09 | 01 Apr 14 | 01 Apr 19 | 01 Apr 24 | 01 Apr 29 |
| Year end | 31 Mar 05 | 31 Mar 10 | 31 Mar 15 | 31 Mar 20 | 31 Mar 25 | 31 Mar 30 |
| Recycling achieved ( T ) | 115,606 | 187,667 | 227,764 | 248,731 | 248,731 | 248,731 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 25\% | 38\% | 44\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% |
| Recovery achieved (T) | 50,000 | 108,370 | 151,349 | 169,063 | 169,063 | 169,063 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 11\% | 22\% | 29\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Total recycling/recovery achieved ( T ) | 165,606 | 296,038 | 379,113 | 417,794 | 417,794 | 417,794 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 36\% | 60\% | 74\% | 81\% | 81\% | 81\% |
| Landfill (T) | 290,670 | 195,501 | 134,878 | 96,198 | 96,198 | 96,198 |
| as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 64\% | 40\% | 26\% | 19\% | 19\% | 19\% |
| BMW to landfill (T) | 177,600 | 119,451 | 82,410 | 58,777 | 58,777 | 58,777 |
| WS2000 targets (T) | 67,734 | 136,816 | 157,372 | 157,372 | 157,372 | 157,372 |
| Percentage of target achieved (\%) | 171\% | 137\% | 145\% | 158\% | 158\% | 158\% |
| PMSU targets (T) | 67,734 | 159,618 | 214,598 | 214,598 | 214,598 | 214,598 |
| Percentage of target achieved (\%) | 171\% | 118\% | 106\% | 116\% | 116\% | 116\% |
| Landfill Directive Target (T) | n/a | 131,060 | 87,373 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 |
| Excess over target/shortfall (T) | n/a | 11,608 | 4,963 | 2,384 | 2,384 | 2,384 |

Over the length of the contract period there is the potential for recycling and composting levels to rise above 50\%. Performance at this level will need to result from the efforts of the Councils and their private sector partners complemented by ongoing legislative, social and market change.

### 4.11 Financial Analysis

### 4.11.1 Assessment Methodology

The financial flows for the options were assessed using a cost model and discounted cash flow techniques (DCF). The technical assumptions have been described in the previous sections. For each option component the capital expenditure, operating costs and revenue, if any, were projected forward over a 26 year time period beginning in 2004-2005.

### 4.11.2 Assumptions

The main financial assumptions adopted are listed in Appendix 6 and the key drivers underlying the options analysis include:

- Waste generation projections and assumptions relating to the composition of waste - see above
- Capture rates relating to recycling and recovery - see above
- Capital and Operating Costs - rates have been obtained from an informed combination of responses to the market testing/ISOP submissions, recent quotations received by the Council for the
short term provision of services and wider experience of the Council and its advisers regarding actual costs elsewhere
- Landfill disposal costs - these are based on current rates with an appropriate inflationary allowance
- Landfill Taxes - the assumed escalator is based on the announcement in the last budget
- Inflation rates - based on Green Book requirements
- Recyclate Revenues - a conservative level of revenues from the sale of recyclables, below current market prices, has been assumed generated. Changes in material markets mean that it is difficult to make revenue predictions beyond the short term due to price fluctuations. Any income will tend to enhance the financial security of the options, and the Council would look to share in any upside.

The input parameters have been related to real data, and the same cost input data have been used for Public Sector Comparator and PFI options. Accordingly, in this context, and reflecting the method which has been applied to assessing uncertainty in the risk analysis (see below), it has not been considered appropriate to make an adjustment for optimism bias.

A net present cost (in 2004) for each option over a 25-year contract period was calculated using a nominal discount rate of $6.09 \%$.

### 4.11.3 Results

The results of the analysis for the Public Sector Comparator for the three options, in terms of the net present value of costs, are shown in the table below:

|  | Option 1: <br> Status Quo <br> $(\mathbf{£ m})$ | Option 2: <br> Meet Current <br> Targets <br> (£m) | Option 3: <br> Enhanced <br> Performance <br> (£m) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAPEX | 9.9 | 15.9 | 48.2 |
| OPEX | 266.6 | 250.4 | 209.2 |
| Landfill Tax | 150.0 | 119.6 | 65.7 |
| Recyclate <br> Revenue | $(12.0)$ | $(35.1)$ | $(49.1)$ |
| Net Present Cost | $\mathbf{4 1 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 3 . 9}$ |

### 4.12 Reference Project

In performance terms, Option 3 emerges as the best way to meet and exceed WS2000, PMSU and Landfill Directive targets. In particular:

- Option 1 does not meet any of the specified recycling/recovery targets nor does it divert sufficient biodegradable waste from landfill in order to meet the requirements of the Landfill Directive. Accordingly it is considered to be the least sustainable option.
- Option 2 provides a sound environmental solution and satisfies all current national and international targets. However, it does not meet PMSU or Landfill Directive Targets.
- Option 3 is superior in recycling and composting performance and thereby achieves the higher levels of recycling and recovery required to enable all the specified targets to be met.

In financial terms, Option 2 represents poor Value for Money to the Council, and is sensitive to changing requirements with more onerous targets over time. It also fails to reach recycling rates sufficient to secure PFI Credits, it does not meet public and Members aspirations and would leave the funding gap to be bridged using existing funding streams. This option, therefore, raises key issues on affordability when the Council has already significantly increased its budget provision for waste management services.

Option 3 has the highest capital costs due to the increased number of facilities provided to deliver the enhanced levels of recycling and recovery performance, but this is offset by lower operating costs, driven by the increasing comparative affordability of recycling compared with inflating landfill and transportation costs. This difference is further enhanced due to the cost of landfill taxes and revenues generated from the sale of recyclable materials. This analysis excludes tradable permits, the introduction of which is likely to further increase the differentials between the options.

Therefore Option 3 (Enhanced Performance) is the preferred option in offering value for money expressed both in monetary terms (Option 3 has a lower overall cost than Option 2), and in terms of achievement against waste management targets based on the identified mix of technologies. Option 3 is therefore confirmed as the Reference Project.

This Preferred Option forms a joint bid by the partnership to provide a sustainable long-term waste management solution for Nottinghamshire. It also recognises onerous historic contractual commitments for Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council in the use of the Eastcroft Energy Waste Plant. There will be future waste requirements outside the scope of this project. These will be reviewed within the next few years and will focus on residual requirements, the changing market and the success in achieving waste minimisation.

### 4.13 Value for Money

### 4.13.1 Methodology

The base case for assessing the value for money of the proposed project is a Public Sector Comparator (PSC), with two elements:

- the projected Present Cost to the Council of undertaking the project themselves (see above); and
- a valuation of the risks that could be incurred in doing so.

The PSC was compared to the projected costs of a PFI option. The key assumptions built into the model used to assess the PFI option are listed in Appendix 7. The full model is available on request.

### 4.13.2 Risk Analysis

An assessment of risk attributable to the project has been undertaken by considering the quantum and probability of incurring additional costs associated with various aspects of delivering each element of the service, as summarised in Appendix 9. Risks have been attributed to:

- Capex (eg construction cost overruns
- Opex (eg unplanned maintenance costs)
- Delay (eg associated with obtaining consents)
- External risks (eg changes to the rates of landfill tax or tradable permits)

An assessment of the allocation of risks to (Council, Special Purpose Vehicle, Shared) has also been included, based on the principle that risk is best borne by the party best able to manage it, from which a calculation of risk which would be transferred to the private sector has been made.

The key risks associated with the project have been assessed as:
Planning delays in obtaining the required planning and operating consents associated with new waste management facilities (much of this risk has already been mitigated through obtaining planning consent for MRFs at Mansfield and Calverton)

Recyclate Quality changes to waste composition in general and the quality of kerbside segregated recyclate in particular. The proposed Partnership agreement should provide an effective means of combining the private sector expertise with the public sector access to waste producers to manage this issue

Cost Overruns some of the waste treatment technology is relatively complex, increasing the quantum and
likelihood of capital cost overruns during construction and commissioning

Landfill Tax the SPV would be required to cover the cost of landfill tax resulting from its non-performance

Tradable Permits there remains significant uncertainty regarding the costs/revenues associated with tradable permits

Recyclate Revenues the dysfunctional recyclate market introduces a significant risk associated with the price at which recyclate can be sold

The total assessed risk to be transferred of $£ 33.3$ million equates to approximately $12 \%$ of the PSC cost.

### 4.13.3 Results

The results are shown in the table below:

|  | Net Present Value of Cost (£m) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Public Sector Comparator | 273.9 |
| Transferred Risk | 33.3 |
| Risk Adjusted PSC | 307.2 |
| PFI Option | 290.2 |

These estimates suggest a total net present cost advantage for the PFI route of $£ 16.9 \mathrm{~m}$.

The projected saving from the PFI route can be ascribed to two factors:
an assumption that the private sector will prove better able to manage the risks transferred to it than the public sector; and
an assumption that the private sector will prove more successful in maximising revenue generation from its facilities.

These savings outweigh the predicted higher cost of private sector finance.

### 4.14 Affordability

Affordability is influenced by project cashflows, the availability of PFI credits and the profile of credits.

### 4.14.1 Methodology

Affordability has been examined by comparing the projected Council cashflows under the PFI model against the Council's existing waste disposal budget and other available budgets. In particular, the Council's budgets have been derived from the following:

- Existing Council Waste Disposal Budgets
- Surpluses on other Council Budgets (eg Energy from Waste), expenditure on which would be reduced as a result of the project
- Diversion of other Council Budgets (eg waste minimisation) which would be subsumed into a PFI project
- Additional Council Costs (eg tipping away charges) which would be incurred as a result of the PFI project
- Revenue from Tradable Permits resulting from exceeding expected statutory targets (based on PMSU)
- A budget increase corresponding to an increase of 7.0\%

For the purpose of this assessment, a PFI credit of $£ 31.931$ million is assumed (see below).

An inflating Unitary Payment has been calculated based on an private sector model, which satisfies the following at the end of the project

- Balance Sheet Balances
- Profit and Loss Account clears to zero
- Fixed asset account clears to zero
- Cash account matches tax creditor
- Loan account clears to zero
- Equity account clears to zero

This Unitary Payment has then been sculpted to reflect the assumed waste growth profile.

External costs (eg Landfill Tax, Tradable Permit Revenues and additional WCA costs) have then been added onto this sculpted unitary charge to calculate the total cost of the project on an annual basis.

### 4.14.2 Results

The results (in $£ \mathrm{~m}$ ) are shown in the table below:

|  | Year 1 <br> Cashflow | Year 5 <br> Cashflow | Year 10 <br> Cashflow | Year 26 <br> Cashflow |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| "Enhanced Performance" <br> Total Cost to Council | 17.5 | 23.0 | 21.7 | 28.7 |
| Available Revenues | -18.9 | -20.7 | -22.4 | -30.9 |
| Existing Council Budget | -13.8 | -15.9 | -18.0 | -26.7 |

\(\left.\begin{array}{|r|r|r|r|r|}\hline Diversion of other Council Budgets \& -0.6 \& -0.5 \& -0.6 \& -0.8 <br>
\hline 7.0 \% Increase to Council Budget \& -1.1 \& -1.3 \& -1.4 \& -2.1 <br>
\hline PFI Credit of £ 31,931,000 \& -3.4 \& -3.0 \& -2.4 \& -1.3 <br>
\hline Affordability Gap (-ve = <br>

surplus)\end{array}\right)-1.4\)|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The Council acknowledges a need to divert a number of alternative budgets to a PFI project and to increasing its waste disposal budget by up to $7.0 \%$ (although through the procurement process, it will seek to identify means to mitigate this increase). On this basis, there remains an affordability gap that would be reduced to an NPV of zero on receipt of a PFI credit of $£ 31.931$ million, which therefore forms the basis of this application.

With a PFI credit at this level, the Council would still be obliged and would commit to make additional funds available, over and above existing budgets, throughout the duration of this project as set out in the table above. The Council also acknowledges that, whilst the NPV of the project affordability is zero, the affordability profile is more volatile, influenced by:
the increasing rate of Landfill Tax in advance of the full mitigating effect of the proposed enhanced recycling, composting and recovery
increasing waste quantities
the decreasing value of the PFI credits

The outcome of these issues is a significant affordability gap in the medium term (see Year 5 in Table above). The Council will work with bidders in sculpting payments which mitigate this issue, consistent with the state of its reserves and other commitments, in order to avoid a budget deficit situation. However, the Council notes that these factors, and hence the affordability, will be exacerbated and longer lasting in Options 1 and 2 when compared with the reference project.

### 4.15 Market Testing/Market Interest

The Council recognised the importance of market appetite in achieving the successful delivery of the project. Accordingly, the Council implemented a market testing exercise, as set out below, through which it concluded that there would be significant competitive interest from a number of major waste management companies, in delivering the project through the Private Finance Initiative:

- An initial OJEC advertisement for the project attracted 55 expressions of interest.
- In October 2002, 11 companies were invited to submit outline proposals (ISOP) on the basis of achieving all of the targets
defined by existing Best Value indicators, Waste Strategy 2000 and the Landfill Directive. Responses were received from 11 companies; these were scrutinised to inform the design of the project. In general terms:
- Whilst a number of bidders control their own sites in and around Nottinghamshire, bidders were pleased to see a number of sites, with the benefit of planning permission, being offered as part of the procurement (see below) and all planned to use some or all of these;
- All bidders supported the use of MRF's and composting facilities to manage segregated waste from kerbside collections and Household Waste Recycling Facilities. A number of technical solutions were proposed to achieve the additional recycling and recovery that will be necessary in order to achieve Landfill Directive compliance;
- The importance of securing the formal partnership of waste collection authorities in providing the feedstock's to waste recycling, composting and recovery facilities was emphasised.
- Bidders noted the need to clarify the quantity, quality and source of waste that was committed to be delivered to Eastcroft under existing contractual arrangements
- Long term contract arrangements were supported. A number of bidders proposed that the contract should be co-terminus with the existing Eastcroft contract; this has led to the proposed contract termination date being 31 March 2030.
- Bidders' feedback regarding pricing has been used to inform financial analysis of the project that gives confidence in the overall affordability of the project.
- Four of the eight largest waste management companies in the UK municipal waste management market were shortlisted based on the quality, comprehensiveness and relevance of their ISOP submissions. This shortlisting process was non-specific about the ongoing procurement process, but included the flexibility for this to be under the Private Finance Initiative.
- All of the shortlisted companies were consulted about the project's scope and updated targets, following publication of the Prime Minister's strategy unit report. All reconfirmed their interest in the project in the context of the proposed PFI.
- Draft ITN documentation (see Appendix 8 for revised version) was prepared and circulated to bidders for comment. Feedback was very positive, supporting the proposed scope, structure and risk allocation principles.


### 5.1 Current Position

As stated in the executive summary, this is a unique proposal that has been advertised as a Public Private Partnership project and has reached shortlisted bidder stage. There is significant market interest with eleven responses to an Invitation to Submit Outline Proposals.

Five shortlisted bidders have now been selected to proceed to the next stage of procurement. Of these three have proposed an integrated solution and two have proposed a partial solution. The project will not proceed formally to Invitation to Negotiate stage until a decision has been taken on whether a PPP or PFI project. Legal opinion has confirmed the OJEC notice advertising the project has sufficient scope to allow these alternative procurement options to be considered.

The shortlisted bidders have been extensively consulted about the project proposals and support the scope of work and the PFI approach. Many of the outline proposals had anticipated the targets that were subsequently defined in the PMSU report. The reference project detailed in the Preferred Option is based on these outline proposals and subsequent consultations, the outcome of which gives the project team further confidence in the ability of the market to deliver the project.

### 5.2 Output Specification

The ITN will set out the basis on which bidders must submit their bids. It will also contain the detailed Evaluation Criteria against which all bids will be assessed. The ITN will through a series of Outputs Specifications set out what services the Council requires. It will be for bidders to specify how they deliver the services required. The sum total of service provision will represent the basis of the Unitary Charge. The bidder will also provide method statements to describe service provision that will be assesses through the Evaluation Criteria.

In setting the service requirements the Council has ensured that these are the same assumptions as contained in the Reference Project. Whilst the Council is mindful of not creating input based requirements it will through the Evaluation Criteria weight the areas of importance such as technology, environmental impact, sustainability etc that it expects to see in bid returns.

As part of the ongoing procurement, the Council has produced a Draft Output Specification, which is included as Appendix 8.

### 5.3 Payment Mechanism

The key objectives of the payment mechanism is to:

- provide the Council with security in its unitary payment, subject to waste growth
- provide transparency to all parties regarding payment
- incentivise the Contractor to:
- maximise recycling, composting and recovery performance.
- deliver the Council's required service standards
- maximise revenues from the sale of recycled materials
- improve efficiency over the life of the contract
- be innovative.

A draft payment mechanism for the project has been developed and discussed with bidders who have broadly accepted its principles. In summary, payments to the Provider over the lifetime of the contract will be split into four elements:

- Availability: a time-related payment, contingent on facilities being available to receive wastes against agreed operational acceptance criteria. These will be agreed with the preferred bidder, but are likely to include:


## Capacity

Operational Readiness
Staffing Levels
EHS Compliance
The payment mechanism will include provision for increasing deductions in the event of protracted non-availability, which could ultimately lead to termination.

- Quantity: a tonnage-related payment depending on the amount of waste the contractor has to handle. Due to the associated uncertainty, quantity bandings are proposed in order to make the project affordable to the Council.
- Performance: a points-related system, scoring non-compliances against key performance indicators resulting in a (capped) deduction in the unitary payment. These performance indicators will be as set out in the Output Specification, augmented by any performance standards defined by bidders and which become a material part of their selection. Again, a points system will increase provision for deductions resulting from protracted or recurring non-compliances which could ultimately lead to termination.

In addition, there is provision for the Contractor to cover additional costs to the Council associated with its nonperformance against agreed recycling, composting and recovery targets.

- Incentives: related to a sharing of benefits associated with recyclate revenues and reduced Council costs

Recyclate revenues will be shared between the Council and the Provider, based on an agreed formula;

Landfill tax savings and any tradable permit revenues resulting from the exceedance of specified, recycling, composting and recovery targets will be shared.

There will thus be elements of risk in all payments received by the Provider. The respective levels of availability, quantity and performance-related payments will be provided by bidders, but will need to be set at a level consistent with FRS5 compliance (see below).

### 5.4 Indexation

The analysis for the project has assumed an inflation rate of $2.5 \%$ to be applied to capital and operating costs, Council budgets and Unitary Charges. Landfill costs have been inflated by 5\% to reflect increasing standards and the increasing scarcity of landfill

### 5.5 Accounting Treatment

This section discusses the project's compliance with Regulations 16 and 40 of the Local Government and Housing Act.

### 5.5.1 Regulation 16

The requirements of Regulation 16 are considered to be satisfied by the proposed Output Specification and Payment Mechanism for this project, as set out below:

The Project involves the provision of capital assets with an estimated NPV of $£ 48.2 \mathrm{~m}$ which are required by the Council to fulfil its statutory duties to recycle, compost, recovery and dispose of Municipal Waste.

The Project outsources the operation of these assets.
The Council will not provide the Provider with any guarantees, for example regarding the quantity and quality of waste to be received.

The Council will pay for the use the facilities, as and when it requires them to be available and/or delivers waste to them.

The Provider will receive payment on a monthly basis, dependent on the Council's use of the facilities in the preceding month.

A pre-defined proportion of the payment to the provider will be deducted, dependent on the standard of service performance.

The only increases in rates paid by the Council to the Provider will be:
annual indexation by RPI
market testing of support services and selected third party revenues (eg recyclate revenues) where provided for in the project agreement.

### 5.5.2 Regulation 40

In accordance with the requirements of Regulation 40, the project has been conceived and developed based on the fundamental principle that the assets being provided will be accounted for off the Council's Balance Sheet, as defined by the requirements of Financial Reporting Standard Five (FRS5) for local authority projects.

A preliminary assessment of FRS5 compliance (see Appendix 10) concludes that the project will be off balance sheet for the Council. This has been based on the proposed terms and conditions, risk allocation, output specification and payment mechanism described above along with the financial model described in this OBC.

This conclusion will be revisited once final bids have been received. The district auditor will be ultimately responsible for confirming compliance with FRS5.

### 5.6 Contract Monitoring

The contract will include provision for self assessment by the Contractor. The Council will have the right to audit the Contractor's procedures, and to inspect facilities and records without notification.

If non-compliances are identified through this process, a rectification procedure will be agreed, linked to availability and performance deductions.

### 5.7 Approach to Key Risk Areas

A risk allocation matrix for the project has been prepared and is included as Appendix 9.

This covers risk in the following areas:

## General

Financial
Regulatory
Design

## Construction/Commissioning

Operational
The majority of risk associated with the project is borne by the private sector and that this allocation of risk will be reflected in the contract and payment
mechanism. In particular, the following key risks are to be borne by the Provider:

Demand Risk
Third Party Revenues
Design Risk
Performance Risk
Operational Risk
Residual Value Risk
Financing Risk
The proposed risk allocation has been discussed with bidders through the ISOP and ITN consultation processes. Bidders have substantially supported the proposed approach and have not raised any issues that are considered likely to have a material bearing on the deliverability or accounting treatment of the project.

The Council recognises Planning Risk as a key issue impacting on the deliverability and cost of a waste management PFI project. In order to mitigate this risk, the Council has secured assignable options to lease two sites (Mansfield and Calverton) and has obtained outline planning consent to construct MRF's on both sites. Furthermore, the Council owns a former landfill site with a curtilege of land that could be suitable for recycling and/or composting facilities. As a result, three sites with the benefit of an approved waste management use will be made available to bidders. This is viewed as a significant benefit by bidders. The successful contractor will then be responsible for obtaining detailed planning permission in respect of waste recycling, composting and recovery facilities at these and/or other sites.

### 5.8 Key Contractual terms

The proposed form of contract currently included in the draft ITN is SoPC in all material respects. The form of contract assumes that funding will be secured by the successful Bidder by way of limited recourse financing and that an Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) will be set up. Some bidders, however, do not intend to form an SPV and may fund from balance sheet or an existing line of corporate credit. This number may increase depending on the solution accepted. In such circumstances it is envisaged that certain SoPC clauses will require revisiting, for example:

Insurance (and the insurance schedule)
Refinancing (currently standard OGC and 50/50 split)
Termination costs for provider default

There are some bespoke aspects to this project which are included in the draft terms and conditions and these are described as follows. None is a departure from SoPC - but all are additions to it. These are described in Appendix 11.

The Contract will be between the Council, as primary user, and the successful private sector Provider. The Council will be liable for all payments to the Provider under the main contract. The Council will enter into simultaneous back-to-back arrangements with the District Councils regarding the collection and delivery of waste. The Council will also work with the Provider in seeking third party revenues via back-to-back agreements with private sector waste producers and/or adjacent waste disposal authorities

The Council will make the application for revenue support to the Treasury and all of the additional revenue support grant resulting from the PFI credit will be payable to the Council.

The contract will be structured as a Private Finance Transaction in accordance with the requirements of the Local Government and Housing Act Regulations 16 and 40, and will be of 26 years duration (in order to be coterminus with the existing Eastcroft contract) from 1 April 2004. The contract will comprise the following elements:

| Household Waste <br> Recycling Centres | Operation and Maintenance of 16 existing and 2 <br> replacement HWRC's |
| :--- | :--- |
| Transfer | Provision and operation of 2 transfer stations <br> Onward transportation of waste to facilities |
| Recycling | Receipt, bulking and sale for beneficial use of <br> segregated dry wastes from kerbside collections and <br> HWRC's <br> Receipt, sorting and sale for beneficial use of mixed <br> dry wastes from kerbside collections |
| Composting | Receipt, composting and sale for beneficial use of <br> segregated green waste from kerbside collections and <br> HWRC's <br> Receipt, composting and sale for beneficial use of <br> mixed organic waste from kerbside collections (from <br> 2010) |
| Recovery | Provision and operation of facilities to provide <br> additional recycling and recovery from 2010 |
| Landfill | Disposal of waste residues |

### 5.9 Implementation and Project Management

### 5.9.1 Waste Project Team

A Project Procurement Team led by a Project Director is already in place consisting of Senior Officers of the Council, private sector consultants and 4 ps. The team has experience of working on other PPP/PFI projects from all sides of the table. Financial advisors Deloitte \& Touche have been engaged on this project for some time, as have legal advisors Sharpe Pritchard. The team consists of:

| Team Member | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Malvin Trigg | NCC Project Director |
| Mick Allen | NCC Project Manager |
| Chris Drew | NCC Waste Manager |
| Paul Morris | NCC Contracts Officer |
| Mike Atkinson | NCC Environment Finance Manager |
| Heather Dickinson | NCC Senior Solicitor |
| Derek Chester | NCC Estates Manager |
| Neil Hunt | NCC Waste Planning |
| Jonathan Arch | Director Deloitte \& Touche - Financial and Technical |
| Ann Wyatt | Deloitte \& Touche |
| Roseanne Serrelli | Partner Sharpe Pritchard Solicitors |
| Sheila Storey | Solicitor Sharpe Pritchard |
| Alan Burnett | 4ps |
| Richard Lawson | NCC Corporate Risk Management |
| Diane Pollard | NCC Head of Corporate Financial Planning |

### 5.9.2 Decision Making Processes

The Project Team reports to a Project Board set up specifically for this project. The Project Board consists of senior Members and Officers and is chaired by Peter Webster, the Director of Environment for the Council who is the Project Sponsor. The Project Board reports to the Cabinet for the Council and there are agreed responsibilities set out for each in order to deliver this project successfully.

In addition the Project Board has a remit from a Joint Member Board comprising Members and Senior Officers from the Council and the partner District and Borough Councils which meets regularly to review progress and objectives. Representation from the Joint Board is included in the evaluations at key stages.

### 5.9.3 Procurement Timetable

The project is currently in procurement as a PPP and is at shortlisted bidder stage. The proposal to apply for PFI Credits has been discussed with each shortlisted bidder and the potential impact on project timescales. Each bidder
has confirmed there understanding of the Councils position. They have each confirmed the time will be used in planning their proposals in anticipation of the formal Invitation to Negotiate being issued. This gives the opportunity for a concise ITN bid period.

Based on an assumed project approval in September 2003, the following timetable has been developed as the ongoing project programme:

September 2003: Issue ITN
November 2003: Receive bids (based on consultation to date, bidders believe that this is achievable)

January 2004: Define Preferred Bidder
April 2004: Achieve Financial Close
This timetable is achievable bearing in mind the project team is formed and documentation for each stage is in advanced preparation. It is recognised that whilst this PFI Credit application is being assessed the project will not formally proceed to ITN stage. The project team will continue to engage with bidders and discuss a Draft ITN. This proposal has been well received by bidders and will allow a reduced period for the formal ITN tender return. This will help maintain the momentum of the project and meet the objective for early contract award.

### 5.9.4 Deliverability

The project is well developed as a PPP, in a form suitable for conversion to PFI, should Credits be made available by DEFRA.

The contract documents are SoPC compliant and have been completed in a draft form, and discussed in principle with the bidders. An ITN is prepared and could be issued within a matter of weeks of the funding being confirmed.

An experienced multi-diciplinary project team is in place and a decision making process well established within the partner organisations

The successful delivery of this project is being reinforced by the County Council taking options and achieving Outline Planning Permission on sites, which are regarded as common to any bidders proposal for service delivery.

The Council is committed to the process, with strong political and officer support, an agreed waste strategy and strong corporate policy, and financial allocations from all the partners sufficient to meet additional costs over and above any PFI credits awarded.

## 6 EMPLOYEE ISSUES AND TRANSFER OF UNDERTAKINGS

The Nottinghamshire Strategic Plan 2001-2005 "Building a Future" has numerous regeneration targets that aim to secure employment for local people, including a specific Intermediate Labour Market Programme and initiatives to reintroduce people directly affected by colliery closures back into the workplace.

The County will work with the chosen contractor to ensure that, wherever possible local labour is used to staff any facilities, and that all vacancies are filled in accordance with an agreed equal opportunity policy and County Council objectives for enhancing social inclusion and diversity.

The Partners have assessed the possible implications of the TUPE Regulations in respect of this contract in consultation with the existing contractors employed on undertaking waste management services.

Only 9 staff, all employed by the existing Household Waste and Recycling Centre contractor, are considered to be covered by the TUPE regulations, and consultation will be undertaken with those individuals in line with Council Policy on the externalisation of services at the appropriate time.

Given that the bidders specific proposals for undertaking Education and Awareness raising initiatives, and details of any plans to develop joint working and contract management procedures are not yet known it has not been possible to identify if any staff currently employed within these areas by the Council are likely to be affected by the TUPE regulations.

It is possible that certain staff currently directly employed by the County Council in Recycling and Promotions, or Contract Management could be affected by the new arrangements, however until detailed management proposals are put forward by the bidders the exact nature of any transfers will be impossible to assess. Once again however any individuals affected will be consulted in line with current policy at the appropriate time.
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## vision

## The need for a Waste Strategy

## The Vision

## Scope of Strategy

In Nottinghamshire we are creating more waste than ever before, and new European and national legislation will require us to reduce the amount of waste we dispose of to landfill. As a result, we will need to make changes to the way waste is managed in Nottinghamshire. Across the County we need to consider how we can:

- curb the increasing trend in household waste arisings
(achieve national targets for recycling and recovery of household waste
- reduce the proportion of household waste going to landfill
( control the costs of waste management while delivering Best Value.

The County Council and the seven District and Borough Councils in Nottinghamshire have been working together technically over the past 18 months on a strategy for managing the municipal waste produced within the County. The purpose of this Strategy is:
(to provide a framework for the Councils to plan and manage their waste management services in an integrated way
to increase the sustainability of waste management in Nottinghamshire by promoting waste minimisation, and increasing the re-use, recycling and composting of waste

- to meet the needs of the residents of Nottinghamshire, be environmentally acceptable and affordable to the Councils.

This Strategy is for Municipal Waste, that is the wastes that the District and Borough Councils and the County Council have a responsibility for collecting and disposing. Municipal waste is mainly composed of
() wastes produced by householders
( wastes produced by trade premises and collected by the councils

- litter and street sweepings.

Significant quantities of industrial and commercial wastes are also produced in the County, however, it is the responsibility of the producers of these wastes to make sure that these wastes are collected and disposed of in an environmentally acceptable manner.


A Draft Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Nottinghamshire

The County Council has a responsibility for ensuring that adequate facilities are provided in the County for the management and disposal of all kinds of waste. The Nottinghamshire Waste Local Plan establishes the overall need for waste management capacity in the County and sets out the landuse planning and development control policies that apply to the siting of waste management facilities.

## This Draft Strategy

This document sets out the objectives for municipal waste management in the County over the next 20 years. It describes the issues facing Nottinghamshire and proposes a way forward. It identifies:
( the short, medium and long term requirements for managing municipal waste
(the cost of delivering the solution and associated funding issues

- the roles and responsibilities of the County Council, the District and Borough Councils and the public to make the solutions work.

As these changes will affect all households and the success of future waste management options will be dependant on everyone participating, we are asking for everyone's views on the proposed arrangements. Everyone's views will be important in developing the final version of this Strategy.

# objectives 

## Challenging

Objectives


The objectives proposed for the Strategy are challenging. Achievement of these objectives will involve us all playing our part. All householders will need to think about how they can reduce the amount of waste they produce and participate in recycling on a regular basis; we as Councils will need to support householders to change their practices and provide the necessary facilities.

The Nottinghamshire local authorities propose four key objectives for the Strategy:

1. To stabilise, and in due course reduce, the amount of municipal waste generated in Nottinghamshire. This will involve us all in "doing our bit" and changing everyone's habits to ensure that waste does not continue to increase at the rate it has in recent years.
2. To achieve the national targets for waste recycling and recovery and to reduce the amount of municipal waste that is disposed to landfill sites.

The waste strategy for England and Wales, Waste Strategy 2000, indicates that the Government will introduce statutory performance standards for recycling by local councils. The initial target, for 2003, will need Nottinghamshire, as a whole, to double its recycling rate from $8 \%$ to $16 \%$ over the next 3 years. By 2015, we will need to recycle over $30 \%$ of our waste.

In addition, the European Landfill Directive sets targets that progressively restrict the amount of biodegradable municipal waste that can be disposed to landfill. Biodegradable wastes include garden wastes, food wastes and paper. These targets mean that by 2020, we need to divert from landfill about half of the waste we currently send to landfill.
3. To deliver an affordable and environmentally acceptable waste management service. The costs associated with waste management are set to increase over the next 20 years. Therefore every effort must be made to ensure cost increases are kept to a minimum whilst ensuring that the most practical and environmentally acceptable solution is implemented
4. To implement solutions that have the support of the public, a strategy that requires a substantial increase in recycling will not be successful unless householders support it and are prepared to participate.

## facing nottinghamshire

A Draft Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Nottinghamshire

## Did you know?



3\%

Last year around 444,000 tonnes of municipal waste was produced in Nottinghamshire. Each household in Nottinghamshire produces on average 1.34 tonnes of waste per year. About 1 tonne of this is collected directly from the household through the weekly refuse collection service. On average only 100 kilograms of waste per household is recycled or composted.

Last year 75\% of this waste was disposed at landfill sites in the

County, $16 \%$ was incinerated at the Eastcroft Energy from Waste Plant in Nottingham City and 9\% was recycled and composted.

To collect, recycle and dispose of this waste last year cost $£ 18.9$ million, the equivalent of $£ 59.30$ for each household in the County.

## The issues



There are a number of issues facing Nottinghamshire in preparing a framework for waste management over the next 20 years:

1. The quantity of waste has the potential to double.
2. Changes in European and National policy and legislation are designed to reduce our dependence on landfill as the main method for disposing of waste.
3. Increasing emphasis on recovering and recycling wastes means that new facilities will have to be developed for the collection, recycling and treatment of waste.
4. The implementation of new waste management arrangements will require greater co-operation between the County Council and the District and Borough Councils. New approaches to working together and to funding waste management services will be required if the Strategy is to be successfully implemented.

## An increasing problem

These issues are discussed further below and have informed the development of a "potential solution" which is presented in the next chapter.

The amount of waste we produce is increasing every year. Based on recent trends waste has the potential to grow at a rate of between $1 \%$ and $3 \%$ per year. Below is a forecast of how waste generation will increase in the future based on these trends.


## National targets

The amount of waste produced will double over the next 20 years if it continues to increase at an average rate of $3 \%$ per year. This will impact on the cost of waste management and the need for new facilities. For environmental and financial reasons it would be better to have less waste to have to deal with. Waste quantities will continue to be monitored on an annual basis to determine if these trends are sustained.
"Waste Strategy 2000", establishes national targets for the recovery and recycling of municipal waste.

By recycling we mean the collection of materials such as glass, paper, cans and textiles for recycling and the composting of garden waste and other organic wastes such as food wastes.


## Impact of Government targets

By recovery we mean all options for recovering value from the waste stream, this may include recycling and composting, but it also includes using waste to produce energy as currently occurs at the Eastcroft Energy-from-Waste Plant.

The national targets are:

- to recover 40\% of municipal waste, including $25 \%$ recycling and composting by 2005;
- to recover 45\% of municipal waste, including $30 \%$ recycling and composting by 2010; and
- to recover 67\% of municipal waste, including 33\% recycling and composting by 2015 .

Directly translating these targets to Nottinghamshire means that by 2015 we will need to recover just under 400,000 tonnes of waste (assuming our waste continues to grow at $3 \%$ per year until 2005 and that we reduce the growth rate to $1 \%$ per year thereafter). If we can reduce the amount of waste we produce then the quantity we have to recover will also reduce.


## Statutory Performance Standards

## How do we achieve the targets?



To ensure the national targets are achieved the Government is to introduce statutory performance standards for recycling by local councils. The Government intends to set targets for 2003, 2005 and 2010. The proposed performance standard for the County as a whole for 2003/4 is a doubling of the 1998/99
 recycling and composting rate from 8\% to $16 \%$. The proposed standard for 2005/6 is 24\%

As a result, carrying on as we are at present is not an option; we must change the way we manage our wastes if we are to achieve these targets and improve the environmental performance of our waste management.

In the short term we need to dramatically improve our recycling and composting performance. There is insufficient time to develop new treatment facilities, therefore to achieve the 2003 targets we must build on our current recycling systems and increase the amount of waste we collect through recycling points, kerbside collections and the household waste recycling centres. Most householders in the County have some local recycling facilities, but sometimes these facilities are not well advertised or are not convenient to use. We need to improve access to these facilities and provide more facilities.

In the medium and long term we will need to develop new waste management facilities to recover and recycle the increasing quantities of waste we produce. We must develop an integrated system that recovers value from the majority of the waste we produce. This will require a number of different waste management methods to be used and may include some or all of the following:

- additional kerbside collections of recyclable materials (e.g. paper, cans, textiles) segregated by householders. Some kerbside collection schemes are already operating in parts of the County.


Importance of waste minimisation

## Costs will increase

- waste processing plants (for sorting recyclable materials or for sorting mixed collected waste i.e. 'black sack' or 'wheeled bin' wastes).
- composting facilities - there is currently a facility at Langar where garden waste collected at the household waste recycling centres is composted.
- energy from waste or thermal treatment facilities - around 70,000 tonnes of the County's waste and a similar quantity of waste from the City is treated at the Eastcroft facility every year. This produces heat for premises in the City of Nottingham. Surplus heat is used to generate up to 11MW of electricity.
- landfill sites - there will still remain a requirement for landfill sites for the disposal of some municipal wastes.

Developing new waste management facilities is only part of the solution, we all must take more responsibility for the waste that we produce. We need to change our ways and seek opportunities to reduce and minimise the amount of waste we produce. There are many benefits of doing this:
(2) preserves resources for future generations

- reduces the impact on the environment from collecting, treating and disposing of waste
- reduces the amount of waste we need to recover and recycle to achieve the targets
- reduces the financial burden of waste management.

The cost of collecting, recycling, treating and disposing of the waste we produce is going to increase and has the potential to more than double over the next 20 years.

This is because:
for many years we have been able to dispose of waste to landfill at a relatively low cost, but the cost of landfill is increasing due to the requirements for higher engineering and environmental standards

## Working together to find a solution


() higher taxes will also make landfill more costly - landfill tax at $£ 7$ /tonne was introduced in October 1996; it increased to $£ 10$ /tonne in April 1999, and will be $£ 15 /$ tonne by April 2004.

- the cost of recovery and recycling options are generally higher than landfill.

Therefore we must develop an integrated solution that recovers the greatest value from our waste. However, the most effective way of minimising the increase in waste management costs is to reduce the amount of waste we produce.

The Country Council and the District and Borough Councils in Nottinghamshire have been examining the best methods of delivering a waste management service across the County that represents Best Value to all residents.

Nottingham City Council is also going through a process of developing its future Waste Strategy and is considering how it will meet the national targets. The City Council is responsible for collecting and disposing of all municipal waste produced within the City boundaries.

The Nottinghamshire local authorities and the City Council have kept each other informed of their progress. They are used to working together. The County benefits from using the Eastcroft Energy from Waste plant, located within the City boundaries and the City benefits from using household waste recycling centres and landfill sites located in the County. It is important that the relationship with the City is further developed in the future.

The next chapter sets out our proposed way forward for dealing with the issues that face us. The potential solution that is put forward is the result of a detailed examination of a range of different waste management options. The views of all Nottinghamshire local authorities and the City of Nottingham have been sought and these have informed the work completed to date.

## otential solution

## Identifying a potential solution

## Phased implementation and regular reviews

The County Council and the District and Borough Councils have examined different ways of handling our municipal waste in the future. The Councils are aiming to achieve the various targets set by the Government but recognise that these are difficult and challenging.

A wide range of collection, sorting, treatment and disposal methods have been looked at and a solution that achieves the targets has been identified. It is recognised that widespread change cannot be implemented all at one go - the authorities simply do not have the resources to this. Furthermore it takes time to plan, develop, construct and obtain the necessary planning consents for new facilities. Therefore, it is proposed that the Strategy be implemented in three stages consistent with the key dates set by the National targets.

The Short Term: Now until 2003/4, this includes measures to achieve the first Statutory Performance Targets.

- The Medium Term: 2004/5 to 2010, which aims to achieve the targets set down in Waste Strategy 2000.

The Long Term: 2011 to 2020, which aims to achieve Waste Strategy 2000 targets and the waste diversion targets of the European Landfill Directive.

This is considered to have a number of advantages:

- Progress against targets can be monitored on a regular basis and formal review dates will be established in the final Strategy
( Provides some flexibility so that new and emerging technologies can be re-considered to ensure that the Best Practical Environmental Option for dealing with the waste is selected throughout
(It is consistent with the principles of Best Value that require service reviews to be conducted at least on a 5 -yearly basis.


## Factors for Success



The Nottinghamshire local authorities recognise that achieving the recycling and recovery targets will be challenging and will require considerable effort from all involved as well as additional resources to be allocated to waste management. The particular challenges are considered to be:

- The ability to achieve the recycling and composting rates that are required to meet the targets in the short to medium term - these rates need to be more than double the rates achieved in the last 3 years;
() Increasing the number of people taking part in recycling activities regardless of the systems put in place if the number of people who actively recycle does not increase, we will fail to meet the targets. The introduction of new recycling schemes will need to be supported by extensive publicity and information campaigns to ensure appropriate levels of participation.
- Securing additional funding - the Strategy will result in significant financial implications for the future as alternative methods of managing waste are introduced.
- The need to secure market outlets for recyclable materials increasing the quantities of recyclable materials collected will require new market outlets and uses for these materials. Increased recycling needs to be underpinned by reliable markets.

Awareness of the issues facing us in delivering a sustainable waste management system in Nottinghamshire is a key factor. Therefore a Public Education and Awareness Campaign will be developed to support the implementation of the agreed Waste Strategy. The local authorities are currently seeking to ensure funds are allocated to this activity in the 2001/2 budget. Such a campaign will promote:

- waste minimisation - because by stabilising or reducing the amount of waste we generate will make the targets easier to achieve
- "green" purchasing - helping people understand the implications of buying certain goods and their impact on the amount of waste produced


## Achieving the Recycling

 Targets

- local recycling and composting schemes - to ensure that everyone knows what part they can play and how and what they must do to make waste recycling successful.


## Short Term: Present to 2003/4

Aim: Achieve 2003/4 performance target i.e. double 1998/9 household waste recycling/composting rate from $\mathbf{8 \%}$ to $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ This is equivalent to $\mathbf{8 0 , 0 0 0}$ tonnes or $\mathbf{2 4 0 k g}$ per household by 2003/4.

The time scale does not allow for the development of new waste processing facilities due to the time required to award contract(s), obtain planning consents, and construct and commission new facilities. Therefore the target needs to be achieved by building on existing practices and infrastructure. The proposal is to:

- continue to promote home composting and treat 5,000 tonnes of waste in this way.
- increase kerbside collections of recyclable materials - initially the focus will be on the collection of paper. Several councils already provide a kerbside paper collection service, but this service needs to be extended to more households and all households must be encouraged to participate on a regular basis. In addition, some councils are keen to introduce the separate collection of "green" garden waste for composting. Kerbside collections must collect at least 26,500 tonnes by 2003.
(increase bring recycling, to ensure that other recyclable materials e.g. glass, cans textiles etc, are collected at the various recycling points across the County. 20,500 tonnes would need to be collected through these recycling points.
- increase the composting of green waste from the Household Waste Recycling Centres to $\mathbf{2 8 , 0 0 0}$ tonnes by 2003, this is double the current quantity and would require a new composting facility to be built.


## Medium Term: 2004 to 2010

Aim: Achieve 25\% recycling/composting by 2005/6 and 30\% by 2010.

This is equivalent to 132,500 tonnes ( $395 \mathrm{~kg} /$ household) in 2005 and 167,000 tonnes ( $475 \mathrm{~kg} /$ household) in 2010

To achieve the 2005 and 2010 recycling targets will require the collection of more recyclable materials, placing more responsibility on householders to segregate their waste, and requiring increased participation particularly at recycling points and Household Waste Recycling Centres. The proposal is to:

- expand the paper kerbside collections to include cans and textiles, with the aim of collecting 44,500 tonnes by 2005 and 56,500 by 2010. This would necessitate the development of Materials Recovery Facilities to sort the collected waste.
- increase the use of recycling points, mainly for glass, and Household Waste Recycling Centre recycling points to recover 45,000 tonnes by 2005. The quantity of material collected at these points would need to increase again by 2010 to 55,000 tonnes.
- increase the composting of green garden waste collected at the Household Waste Recycling Centres to $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ tonnes by 2010, this is almost doubling the target quantity for 2003.
( maintain home composting at $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0}$ tonnes.


## Long Term: 2011 to 2020

Aim: Achieve 33\% recycling and composting by 2015. This is equivalent to $\mathbf{1 9 3 , 0 0 0}$ tonnes or 530 kg /household

Assuming the necessary collection infrastructure for recyclable materials is put in place during Stages 1 and 2 - the Short and Medium Term - the options for increasing recycling to achieve these higher levels of recycling and composting are:
(to promote and encourage even higher levels of participation by householders, which based on current behaviour will be challenging. However, public attitudes may have changed and incentives to recycle and recover waste may be introduced to make such participation possible.

- to increase the range of materials collected at the kerbside for recycling such as plastic bottles, other forms of plastic packaging, mixed paper and card. The councils are unwilling to collect these materials until they are confident that markets can be identified for the reprocessing of these materials.
- to increase the amount of waste that is dealt with by home composting.

Decisions on how best to achieve the higher recycling targets that will need to be achieved beyond 2010 will be made during the "Medium Term" based on our experience over the next 10 years. The councils recognise that by this time there may be alternative methods for recovering materials for recycling and composting. Such methods are discussed below in "Achieving the Recovery Targets".

## Achieving the Recovery Targets



Having considered how the recycling and composting targets might be best achieved, we need to determine how best to manage the residual wastes so that more waste is diverted from landfill and the overall Waste Recovery targets are achieved. The residual waste is the 'normal' refuse collected from households - the waste householders put out for collection in a wheeled bin or black sack - and the waste householders take to the Household Waste Recycling Centres but is not separated for recycling.

In the Short Term the majority of this waste will continue to be disposed of to landfill as per current arrangements. The County Council has a number of contracts in place with private sector landfill operators for the disposal of this waste. These contracts expire in 2003. New arrangements will be required in the medium term.

## Medium Term: 2004 to 2010

Aim: Achieve 40\% recovery by 2005 increasing to 45\% by 2010. This is equivalent to total recovery levels of $\mathbf{2 1 2 , 0 0 0}$ tonnes in 2005 and 250,000 tonnes by 2010. (assuming 132,500 tonnes are recycled/composted in 2005 and 167,000 tonnes in 2010)


Nottinghamshire is in a fortunate position as it currently sends waste to the Eastcroft Energy from Waste Plant. The conversion of waste to energy counts towards achieving the recovery targets, because:
(2) the thermal treatment of waste is a recovery activity as value from the waste stream is recovered in the form of energy (both heat and power);
(2) the Eastcroft Energy from Waste Plant contributes some 70,000 tonnes to the achievement of the recovery targets.

However, achievement of the 2005 and 2010 targets will require additional capacity. This can be achieved by increasing the capacity of the Eastcroft facility. This option has been under consideration for a number of years. A future extension to the facility could provide an additional 100,000 tonnes of capacity, to be shared by the County Council and Nottingham City Council. It would need to be the subject of a planning application and environmental impact assessment.

The advantages of increasing the capacity of Eastcroft include:

- the extension of the plant could be accommodated at the existing site and would avoid the need to develop a new site;
- the infrastructure is already in place to utilise the energy recovered from the plant - in the form of links to the National Grid for electricity produced at the plant and the provision of heat to the local district heating scheme;
(2) waste could be delivered to the plant with the minimum disruption to the collection services.


This additional capacity - which would provide up to 50,000 tonnes per year for county wastes - would ensure that the recovery targets for the County would be achieved in both 2005 and 2010. The diversion of biodegradable waste required under the Landfill Directive would also be achieved through a combination of recycling, composting and energy recovery.

## Long Term: 2011 to 2020

Aim: Achieve 67\% recovery by 2015 and Landfill Directive diversion targets (recovery of 390,000 tonnes of waste including recycling and composting of 193,000 tonnes)

There are two broad options for dealing with the residual wastes to achieve these "Long Term" recovery targets:

- to increase the quantity of waste handled by thermal treatment with energy recovery. Approximately, a further 100,000 tonnes of capacity would be required. This additional capacity would be required by 2013 and would need a new facility to be developed - probably located in the north of the County; or
- providing facilities to process mixed waste (also referred to as biomechanical waste treatment) to separate potentially recyclable materials from the organic materials in the waste stream. The organic wastes are then subject to further treatment/composting to produce a material that is suitable for land restoration. A total capacity in the region of 340,000 tonnes would be required to achieve the targets. This would mean 2-3 new facilities located across the County.

There are a number of different approaches and technologies being introduced in the UK to sort and process mixed waste. However, at present such technology is not proven in the UK and therefore it is difficult to guarantee at this point in time that these processing options will be viable.

The Nottinghamshire local authorities recognise that new technologies for treating wastes are being developed and tested. They want to continue to monitor these approaches and technologies before deciding on the best long term option for the County. Your views are also important in this respect.

Decisions on the long term options do not have to be made at this time, but they will need to be made during the "Medium Term". Therefore, the councils consider it prudent to wait until the "Medium Term" to determine the most appropriate long term option. This will allow emerging technologies to demonstrate their viability, and our progress in achieving much higher levels of recycling to be monitored.

## Ongoing

 need for LandfillThe changes in waste management practices over the next 10 years will result in less municipal waste being sent to landfill. However landfill will remain a vital part of the integrated waste management system we are aiming to develop through this Strategy.

Increasing the recycling and recovery of municipal waste will reduce the amount of waste we send directly to landfill for disposal. However, recycling, processing and treatment options produce residues that will need to be disposed of to landfill. Landfill also is the only final disposal option for some wastes.


There will be an ongoing need for landfill capacity within the County. Based on the recycling and recovery systems discussed above, the landfill capacity required for municipal waste over the next 20 years is summarised opposite.

## Financial Implications



Changing our waste management practices will cost money. A key objective of the Nottinghamshire councils is to minimise the cost of delivering a waste management strategy to Council Tax payers whilst achieving the objectives for increased recycling and composting and ensuring that impacts on the environment are minimised. However, we have to be realistic, costs will increase as we implement new arrangements. Costs will increase, because we will need to:
( provide more collection services for the kerbside collection of recyclable materials
© provide new composting, recycling and treatment facilities as alternatives to sending most of our municipal waste to landfill

- incur higher costs for landfill disposal due to higher standards of operation and higher taxes
( implement a public awareness and education programme to raise awareness of waste management issues and explain new approaches to managing the wastes that we produce.

We also anticipate having more waste to collect and dispose of - but if we can control future growth in waste arisings then this will have a significant impact of reducing the increase in the costs of providing waste management services. This is why we believe it is important to invest in education and waste minimisation initiatives.

You may recall that earlier in this document we reported that the current annual cost of waste management to the Nottinghamshire authorities is around $\mathbf{£ 1 8 . 9}$ million, equivalent to about $\mathbf{£ 5 9}$ per household. Future costs are estimated to double by 2020 to approximately $£ \mathbf{3 7}$ million at current prices. In real terms this will be more. This is equivalent to nearly £100 per household.

Over the next 5 years costs are estimated to increase to around $\mathbf{£ 2 7}$ million at current prices - or by about $£ 20$ per household.

The Nottinghamshire councils are looking at alternative sources of funding and giving serious consideration to how these additional costs can be met.

# your views <br> what happens next? 

A Draft Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Nottinghamshire

## Your views are important

## What

happens
next?

With increasing waste arisings in Nottinghamshire and new European and national legislation requiring local authorities to reduce the amount of waste we dispose to landfill; the County Council commissioned consultants to help produce a draft Municipal Waste Strategy for Nottinghamshire. Working closely with the District and Borough Councils as waste collection authorities and Nottingham City Council this document sets out our collective internal views for such a draft Strategy.

Attached to this document is a questionnaire. We would like you to complete and return it to us. Your views are sought on:

- ways of reducing the amount of waste we produce as householders
() what measures need to be introduced to enable you to recycle more waste
- are the objectives of the Strategy the right ones?
- the alternative options for treating waste that is not recycled
(the criteria or factors that the Councils should take into account when making decisions about waste management.

The programme is as follows:
Early October - Consultations on the Draft Municipal Waste Management Strategy commence.
Mid November - We will review the consultation responses
Mid December - Nottinghamshire local authorities including the City Council will discuss the outcome of the consultation process, and agree the final Waste Strategy and implementation plan.
March 2001

- publication of the approved Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Nottinghamshire


## April 2001 onwards

- Strategy will start to be put into action.

During 2001 - the County Council will start the process of putting in place new contracts for the treatment, recovery and disposal of wastes. These contracts need to be in place by 2003 when the existing contracts expire. This process will take some time to complete.


The Strategy will not be finalised until the responses to the consultation have been reviewed and considered. At this stage a more detailed implementation programme will be drawn up and will be included as part of the Strategy.

However, the time we have to meet the 2003/4 recycling targets is tight. This means the Nottinghamshire authorities must continue to work together to ensure that we move forward quickly once the Strategy has been approved.

More formal working arrangements will be put in place between the County and District/Borough Councils to ensure that the Strategy objectives are implemented consistently across Nottinghamshire, and to clarify decision making across all the authorities.

Special thanks to supporting partners:



# n 

# Cabinet Member for 

Environment County Hall
West Bridgford Nottingham NG2 7QP
Tel: (0115) 9823823
Fax: (0115) 9822432
Lmtmw1431.21/THB/DU
30 May 2003

Mr P Toombs
Head of Branch
Department for Environment, Food and
Rural Affairs
Ashdown House - Room 7D/12
123 Victoria Street, LONDON
SW1E 6DE

Dear Mr Toombs

## NOTTINGHAMSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL PFI BID FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT

I am pleased to give my full support as Cabinet Member for Environment to the County Council's application for financial support to achieve enhanced recycling levels.

The County Council has already completed a number of PFI projects and is currently negotiating a major educational PFI for the north of the County. The Council has procedures in place for decision making in order to deliver successful PFI contracts and in considering the Outline Business Case for this project, Members have given their support in principle for PFI funding and the affordability issues that fall upon the County Council.

The County Council has been working closely with all of the District Councils over the last three years in developing and implementing a County-wide waste strategy. This partnership has resulted, for instance, in pooled recycling targets for 2003/04, the agreement to a joint PSA for Waste and success in securing Government funding for the acquisition of recycling containers for households.

```
Page 2
Mr P Toombs
```

In order to oversee the implementation of our waste strategy a countywide Joint Member Board was established some two years ago and has met regularly since. The Board consists of the Portfolio Holder for each of the District Councils supported by their Chief Technical Officer. I attend and am Chair of the Board and am supported by the Director of Environment and one of his Assistant Directors. To ensure the work of the Board goes smoothly the Chief Technical Officers meet independently once every month. The success of this arrangement has meant that by the end of this year the District Councils will have issued some 185,000 recycling containers to households throughout the County. Firm plans are now also in place for six of the seven Counties to complete the rollout of recycling containers to all households in their area by the end of 2004. The six Districts will make a decision in September on the issue of recycling containers.

What the County Council now needs to do is to award contracts that will provide their development of new waste management facilities in the County. To ensure this process goes smoothly the County Council has already acquired two sites and will shortly receive outline planning permission for the construction MRFs on these sites.

We have set ourselves a demanding timetable to ensure we achieve our recycling levels for 2004 and 2005 but I am sure my Officers and staff from DEFRA can work together to achieve this target and make this project one that we can all be proud of.

Yours sincerely


Councillor T H Butler
Cabinet Member for Environment

Our Reference :
GMP/JH
Your Reference :
Date:
3 June 2003

Mr Trigg
Nottinghamshire County Council
Environment
Trent Bridge House
Fox Road
NOTTINGHAM
NG2 6BJ

Dear Mr Trigg

## PFI Outline Business Case

Thank you for the opportunity to give outline support of the County Council's PFI Outline Business Case.

As you are aware, Rushcliffe Borough Council are totally committed to the implementation of a twin bin, alternative week refuse collection service. The programme of implementation is such that the delivery of twin bins will be completed by June 2004. Once complete, a total of approximately 88,000 wheeled bins will have been distributed.

Once the County Council has finally confirmed the location and type of the new recycling plants, the preferred contractor and the time-scales, I will seek the Council's formal approval to use these facilities. Unfortunately, until such time that I have this information, I am unable to seek final approval.


Borough Public Protection Officer

Rushcliffe

## Borough Public <br> Protection <br> Service

Civic Centre
Pavilion Road
West Bridgford
Nottingham
NG2 5FE
Tel:
01159819911

Fax:
01159148452
web site:
www.rushcliffe.gov.uk
e-mail:
info@rushcliffe.gov.uk

DX 719907
West Bridgford

## Glyn Pilkington miwm <br> Borough Public Protection Officer

Tracey Blackwell MSc MCIEH
Head of Environmental Health

Mick Smedley
Head of Works
John Neal
MRICS
Building Control Manager


## Our Ref:

## PGD/KJE

Email: tws@broxtowe.gov.uk


为
INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Nottinghamshire County Council
Environment Department
Trent Bridge House
Fox Road
West Bridgford
Nottingham NG2 6BJ

## Fao: Mr M Prig

Dear Malvin
In response to discussions concerning the waste strategy, I write to confirm that the Council fully supports the twin bin system. It is intended that our twin bin service will be fully operational throughout the borough (in excess of 40,000 properties) before the end of the 2003/4 financial year.

As you know, DEFRA funding is supporting the construction of a transfer facility at Giltbrook for the Borough's mixed dry recyclable materials. Assuming continued use of this facility is built into your overall requirements, I can confirm that once the County Council has final proposals for the location of new recycling plants, the preferred contractors and timescales, I will seek the Council's support for your plans.

Clearly this approval cannot be sought until the final proposed arrangements are confirmed.

Yours sincerely


## Director of Technical and Works Services

cc. Chief Executive

Director of Finance
CIr Heptinstall
ClII Cast
ClII C Wombwell

Mr M Orig
Assistant Director of Environment (Transport)
Nottinghamshire County Council
Trent Bridge House
Fox Road
West Bridgford
Nottinghamshire

| Direct Line: | $(0115) 9013610$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Switchboard: | $(0115) 9013901$ |
| Extension: | 3610 |
| Fax: | $(0115) 9013609$ |
| Minicom: | $(0115) 9013935$ |
| Email: | dave.parton@gedling.gov.uk |
| Our Ref: | DP/CJW |
| Date: | $4^{\text {th }}$ June 2003 |

Dear Malvin
PEI OUTLINE BUSINESS CASE
SUBJECT TO CONTRACT

I can confirm that Gedling Borough Council supports the principle of the Nottingham County Council providing materials recycling facilities within the County.

Our future plans include the provision of a second bin to approximately $90 \%$ of the households in the Borough over the next 3 years on the basis that an MRF will be available to sort recyclate materials. This Authority has been very active in promoting the need for an MRF, hopefully within our own Borough, and I believe that we have been a 'lead authority' in promoting this issue at the waste management officers and member board meetings. These meetings have resulted in a considerable improvement in waste management relations between all the districts, Nottingham City Council and the County Council.

I will however, still have to seek formal approval from our Cabinet Members on this issue. This can only be undertaken when you have confirmed the location of the Recycling Plants, the identity of the preferred contractor and the timescales for the construction and opening of the proposed MRFs and must also be subject to satisfactory completion of negotiations on the detail of the arrangement between us. I assume that these issues cannot be sorted until funding is secured.

Best wishes with your application for funding.
Yours sincerely


David Parton
Head of Direct Services


I can confirm on behalf of Ashfield District Council that we support in principal Nottinghamshire County Council's proposals and intend to introduce a twin bin scheme to provide co-mingled dry recyclable materials to facilities provided by the County. Up to date, Ashfield District Council have introduced a trial round of approximately 6300 second bins and will, subject to member approval in December 2003, begin to roll out a second bin to the remaining 42000 premises over the next 15 months or so, the final phase to be subject to the commisioning date for the MRF facility proposed by the County. Once the location and extent of the facilities to be provided are known from the successful tenderer to the County, this support can be more formalised.

## MANSFIELD DISTRICT COUNCIL

# Working for the future 

Your Rel:
Mr. M. Trigg,
Assistant Director of EnvironmentTransportation,
Nottinghamshire County Council, County Hall, West Bridgford, NOTTINGHAM

Chur Rut $\quad$ CB/PB

When calling please ask for

Mr. Bonar - Direct Line 463119
06 June 2003

Dear Mr Trigg,
Further to recent discussions and communication with my officers, I confirm that Mansfield District Council give Outline Support, in principle, to the County Private Finance Initiative (PFI) Outline Business Case in regard to developing recycling (MRF) plants.

This is in accordance with Mansfield's priority commitment, reinforced through the District's Community Strategy, to achieve challenging recycling targets. As you are aware, Mansfield has been successful through the support of defra funding in initially piloting and now extending the twin bin recycling initiative to $60 \%$ of the resident population by March 2004 involving the issue of 26000 recycling bins. The provision of the County recycling plants is critical to the District being able to achieve its recycling targets.

Following confirmation from yourselves of the location, contractor and timescales for provision of the recycling plant, I will, in accordance with Council policy, then seek final endorsement.
 Chief Executive Officer

\author{

- CHIEF OFFICERS - <br> Chief Executive Officer - RIchard Goad <br> J:L\&TSILETTERSUCB0501.doc Director of Operational Services - Chrls Collison Director of Resources - David Yorke
}


## APPENDIX 3

## MEMBERS OF THE NOTTINGHAMSHIRE WASTE PARTNERSHIP

Nottinghamshire County Council - Waste Disposal Authority
Ashfield District Council - Waste Collection Authority
Bassetlaw District Council - Waste Collection Authority
Broxtowe District Council - Waste Collection Authority
Gedling District Council - Waste Collection Authority
Mansfield District Council - Waste Collection Authority
Newark and Sherwood District Council - Waste Collection Authority
Rushcliffe Borough Council - Waste Collection Authority

## Executive Summary

## The Waste and Recycling Best Value Service Review

### 1.0 I s this a good service?

### 1.1 Needs of the service

1.1.1 The County Council has a statutory duty to dispose of Household waste collected by the District Councils and to provide civic amenity sites Household Waste and Recycling Centres (HWRC). As far as recycling targets for the County Council are concerned, these are confined to waste delivered to HWRC provided by the County Council. The service is currently delivered by eight directly employed members of staff carrying out a client function and a number of private sector companies operating HWRC's via competitive tendering contracts.
1.1.2 The District Councils have a statutory duty for collecting household waste, and their recycling targets are based on the amounts collected by them.

### 1.2 Corporate aims

1.2.1 The Authority's Strategic Plan for 2002-2005 "Building a Future" outlines six key priorities for meeting our vision of becoming one of the 'top 20' Councils. Environment is one of these priorities and we have made a commitment to :
"safeguard the natural and built environment" and work for more and better public transport options, better roads, more recycling and less waste ".
The Strategic Plan further states that Sustainability and Partnerships are two of the four cornerstones that will underpin the achievement of all the County Councils priorities.

### 1.3 Performance Management

1.3.1 The objective of the Review was to put in place clear and measurable action plans both for the County Council and the District Councils in order to achieve statutory waste management targets for 2003/04 and 2005/06, together with aspirational targets for 2010 and 2015.
1.3.2 The National Waste Strategy statutory targets to be achieved by 2003/4 and 2005/6 and non-strategy targets for later years are summarised below:-

| Phase | Date | Recycling <br> Composting <br> $\%$ | (Including Recycling \& Composting) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

1.3.3 Currently the overall Countrywide recycling level stands at $15 \%$ and through incineration recovery level is at $13.5 \%$, ie $28.5 \%$ overall.
1.3.4 It should be noted that there are indications that the targets for 2010 and 2015 will become statutory targets and possibly increase current target levels.
1.3.5 The statutory recycling targets are split between the Districts and the County for 2003/04 and 2005/06. The following table summarises current performance against identified targets. As can be seen, many of the Districts
need to increase their recycling levels substantially in order to achieve their targets.

|  | Current Level |  | Future Targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authority | Recycling Tonnage 2000/ 2001 | (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Recycling Tonnage } \\ \text { 2003/ } 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1 \\ \% \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Recycling Tonnage 2005/2006 | 1 <br> $\%$ <br> 1 |
| Ashfield | 1.208 | 3 | 4,800 | 10 | 8,600 | 18 |
| Bassetlaw | 2,596 | 6 | 7,000 | 14 | 10,4000 | 21 |
| Broxtowe | 1,825 | 5 | 4,100 | 10 | 7,400 | 18 |
| Gedling | 3,842 | 9 | 6,500 | 14 | 9,800 | 21 |
| Mansfield | 1,376 | 3 | 4,200 | 10 | 7,600 | 18 |
| Newark | 2,499 | 6 | 4,400 | 10 | 8,000 | 18 |
| Rushcliffe | 2,601 | 8 | 4,400 | 12 | 6,600 | 18 |
| District Totals | 15,947 | 5.7 | 35,600 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 11 \\ .4 \end{array}$ | 58,500 | $\begin{array}{r}18 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| County <br> Totals | 36,848 | 34.8 | 30,900 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 29 \\ .2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 41,100 | 40 $*$ |
| Countywide <br> Target | 52,795 | 13 | 66,300 | 16 | 99,500 | 24 |

* Based on the total tonnage of waste delivered to the HWRC in 2000/01.
1.3.6 The following graph illustrates the increase in tonnage of material that needs to be recovered and recycled in Nottinghamshire between now and 2015.

Performance is easily measurable and monitoring arrangements are in place in relation to the amount of waste collected by individual Councils in Nottinghamshire.

### 1.4 Performance Comparison

1.4.1 There are currently seven national Best Value Performance indicators related to Waste Management. Nottinghamshire is one of 36 County Council Waste Disposal Authorities and our collective performance for 2000/01 ranks Nottinghamshire in the top quartile. A breakdown of performance by individual indicator is shown below:-

## Out of 36

BV 87
BV82C
BV82D
BV82B
BV90C
BV82A BV84

Cost Energy from Waste Diversion from Landfill Composting Customer Satisfaction Recycling Weight

2nd
2nd Joint 3rd 9th 13th 19th 33rd

```
)
) Top
) Quartile
)
```

1.4.2 It should be noted that the recycling indicator (BV82A) relates to overall recycling performance i.e a combined figure for the amount of waste recycled by both the Districts and the County. Although the Household Waste and Recycling Centres (HWRC) performance is amongst the best in the country, the low recycling levels currently being achieved by a number of the Districts puts the Authority well below the top quartile. In simple terms, the County Council is well positioned to meet its Phase I and Phase II targets, however,
the District Councils will have to increase their overall recycling levels by a factor of nearly 4 to meet their statutory targets for 2005/6. BV84 "Weight" is also dependent on the collection system operated by the District Councils.

### 1.5 Public Opinion

1.5.1 Customer surveys have been carried out as part of the development of the County Council's Best Value Review and these have been supplemented by customer focus groups. Also, annual surveys of customers at the HWRCs are carried out to gather information of customer satisfaction levels in order to identify future site improvements. The survey carried out in early 2002 gave a customer rating of $99 \%$ for the provision being either satisfactory or excellent. The key finding of the survey was the public's lack of awareness of the split in responsibility for waste management; they also felt manufacturers have a responsibility for reducing packaging and current lifestyles make it difficult to reduce waste i.e. use of real nappies, and visits to recycling centres, bottle banks etc. The survey also confirmed the public was prepared to engage in recycling activities provided suitable containers and supporting information on recycling were provided by the Councils. Interviews with a public group involved in a trial of garden waste collection stated that they did not support the activities and would prefer to recycle dry recyclables, such as paper, card, plastic, cans and textiles. Unfortunately, a number of Districts want to continue to pursue the collection of garden waste.

### 2.0 Will the service improve?

### 2.1 Challenging the current service delivery mechanisms

2.1.1 External consultants were appointed to consider best practice both in the UK and abroad to identify options which would meet the proposed recycling and recovery targets. The conclusions presented in relation to achieving the targets are as follows:-

## Phase I: To Recycle 16\% by 2003/ 04

Improving the performance of the current HWRC's, by the awarding of a redesigned contract, together with minor improvements in the District Council's recycling schemes, the 2003/04 targets will be achieved and possibly exceeded.

### 2.1.2 Phase II: To Recycle 24\% by 2005/ 06

With respect to achieving these recycling targets, the following options were identified and evaluated:-

Option 1 The use of a sorting plant to take mixed raw waste as currently collected by the District Councils. (Is this not an MRF?)
Evaluation There are currently no plants operating in the country capable 1 of sorting mixed raw waste as this material is too contaminated. The approach does work in the United States but their waste stream is much cleaner because of the extensive use of kitchen disposal units.

Option 2 The development of kerbside sorting of recyclables by the public into separate waste recycling containers i.e. multi bins with the material delivered to bulking stations.

Evaluation The process of multi collection of different recyclable materials 2 currently operational in Germany and Holland was excluded due to the high cost of investment in multiple collection vehicles and containers. It was also considered that the public would be resistant to sorting waste in this fashion and crosscontamination would be high.

Option 3 The kerbside sorting by the public into two separate containers, one for dry recycled material (what is this?) and one for residual waste, with the recyclable material) being delivered to a Materials Recycling Facility (MRF) for sorting.

Evaluation The kerbside sorting by the public into two bins is considered 3 feasible and is already operated by a number of authorities, one of which has been visited by a group of officers and Members from the County and District Councils. This approach would mean the County Council establishing a MRF to sort the recycled material into various recyclable streams such as paper, card, cans, plastics and textiles. These type of plants are currently operational in the UK.

Option 4 The introduction of composting plants/processors for the organic fraction of waste separated by twin bin collection systems i.e. one for organic waste and one for residuals.

Evaluation There are a number of composting processes currently being trialed in the UK. However, the government is currently reconsidering the regulations that govern composting which may result in the current method of open-air composting being restricted to garden waste only. Accordingly composting of other waste would have to be carried out in large-scale closed containers that would be considerably more expensive than open-air composting. One of the District Council's has proposed composting within large-scale closed containers. However, at this moment in time this process has an unacceptable level of risk as the costs are unknown. However it is accepted that the composting of garden waste may be necessary in order to achieve the long term recycling targets.
2.1.3 In conclusion, the preferred option is for the Districts to introduce a twin bin collection system for dry recyclables and the County Council to provide a MRF. In order that the costs to the Districts can be kept to a minimum, it has also been recommended that Districts change to a bi-weekly collection system. It must be noted however, that the provision of an efficient MRF operating at optional capacity is dependent upon the Districts introducing collection systems for dry recyclables in order to ensure sufficient throughput to the plant. Failure to do so would increase recycling until costs at the MRF and may result in Districts not meeting their statutory targets in 2005/06

## Phase III: To increase recovery to 45\% by 2010 (30\% recycled and 15\% recovery) and recycling to 33\% by 2015

2.1.4 It is proposed that this be achieved by expanding capacity at the existing Eastcroft Energy from Waste plant, from the current level of 150,000 tonnes per annum to 250,000 tonnes per annum. An expansion of the plant will be considerably cheaper than building a new plant. However, the County will only have some 50,000 tonnes available from the Greater Nottingham area. Accordingly we would have to let a joint contract with the City who also require a new facility for some 40,000 tonnes of waste.
2.1.5 To achieve the increased recycling targets for 2010 and 2015, it will be necessary to increase the performance of the collection systems through further education of the public and to increase the capacity of the MRF by extending its hours of operation.

## Phase IV: To raise the Recovery rate to 34\% by 2015

2.1.6 Due to the ongoing development of new technology it is recognised that it would be imprudent to predict exactly where and in what way the new facility would operate.

### 2.2 Consultation

2.2.1 Consultation on how to achieve the targets began some three years ago and has included three conferences involving the District Councils and stakeholder groups, as well as extensive public consultation through questionnaires and customer focus groups. In addition, a joint County, District and City Members Group has been established to consider the implementation of the Best Value Review. There was strong support from the public for the concept of recycling and particularly for schemes that would address the main barriers of making recycling easier i.e. the provision of suitable household containers.

### 2.3 Competition

2.3.1 All of the direct services for waste management provided by the County Council will be subject to competitive re-tendering exercise. The tendering process will allow private sector bidders to offer innovative variations to the County Council's proposals which will be assessed for feasibility and costs at the tenders evaluation stage. In addition, the County Council has asked the District Councils, who currently all provide collection services by Direct Service Organisations (D.S.O's), to consider if a joint countywide collection contract would be feasible and what cost benefits could result. It has also been suggested that the disposal contract let by the County Council includes collection. The District Councils have appointed consultants to consider these proposals.

### 2.4 I mprovement Plans

2.4.1 The Improvement/action plans have been organised into groups of actions to achieve the required targets for 2003/04, 2005/06, 2010 and 2015 respectively. These action plans aim to meet or exceed the required targets. The key areas for improvement relate to increasing the level of recycling and composting. To meet the long-term recovery targets, it is proposed to extend the Waste to Energy plant at Eastcroft. Proposals are also included to undertake a waste minimisation campaign and $£ 100,000$ has been earmarked from this year's underspendings in Environment for this purpose. In addition, $£ 50,000$ is also being earmarked to improve access to HWRC's for people with mobility problems.

### 2.5 Commitment

2.5.1 The County, District and City Council Members board has been appointed to oversee the implementation of the strategy. Senior officers from the Districts, City and County support this Board. All members of the Board are conversant with the problems of funding and customer expectations and are committed to finding suitable solutions to meet the recycling and recovery targets. The County Council's approved Medium Term Financial Strategy provides sufficient finance for a gatefee of some $£ 34$ per tonne in 2004 and adequate funding has been earmarked for increases in landfill tax, and a modest amount for waste minimisation. The gatefee for a MRF has been estimated to be between $£ 30$ and $£ 40$ per tonne. Accordingly additional finance may be required in 2005 to support the MRF if the costs are towards the higher end of the projections; but this will only become evident once the retendering exercise has been undertaken. The Districts also require significant capital funding to provide the additional collection containers required. If the proposed P.S.A. includes waste and is supported by the Districts this would obviously provide significant financial support for these activities.

### 2.6 Is the plan practical?

2.6.1 The processes and practices within the recommendations are well proven and are all currently operational in the UK. Sites have already been identified for the development of MRF's and planning approval should not present a problem.

1. The recommendations of the Best Value Review are presented below and form the basis of the Improvement and Implementation Plans. They have been grouped in four phases, which relate to the achievement of the various recycling and recovery targets.

## Phase I: Recommendation to achieve the Recycling target of 16\% by 2003/4

## Waste Awareness and Education

2. The importance of waste awareness and education has been identified through Consultation and will need to be pursued for at least the next five to seven years. The approach needed is two-fold - to advise householders on how they can reduce their waste to support the objective of stabilising growth in waste arisings, and to promote participation in recycling.

Recommendation 1.1: That the County Council complete preparation of the Waste Minimisation and Awareness Strategy to promote waste minimisation and awareness, and develop a detailed project programme to roll out the agreed activities across the county. The strategy to be presented to and approved by the J oint Waste Management Board and a copy forwarded to all partner authorities for their endorsement.
3. The County Council must set clear best practice standards in order to encourage its Partners to follow suit. One area where this will support the recycling industry is by purchasing their products produced from recycled material. Another is ensuring recycling activities are maximised at non-educational County Council establishments. It should be noted that the authority already directly manages a service to collect paper from schools and this has been recognised in a DETR publication as best practice.
Recommendation 1.2: That the County Council's purchasing policies be monitored and altered to ensure the purchase of products made from recycling materials is maximised and increased recycling in county Council buildings. Recommendation 1.3: That the County Council expands its "Schools Recycling Scheme" to include other County Council establishments.

## Household Waste Recycling Centres

4. The HWRCs will make a significant contribution to countywide recycling targets particularly in the short term whilst kerbside segregated collections are being introduced. Achievement of the step change in performance from on average $35 \%$ recycling rate across all sites to $50 \%$ across all sites by 2003/4 will require a number of improvements to be implemented.
Recommendation 1.4: That the County Council conclude the process of retendering HWRC contracts and monitor the performance of these contracts to ensure that the diversion targets are achieved.
Recommendation 1.5: That the County Council undertake a programme of site improvements identified through the annual public surveys at the HWRCs and also designed to provide easy access for people with mobility problems.
Recommendation 1.6: That the County Council, in conjunction with the City Council, determine the arrangements to be put in place following the closure of the Burntstump HWRC.
5. It is estimated that the HWRCs receive some $1,000,000$ visits per year.

Accordingly these Centres should be used as a vehicle to educate the public on waste management issues.
Recommendation 1.7: That the County Council supply display panels to all HWRC and these are used to display information on recycling and waste
reduction.

## Green waste composting

6. Additional composting capacity for green waste is required in the short term to facilitate the achievement of 2003/04 targets. This needs to be in place during 2003.

Recommendation 1.8: That the County Council secures additional capacity for the composting of green waste from the HWRCs and the Districts kerbside collection of green waste. The best options for procuring this capacity to be investigated and confirmed taking account of the new government guidance on the composting of household waste, which is expected to be published in April 2002.

## Current kerbside collection

Recommendation 1.9: That the District Council improve collection rates on their current kerbside collection schemes.
Phase II. Recommendation to achieve the Recycling target of 24\% by 2005/ 2006
7. In order to provide the step change necessary to achieve the 2005/06 recycling targets and prepare the platform to achieve the 2010 and 2015 recycling targets, the County Council needs to provide a MRF to recover and sort dry recyclables. These facilities however, cannot be provided without the agreement of the District and Borough Councils to proved dedicated collection systems to supply the material to the MRF. There are differing opinions across the partner authorities as to the preferred approach for segregated kerbside collections and to the role garden waste will play in achieving the target. Accordingly, further trials are programmed to gather additional information.
Recommendation 2.1: The District Councils undertake kerbside collection trials for dry recyclables and garden waste.
Recommendation 2.2: The County Council and District Councils submit a joint PSA bid for waste as part of the County Councils overall application.
Recommendation 2.3: If the County Council is successful with its PSA bid then capital funding will be given to the Districts to help support the purchase of new containers.
Recommendation 2.4: Through the Joint Waste Management Board agree the preferred collection systems(s) to be adopted across the County and the balance between dry recyclables and garden waste. This to be agreed by September 2002.
Competition and Procurement
8. The Best Value Review has given consideration to procurement options and an outline tendering strategy, but the preferred option will depend on decisions made regarding the scope of the services to be provided under the contract(s) which is currently being considered by the Joint Waste Management Board. The deadline for making a decision in this regard is September 2002.
Recommendation 2.5: The District Councils to investigate in more detail and determine their preferred option for either awarding an integrated contract for a countywide collection service or an integrated contract for the collection and sorting of recyclables and the disposal of residual waste.
Recommendation 2.6: The District Councils sign formal agreements with the County with respect to the collection systems they will implement.
9. Before kerbside collection schemes can be introduced, consideration must be given to which properties can accommodate them.
Recommendation 2.7: The District Councils to prepare a list of properties, which can accept twin-bins, notify the property owners and supply bins.
10. The Challenge and Compete processes have identified that the County Council will need to enter into new waste management contracts both for the provision of facilities and services and to replace current contracts when they expire. In entering into new contract arrangements we are keen to generate competition amongst private contractors. We are also aware that in order to achieve the 2005/06 targets MRF capacity will need to be operation early in 2005.
Recommendation 2.8: The County Council to acquire a site(s) and obtain outline planning permission for a new waste management facility and to make this site available to all potential bidders in an attempt to provide a more level playing field amongst contractors and to avoid, as far as possible, any delays in the process.
Recommendation 2.9: The County Council prepare, invite tenders and award a contract for the provision of new waste management facilities and, if appropriate, collection systems.
11. The County Council has an objective of supporting the development of small and medium size businesses. Clearly an area where this can be linked in to waste management is the development of new products from recycled material.
Recommendation 2.10: The County Council work with the successful contractor to support the development of small and medium sized companies to develop new products from recycling materials.
Phase III.Recommendation to achieve the Recovery target of 45\% and the Recycling target of 30\% for 2010
12. Recovery can be achieved by Energy from Waste plants. It is, therefore, proposed to seek tenders in 2005, which will result in the provision of additional capacity at the Eastcroft Energy from Waste Plant. It will also be necessary to improve the performance of the collection systems and MRF to increase recycling levels to achieve the 2010 targets.
Recommendation 3.1: The County Council identify a waste stream that will be available to feed the Energy from Waste plant without jeopardising the achievement of recycling targets.
Recommendation 3.2: The County Council develops a tender and lets, in conjunction with the City Council, a joint contract for the recovery of approximately 90,000 tonnes per annum of municipal waste.
Recommendation 3.3: The County Council and District Councils work together to improve collection rates for dry recyclables and modify the operation of the MRF to increase capacity.
Recommendation 3.4: The County Council review the provision of HWRC to determine the preferred locations and numbers.
Phase IV. Recommendation to achieve the Recovery target of $67 \%$ and the Recycling target of 33\% by 2015
14. The recycling target can be achieved by further improvements to the collection system and operation of the MRF. In addition, it is expected that new technologies will be developed over the next five years with respect to recovery techniques and, therefore, developments will require monitoring and decisions made to finalise options by 2012.
Recommendation 4.1: The County Council and District Councils work together to improve collection rates for dry recyclables and modify the operation of the MRF to increase capacity.
Recommendation 4.2: The County Council to monitor new technologies with respect to waste recovery and decide on a preferred option.
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## Contents Sheet

## Input Sheet

The inputs and assumptions sheet contains:
All manually input information
All assumptions used
The source of the data
Names used when referencing the inputs throughout the workbook

## Facilities Sheet

Shows the future capacity requirements for each type of facility and includes the tonnage which needs to be diverted to a new recycling/recovery facility to enable all targets to be met.

## Performance Summay

Shows the performance against the specified recycling, composting and/or recovery targets

## Summary

A summary of waste flows from the County and the City showing:
Total waste generation
Total volumes of waste diverted via recycling/composting and recovery and the residual waste sent to landfill
Consolidated County plus City volumes for generated, diverted and residual flows
Consolidated targets and performance for the County and the City

## County

Waste generation, direct recycling and other diversion for the County
This sheet shows the same information as the City sheet.
The district figures are consolidated to give collected HHW and direct recycling figures at a County level
Targets considered are
Scenario 1: PMSU targets, Landfill Directive targets (both absolute reduction in MSW and reduction in BMW) and MSW recovery targets
Scenario 3: The same targets as Scenario 1 but excluding waste diverted by Newark and Sherwood

## Ashfield

## Waste generation and direct recycling for the district.

The actual 2002 waste figures are combined with forecast growth rates for household waste to generate projected waste volumes over the length of the project.
The composition of the waste is also calculated based on the national waste composition figures
The sheets show the levels and make-up of the districts' direct recycling efforts (kerbside collection and bring bank sites) and the composition of residual waste after direct recycling

## Bassetlaw

As above for Ashfield.

## Browtowe

As above for Ashfield.

## Gedling

As above for Ashfield.

## Mansfield

As above for Ashfield.

## Newark

As above for Ashfield.
Rushcliffe
As above for Ashfield.
Capture Sheet
Derivation of future capture rates for the facilities on an annual basis.


| Waste growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contract year | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| HH County |  |  | 0.0\% CountyHHgrowth 4 |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% CountyHHgrowth5 0.0\% CountyTgrowth5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Waste volumes - base line 2001/2002 figures |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | County | Sum of Districts |
| WCA collected |  |  |
| Residual excl trade | 290,364 | 290,364 |
| Kerbside | 6,683 | 6,683 |
| Bring site | 10,945 | 10,945 |
| Composted | 240 | 240 |
| Total direct recycling | 17,868 | 17,868 |
| Trade | 18,500 | 18,500 |
| Clinical | 372 | n/a |
| Charity | 1,042 | n/a |
| WDA Collected |  |  |
| HWRC residual | 68,836 | n/a |
| HWRC recycled | 13,764 | n/a |
| HWRC composted | 24,025 | n/a |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |
| Hardcore | 12,375 | n/a |
| Asbestos | 165 | n/a |
| 3rd Party |  |  |
| Schools | 786 | n/a |
| Community Groups | 1,436 | n/a |
| Total trade | 20,079 | n/a |
| HWRC Trade Hardcore | 12,375 | n/a |

Composition of collected waste

|  | National HHW composition figures |  | Rushcliffe composition figures |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waste Composition | \% of total | \%BMW | \% Total | \% of total | Total BMW \% |
| Paper/Card | 23\% Paper | 100\% BMWPaper | 23\% | 25\% RuralPaper | 25\% |
| Plastic | 12\% Plastic | 0\% BMWPlastic | 0\% | 10\% RuralPlastic | 0\% |
| Textiles | 4\% Textiles | 50\% BMWTextiles | 2\% | 3\% RuralTextiles | 2\% |
| Misc. | 16\% Misc | 50\% вмшмііс | 8\% | 11\% Ruralmisc | 6\% |
| Glass | 8\% Glass | 0\% BMWGlass | 0\% | 8\% RuralGlass | 0\% |
| Organic - Kitchen | 20\% Organickitchen | 100\% BMWOrganic | 20\% | 28\% Ruralorganickitch | 28\% |
| Organic - Green | 6\% OrganicGreen | 100\% BMWOrganic | 6\% | 11\% RuralorganicGree | 11\% |
| Metal | 6\% Metal | 0\% BMWMetal | 0\% | 4\% Ruralmetal | 0\% |
| Fines | 4\% Fines | 50\% BMWFines | 2\% | 1\% RuralFines | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% |  | 61\% BMWTotal | 100\% | 72\% RuralBMWTotal |

Due to the diversity in the make-up of district waste and a lack of reliable composition figures it is assumed that.
i/ the rural district waste composition is the same as that for Rushclifie
ii/ the composition for other districts is based on national waste analysis figures
Composition of HWRC waste per national composition figures

| Waste Composition | \% of total | \%BMW | \% Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garden Waste | 30.0\% HWRCGreen | 100\% BMWHWRCGreen | 30\% |
| Other Waste (incl inerts) | 40.0\% HWRCOther | 50\% BMWHWRCOther | 20\% |
| Recycables (maximum) | 30.0\% HWRCRec | 60\% BMWHWRCRec | 18\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% |  | 68\% BMWHWRCTotal |
| BMW content of hardcore: | BMWHardcore |  |  |

District household waste generation and recycling

| Info not complete 2002 figures | Ashfield | Bassetlaw | Browtowe | Gedling | Mansfield | Newark | Rushcliffe | Total Districts | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kerbside recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card | 987 | 1,174 | 131 | 2,542 | 419 | 1,056 |  | 6,309 | 6,309 |
| Plastics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cans |  |  | 8 | 40 |  |  |  | 48 | 48 |
| Textiles |  |  | 2 | 18 |  |  |  | 20 | 20 |
| Glass |  |  | 39 | 267 |  |  |  | 306 | 306 |
| Organic - green |  | 39 |  | 106 |  |  | 95 | 240 | 240 |
| Organic - kitchen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total kerbside recycling | 987 | 1,213 | 180 | 2,973 | 419 | 1,056 | 95 | 6,923 | 6,923 |
| Residual waste to landfill | 49,527 | 48,160 | 10,103 | 30,430 | 45,212 | 45,384 | 9,737 | 238,553 | 238,553 |
| Residual waste to incinerator |  | 49 | 31,714 | 10,855 | 383 | 31 | 27,279 | 70,311 | 70,311 |
| Less trade waste | 3,328 | 2,451 | 2,451 | 2,451 | 2,915 | 2,451 | 2,451 | 18,500 | 18,500 |
| Total HHW collection | 47,186 | 46,971 | 39,546 | 41,807 | 43,099 | 44,020 | 34,660 | 297,287 | 297,287 |
| Bring site recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper |  |  | 712 | 926 |  | 680 |  | 2,318 | 2,318 |
| Card |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card mixed | 358 | 1,798 | 198 |  | 904 |  | 1,794 | 5,052 | 5,052 |
| Plastic bottles | 7 | 18 | 74 | 119 |  |  | 2 | 220 | 220 |
| Cans | 8 | 28 | 27 | 50 | 15 | 31 | 28 | 187 | 187 |
| Textiles | 37 | 116 | 67 | 69 | 67 | 40 | 137 | 533 | 533 |
| Glass | 137 | 288 | 299 | 413 | 153 | 344 | 978 | 2,612 | 612 |
| Shoes | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |
| Books |  |  | 7 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 17 | 17 |
| Organic - green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Organic - kitchen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Total bring site recycling | 550 | 2,248 | 1,387 | 1,582 | 1,139 | 1,100 | 2,939 | 10,945 | 10,945 |
| Total HHW (excl HWRCs) | 47,736 | 49,219 | 40,933 | 43,389 | 44,238 | 45,120 | 37,599 | 308,232 | 308,232 |

Recycling and recovery targets
Assume that the County is aiming for compliance with Lanfill Directive guidelines under all scenarios.
andfill Directive
BMW to landill 1995: County 174,746 CountyLF95


| Contract year | Day 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start Year end | 31 Mar 04 | 01 Apr 04 \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PMSU2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | PMSU2015 |  |
| PMSU targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| )usehold Recycling County (PMSU |  | 16\% | 27\% |  | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Ashfield |  | 10\% | 18\% |  | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Bassetaw |  | 14\% | 21\% |  | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Broxtowe |  | 10\% | 18\% |  | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Gedling |  | 14\% | 21\% |  | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Mansfield |  | 10\% | 18\% |  | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Newark |  | 10\% | 18\% |  | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Rushcliffe |  | 12\% | 18\% |  | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
|  |  | MSW2005 |  |  |  |  |  | MSW2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | MSW2015 |  |
| MSW Recovery |  | 40\% | 40\% |  | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |  | 45\% |  | 45\% | 67\% | 67\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LFD2010 |  |  |  |  | D2013 |  |  |  |
| Landilil Disposal (Landifil Direciive) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% |  | 50\% |  | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |

Recycling and recover

Landfill Directive

| Contract year | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start Year end | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? |
| PMSU targets | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| usehold Recycling County (PMSU | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Ashfield | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Bassetlaw | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Broxtowe | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Geding | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Mansfield | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Newark | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Rushcliffe | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| MSW Recovery | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | \% |
|  |  |  |  | FD2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Disposal (Landfill Directive) | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |

# Eastcroft Assumptions 

Amounts directed to Easteroft
County
Residua
County
Residual ash $\%$ ,000 EtWCounty
nount of waste directed to Eastcroft Assumes all residual ash is landifilled




Kerbside rates - County
Availabilitzoozo
Avalability020
Participationn 2020
Participatiorn2020
RecoveryO20
Green waste
Availabilityability2020
Participationcicipation 2020
Recoveryvery2020

switch is seto "1" kerbside colle
stan
Bring Banks
he bring bank recovery levels for materials not collected at the kerbside are based on the 2002 recovery levels and increased by $a \%$ every 5 years
Total increse in recovery every 5yrs $0 \%$ BBRecoverylncrease

Where materials are also collected at the kerbside the HHW growth rates are applied to the 2002 bring bank tonnages.

Throughput Tonnages for Facilities

| Eastroft | Max | 140,000 | CapacityEFw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Composting -Green | Max | 30,000 | max |
| Composting - IVC | Max | 50,000 | IVC_max |
| MRFs |  |  |  |
|  |  | 75,000 | MRF_large |
| Large (MRF and bulking) MaxSmall (buking) Max |  | 50,000 | MRF_small |

Proposed Facilities
Indicates the number of on-line facilities for each facility type as per the Reference Project


| Proposed Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicates the number of on-line facContract year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME | \#NAM |
| Composting - IVC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max capacity 50,000 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Composting - windrow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max capacity 60,000 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| mRFs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large (MRF and buking) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Small (bukikin) |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Other recyclingrecovery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MBT/RDF: max capacity 100,000 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements
Contract year
Year start
Year end

## HWRCs

Projected waste flows to HWRCs

## Eastcroft

Projected waste flows to Eastcrof

Future capacity requirments

DRAFT
ounty
Total

Core County
Total

Existing capacity Breach of capacity limit? Further capacity requirement
nt as a $\%$ of existing capacity

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 109,848 | 111,495 | 113,168 | 114,865 | 116,588 | 118,337 | 120,112 | 121,914 | 123,743 | 123,743 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 9 , 8 4 8}$ | 111,495 | 113,168 | 114,865 | $\mathbf{1 1 6 , 5 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 , 9 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 , 7 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 , 7 4 3}$ |


| 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $n / a$ | n/a | n/a | n/a |

## Contract year <br> Year start Year end

Composting
rojected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## Total recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of 50,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
reach
Gap
erbside waste flow to windrow compost facilities
Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 30,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
\text { O1 Apr 04 } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? }
\end{array} \text { \#NAME? } \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

| 247 | 251 | 255 | 259 | 262 | 266 | 270 | 274 | 279 | 279 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 247 | 251 | 255 | 259 | 262 | 266 | 270 | 274 | 279 | 279 |
| 23,068 | 23,414 | 23,765 | 24,122 | 24,484 | 24,851 | 25,224 | 25,602 | 25,986 | 25,986 |
| 23,068 | 23,414 | 23,765 | 24,122 | 24,484 | 24,851 | 25,224 | 25,602 | 25,986 | 25,986 |
| 23,315 | 23,665 | 24,020 | 24,380 | 24,746 | 25,117 | 25,494 | 25,876 | 26,264 | 26,264 |
| 24,542 | 24,911 | 25,284 | 25,663 | 26,048 | 26,439 | 26,836 | 27,238 | 27,647 | 27,647 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |


| Contract year |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected |
| MRFs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kerbside |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | County | 6,885 | 6,988 | 7,093 | 7,199 | 7,307 | 7,417 | 7,528 | 7,641 | 7,756 | 7,756 |
|  | Total | 6,885 | 6,988 | 7,093 | 7,199 | 7,307 | 7,417 | 7,528 | 7,641 | 7,756 | 7,756 |
|  | Total mixed recyclables | 6,885 | 6,988 | 7,093 | 7,199 | 7,307 | 7,417 | 7,528 | 7,641 | 7,756 | 7,756 |
| Bring site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | County | 11,273 | 11,442 | 11,614 | 11,788 | 11,965 | 12,144 | 12,326 | 12,511 | 12,699 | 12,699 |
|  | Total | 11,273 | 11,442 | 11,614 | 11,788 | 11,965 | 12,144 | 12,326 | 12,511 | 12,699 | 12,699 |
| HWRCs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | County | 23,068 | 23,414 | 23,765 | 24,122 | 24,484 | 24,851 | 25,224 | 25,602 | 25,986 | 25,986 |
|  | Total | 23,068 | 23,414 | 23,765 | 24,122 | 24,484 | 24,851 | 25,224 | 25,602 | 25,986 | 25,986 |
|  | Total segregated recyclables (requiring bulking) | 34,341 | 34,856 | 35,379 | 35,910 | 36,448 | 36,995 | 37,550 | 38,113 | 38,685 | 38,685 |
| Total recycling at MRF facilities |  | 41,226 | 41,844 | 42,472 | 43,109 | 43,756 | 44,412 | 45,078 | 45,755 | 46,441 | 46,441 |
| Total waste flow to MRF facilities |  | 43,396 | 44,047 | 44,707 | 45,378 | 46,059 | 46,750 | 47,451 | 48,163 | 48,885 | 48,885 |
| No. of MRF facilities required |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Large facilities (MRFs and bulking, capacity of 75,000 tpa) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Small facilities (bulking facilities, capacity of 50,000tpa) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Proposed facility capacity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity provided by proposed facilities |  | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Breach? |  | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Gap |  | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |
| County waste flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | County mixed recyclables waste flow | 7,247 | 7,356 | 7,466 | 7,578 | 7,692 | 7,807 | 7,925 | 8,043 | 8,164 | 8,164 |
|  | County segregated recyclables waste flow | 36,148 | 36,691 | 37,241 | 37,800 | 38,367 | 38,942 | 39,526 | 40,119 | 40,721 | 40,721 |

Contract year
Year start
Year start
Year end

Other Recycling/Recovery Facilities
o satisty recycling targets

Total additional recycling required | Courty |
| :---: |

For Landfill Directive target to be met
Total additional recovery required

## Waste flow to other facilitie

To achieve required recycling level To achieve required recovery level Maximum waste flow to other facilities

Recycling (based on assumed recycling levels) Recovery (based on assumed recovery levels)

## Additional recycling/recovery provided by additional facilities

100,000tpa)
No. of other recycling/recovery facilities required (based on capacity of 100,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected

$\qquad$

| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| N $/ a$ | N $/ a$ | N/a | N $/ a$ | N $/ a$ | N/a | N $/ a$ | N $/ a$ | N $/ a$ |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements

| Contract year |  | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected | Projected |
| HWRCs |  |  |  |
| Projected waste flows to HWRCs |  |  |  |
|  | County | 123,743 | 123,743 |
|  | Total | 123,743 | 123,743 |

Eastcroft
Projected waste flows to Eastcroft

Euture capacity requirments

|  | Core County | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  | Existing capacity | 140,000 | 140,000 |
|  | Breach of capacity limit? | No | No |
|  | Further capacity requirement | n/a | n/a |
| Further capacity requireme | nt as a \% of existing capacity | n/a | n/a |

## Contract year <br> Year start

Composting
rojected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## otal recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of $50,000 \mathrm{tpa}$ )

## Proposed facility capacity Capacity <br> reach

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { No } & \text { No } \\ \text { N/a }\end{array}$
rojected waste flow to windrow compost facilities
Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 30,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

|  | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected |
| County | - | - |
| Total | - | - |
| County |  |  |
| Total | . | - |
|  | - |  |
|  | - | - |
|  | 0 | 0 |
|  | - | - |
|  | No | No |
|  | N/a | N/a |
| County | 279 | 279 |
| Total | 279 | 279 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { County } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | 25,986 | 25,986 |
|  | 25,986 | 25,986 |
|  | 26,264 | 26,264 |
|  | 27,647 | 27,647 |
|  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 30,000 | 30,000 |
|  | No | No |
|  | N/a | N/a |


| Contract year |  | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected | Projected |
| MRFs |  |  |  |
| Kerbside |  |  |  |
|  | County | 7,756 | 7,756 |
|  | Total | 7,756 | 7,756 |
|  | Total mixed recyclables | 7,756 | 7,756 |
| Bring site |  |  |  |
|  | County | 12,699 | 12,699 |
|  | Total | 12,699 | 12,699 |
| HWRCs |  |  |  |
|  | County | 25,986 | 25,986 |
|  | Total | 25,986 | 25,986 |
|  | Total segregated recyclables (requiring bulking) | 38,685 | 38,685 |
| Total recycling at MRF facilities |  | 46,441 | 46,441 |
| Total waste flow to MRF facilities |  | 48,885 | 48,885 |
| No. of MRF facilities required |  |  |  |
|  | Large facilities (MRFs and bulking, capacity of 75,000tpa) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Small facilities (bulking facilities, capacity of 50,000tpa) | 1 | 1 |
| Proposed facility capacity |  |  |  |
| Capacity provided by proposed facilities |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Breach? |  | No | No |
| Gap |  | N/a | N/a |
| County waste flows |  |  |  |
|  | County mixed recyclables waste flow | 8,164 | 8,164 |
|  | County segregated recyclables waste flow | 40,721 | 40,721 |

Contract year

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Year start } \\
& \text { Year end }
\end{aligned}
$$

Other Recycling/Recovery Facilities sailsly recycling targets

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
11 & 12 \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected } & \text { Projected } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { County } & - & - \\
\hline \text { Total additional recycling required } & - & - \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

For Landfill Directive target to be met

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { County } & - & - \\
\hline \text { Total additional recovery required } & - & - \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Waste flow to other facilitie

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { To achieve required recycling level } \\
& \text { To achieve required recovery level. } \\
& \text { m waste flow to other facilities }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$

## Additional recycling/recovery provided by additional facilities <br> Recycling (based on assumed recycling levels) Recovery (based on assumed recovery levels)

of $100,000 \mathrm{tpa}$
$0 \quad 0$
No No

## apacity provided capacity <br> Capacity provided by proposed facilities

Breach
Gap

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements
Contract year
Year start
Year end

## HWRCs

Projected waste flows to HWRCs

## Eastcroft

Projected waste flows to Eastcrof

Future capacity requirments


## Contract year <br> Year start Year end

Composting
Pojected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## Total recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of 50,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capososed faciilty capacity
crovided by proposed facilities
reach
Gap

Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 30,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap
$\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrrr}13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 \\ \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? }\end{array}$




| County | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 |


| 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 27,647 | 27,647 | 27,647 | 27,647 | 27,647 | 27,647 | 27,647 | 27,647 | 27,647 | 27,647 | 27,647 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Contract year
Year start
Year end

MRFs
Kerbside

Bring site

HWRCs

Total recycling at MRF facilities
Total waste flow to MRF facilities
No. of MRF facilities required

Proposed facility caparity
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Gap
County waste flows

| County | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 | 25,986 |
| Total segregated recyclables (requiring bulking) | 38,685 | 38,685 | 38,685 | 38,685 | 38,685 | 38,685 | 38,685 | 38,685 | 38,685 | 38,685 | 38,685 |
|  | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 |
|  | 48,885 | 48,885 | 48,885 | 48,885 | 48,885 | 48,885 | 48885 | 4885 | 4885 | 4885 | 48885 | Small facilities (bulking facilities, capacity of 50,000 tpa)


|  | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | \% | No |
|  | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |
| County mixed recyclables waste flow | 8,164 | 8,164 | 8,164 | 8,164 | 8,164 | 8,164 | 8,164 | 8,164 | 8,164 | 8,164 | 8,164 |
| County segregated recyclables waste flow | 40,721 | 40,721 | 40,721 | 40,721 | 40,721 | 40,721 | 40,721 | 40,721 | 40,721 | 40,721 | 40,721 |

## Contract year <br> Year start Year end

Other Recycling/Recovery Facilities
To satisty recycling targets

$$
\begin{array}{rlllllllll} 
& \text { County } & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

For Landfill Directive target to be met

Waste flow to other facilities

$$
\begin{array}{rcccccccrrr}
13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAE? } \\
\text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Total additional recove

To achieve required recycling level mum waste flow to other facilities

| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |

Additional recycling/recovery provided by additional facilities

Recycling (based on assumed recycling levels)
Recovery (based on assumed recovery levels)

No. of other recycling/recovery facilities required (based on capacity of 100,000tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap


## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements

| Contract year | 24 |  | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected | Projected | Projected |
| HWRCs |  |  |  |  |
| Projected waste flows to HWRCs |  |  |  |  |
|  | County | 123,743 | 123,743 | 123,743 |
|  | Total | 123,743 | 123,743 | 123,743 |

Eastcroft
Projected waste flows to Eastcroft

Euture capacity requirments

| Further capacity requirement | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Further capacity requirement as a $\%$ of existing capacity | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |

## Contract year <br> Year start

Composting
rojected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## otal recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of $50,000 \mathrm{tpa}$ )
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
reach

Projected waste flow to windrow compost facilities
Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 30,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

## $24 \quad 25 \quad 26$ <br> \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME Projected Projected Projected

$\qquad$

No No No

| County | 279 | 279 | 279 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 279 | 279 | 279 |

$\square$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrr}
\text { County } & 25,986 & 25,986 & 25,986 \\
\text { Total } & 25,986 & 25,986 & 25,986 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

| 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |


|  | 27,647 | 27,647 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 1 |

30,000 30,000 30,000
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { No } & \text { No } & \text { No } \\ \text { N/a } & \text { N/a } & \text { N/a }\end{array}$
Contract year
Year start
Year end

Contract year
Year start
Year end

Other Recycling/Recovery Facilities
o satisty recycling targets

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { County } & - & - & - \\
\text { Total additional recycling required } & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

For Landfill Directive target to be met

$$
\begin{array}{rrrr}
24 & 25 & 26 \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

County
ounty
Total additional recovery requir
To achieve required recycling level o achieve required recovery level $\qquad$ Maximum waste flow to other facilities Recycling (based on assumed recycling levels)
Recovery (based on assumed recovery levels)

No. of other recycling/recovery facilities required (based on capacity of 100,000tpa)
roposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

DRAFT

## Performance summary

Contract year
ear star
路
Recycling
Recycling achieved

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { chieved } \\
\text { MRF (Kerbside/HWRC/bring recycling) } \\
\text { MBT } \\
\text { Green waste composting } \\
\text { IVC } \\
\text { Total }
\end{array}
$$

PMSU Targets
PMSU target
Compliance?
Gap

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Total } \\
\text { Total as a percentage of total waste (\%) }
\end{array}
$$

| 41,226 | 41,844 | 42,472 | 43,109 | 43,756 | 44,412 | 45,078 | 45,755 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23,315 | 23,665 | 24,020 | 24,380 | 24,746 | 25,117 | 25,494 | 25,876 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64,541 | 65,509 | 66,492 | 67,489 | 68,502 | 69,529 | 70,572 | 71,631 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 |
|  | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 68,750 | 117,755 | 119,522 | 121,314 | 123,134 | 162,013 | 164,443 | 166,909 | 169,413 | 169,413 | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 4,208 | 52,246 | 53,029 | 53,825 | 54,632 | 92,483 | 93,870 | 95,279 | 96,708 | 96,708 | 145,111 | 145,111 | 145,111 |
| 4,208 | 52,246 | 53,029 | 53,825 | 54,632 | 92,483 | 93,870 | 95,279 | 96,708 | 96,708 | 145,111 | 145,111 | 145,111 |
| 4,208 |  |  |  |  |  | 145,111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Recovery
Recovery achieved

| MBT |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | MBieved |
| Eastcroft |  |
| Total |  |


| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |


| 114,541 | 115,509 | 116,492 | 117,489 | 118,502 | 119,529 | 120,572 | 121,631 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25\% | 25\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% |
| 348,579 | 354,557 | 360,626 | 366,785 | 373,037 | 379,383 | 385,823 | 392,361 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 |
| 75\% | 75\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% |
| 212,982 | 216,635 | 220,342 | 224,106 | 227,926 | 231,803 | 235,738 | 239,732 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 131,060 | 131,060 | 131,060 | 87,373 | 87,373 | 87,373 | 87,373 | 87,373 | 87,373 |
|  |  |  |  |  | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
|  |  |  |  |  | 100,743 | 104,679 | 108,673 | 156,414 | 156,414 | 156,414 | 156,414 | 156,414 | 156,414 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management

## Performance summary

| Contract year | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 2 | 23 | 24 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

## Recycling

Recycling achieved

| MRF (Kerbside/HWRC/bring recycling) | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 | 46,441 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MBT | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Green waste composting | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 | 26,264 |
| IVC | - | . | - | - | - | - | . | . | - | - | - |
| Total | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 | 72,705 |
| percentage of total waste (\%) | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% |  |



## Recovery

Recovery achieved


## Landfill Directive Targets

| Total recycling/recovery achieved | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 | 122,705 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% |
| Total waste to landfill | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 | 398,996 |
| Total as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% |
| BMW of total waste to landfill | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 | 243,787 |
| Landfill Directive Target | 87,373 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Gap | 156,414 | 182,626 | 182,626 | 182,626 | 182,626 | 182,626 | 182,626 | 182,626 | 182,626 | 182,626 | 182,626 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

DRAFT
Summary for cost model

| Contract year |  | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected |
| County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total MSW | 456,276 | 463,120 | 470,067 | 477,118 | 484,275 | 491,539 | 498,912 | 506,396 | 513,992 | 521,701 |
|  | Total HHW (including HWRC waste, schools and CGs) | 423,335 | 429,685 | 436,130 | 442,672 | 449,313 | 456,052 | 462,893 | 469,836 | 476,884 | 484,037 |
|  | HWRC waste | 108,224 | 109,848 | 111,495 | 113,168 | 114,865 | 116,588 | 118,337 | 120,112 | 121,914 | 123,743 |
|  | Waste sent to Eastcroft | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  | Kerbside recycled | 6,783 | 6,885 | 6,988 | 7,093 | 7,199 | 7,307 | 7,417 | 7,528 | 7,641 | 7,756 |
|  | Kerbside organic - kitchen |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kerbside organic - green | 244 | 247 | 251 | 255 | 259 | 262 | 266 | 270 | 274 | 279 |
|  | Bring site recycled | 11,106 | 11,273 | 11,442 | 11,614 | 11,788 | 11,965 | 12,144 | 12,326 | 12,511 | 12,699 |
|  | HWRC recycled | 13,970 | 23,068 | 23,414 | 23,765 | 24,122 | 24,484 | 24,851 | 25,224 | 25,602 | 25,986 |
|  | HWRC composted | 24,385 | 23,068 | 23,414 | 23,765 | 24,122 | 24,484 | 24,851 | 25,224 | 25,602 | 25,986 |
|  | Hardcore | 12,561 | 12,749 | 12,940 | 13,134 | 13,331 | 13,531 | 13,734 | 13,940 | 14,149 | 14,362 |
|  | Eastcroft net diversion | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 |
|  | Total diversion | 104,550 | 112,790 | 113,950 | 115,126 | 116,321 | 117,533 | 118,764 | 120,013 | 121,280 | 122,567 |
|  | As a \% of total MSW | 23\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 23\% |
|  | Total MSW to landfill | 351,726 | 350,330 | 356,117 | 361,991 | 367,954 | 374,006 | 380,148 | 386,383 | 392,711 | 399,134 |
|  | As a \% of total MSW | 77\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 77\% |
|  | BMW content of total MSW to landfill | 228,431 | 227,513 | 231,251 | 235,046 | 238,897 | 242,805 | 246,773 | 250,800 | 254,887 | 259,036 |
|  | As a \% of total MSW | 50\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
|  | Total recovery | 119,050 | 127,290 | 128,450 | 129,626 | 130,821 | 132,033 | 133,264 | 134,513 | 135,780 | 137,067 |
|  | As a \% of total MSW | 26\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 26\% | 26\% |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Summary for cost model

Contract year
Year start
Year end

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Summary for cost model

Contract year
Year start
Year end

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

DRAFT

## County Waste Generation and Diversion



Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 61015 | 61930 | 62859 | 63802 | 64759 | 65730 | 66716 | 67717 | 68733 | 69764 | 69764 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 34530 | 35048 | 35574 | 36107 | 36649 | 37199 | 37757 | 38323 | 38898 | 39482 | 39482 |
| Textiles | 10718 | 10878 | 11041 | 11207 | 11375 | 11546 | 11719 | 11895 | 12073 | 12254 | 12254 |
| Misc. | 43620 | 44275 | 44939 | 45613 | 46297 | 46992 | 47696 | 48412 | 49138 | 49875 | 49875 |
| Glass | 21934 | 22263 | 22597 | 22936 | 23280 | 23629 | 23983 | 24343 | 24708 | 25079 | 25079 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 73351 | 74452 | 75568 | 76702 | 77852 | 79020 | 80206 | 81409 | 82630 | 83869 | 83869 |
| Organic - Green | 25224 | 25602 | 25986 | 26376 | 26771 | 27173 | 27580 | 27994 | 28414 | 28840 | 28840 |
| Metal | 15829 | 16066 | 16307 | 16552 | 16800 | 17052 | 17308 | 17568 | 17831 | 18099 | 18099 |
| Fines | 8502 | 8629 | 8759 | 8890 | 9023 | 9159 | 9296 | 9435 | 9577 | 9721 | 9721 |
| Total residual waste | 294722 | 299143 | 303630 | 308185 | 312807 | 317500 | 322262 | 327096 | 332002 | 336982 | 336982 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% |

MW composition of residual wast

ear star
Year end

HWRC waste Composition of HWRC waste

| HWRC Refuse | 69869 | 63712 | 64667 | 65637 | 66622 | 67621 | 68636 | 69665 | 70710 | 71771 | 71771 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HWRC Recycled | 13970 | 23068 | 23414 | 23765 | 24122 | 24484 | 24851 | 25224 | 25602 | 25986 | 25986 |
| HWRC Composted | 24385 | 23068 | 23414 | 23765 | 24122 | 24484 | 24851 | 25224 | 25602 | 25986 | 25986 |
| Total HWRC waste | 108224 | 109848 | 111495 | 113168 | 114865 | 116588 | 118337 | 120112 | 121914 | 123743 | 123743 |
| Total HWRC recycling | 38356 | 46136 | 46828 | 47531 | 48243 | 48967 | 49702 | 50447 | 51204 | 51972 | 51972 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 35\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% |
| Total BMW residual HWRC waste | 45282 | 41292 | 41911 | 42540 | 43178 | 43825 | 44483 | 45150 | 45827 | 46515 | 46515 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 42\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% |


| Year start Year end | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \text { Apr } 04 \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | NAME? \#NAME? Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other waste collected <br> Trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 20380 | 20686 | 20996 | 21311 | 21631 | 21955 | 22285 | 22619 | 22958 | 23302 | 23302 |
| Trade hardcore | 12561 | 12749 | 12940 | 13134 | 13331 | 13531 | 13734 | 13940 | 14149 | 14362 | 14362 |
| Total trade waste | 32941 | 33435 | 33936 | 34445 | 34962 | 35487 | 36019 | 36559 | 37108 | 37664 | 37664 |
| BMW composition of trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 12452 | 12639 | 12829 | 13021 | 13216 | 13415 | 13616 | 13820 | 14027 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Trade hardcore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total BMW content of trade waste | 12452 | 12639 | 12829 | 13021 | 13216 | 13415 | 13616 | 13820 | 14027 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 798 | 810 | 822 | 834 | 847 | 859 | 872 | 885 | 899 | 912 | 912 |
| Community Groups | 1458 | 1479 | 1502 | 1524 | 1547 | 1570 | 1594 | 1618 | 1642 | 1667 | 1667 |
| Total other waste | 2255 | 2289 | 2323 | 2358 | 2394 | 2430 | 2466 | 2503 | 2541 | 2579 | 2579 |
| BMW composition of other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 487 | 495 | 502 | 510 | 517 | 525 | 533 | 541 | 549 | 557 | 557 |
| Community Groups | 891 | 904 | 917 | 931 | 945 | 959 | 974 | 988 | 1003 | 1018 | 1018 |
| Total BMW content of other waste | 1378 | 1399 | 1420 | 1441 | 1463 | 1485 | 1507 | 1529 | 1552 | 1576 | 1576 |
| Impact of Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less waste diverted to Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste diverted to Eastrroft | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 |
| Residual ash | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 |
| Net diversion | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| BMW content of net diversion | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total MSW | 456276 | 463120 | 470067 | 477118 | 484275 | 491539 | 498912 | 506396 | 513992 | 521701 | 521701 |
| Total HHW (incl HWRC waste, schools and CGs) | 423335 | 429685 | 436130 | 442672 | 449313 | 456052 | 462893 | 469836 | 476884 | 484037 | 484037 |
| Total recycling/composting | 56489 | 64541 | 65509 | 66492 | 67489 | 68502 | 69529 | 70572 | 71631 | 72705 | 72705 |
| As a \% of total HHW | 13\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| Total recycling/composting, Eastcroft and hardcore | 119050 | 127290 | 128450 | 129626 | 130821 | 132033 | 133264 | 134513 | 135780 | 137067 | 137067 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 26\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% |
| Total diversion | 104550 | 112790 | 113950 | 115126 | 116321 | 117533 | 118764 | 120013 | 121280 | 122567 | 122567 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 23\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Total MSW to landfill | 351726 | 350330 | 356117 | 361991 | 367954 | 374006 | 380148 | 386383 | 392711 | 399134 | 399134 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 77\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 77\% | 77\% |
| BMW content of total MSW to landfill | 228431 | 227513 | 231251 | 235046 | 238897 | 242805 | 246773 | 250800 | 254887 | 259036 | 259036 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 50\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |

\#NAME? \#NAME? rojected
\#NAME? \#NAME \#NAME? \#NAME?
jected PNAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
Projected
\#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME? rojected \#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
rojected

Targets
Recycling/Recovery Target

| 68750 | 117755 |
| ---: | ---: |
| No | No |
| $94 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 4208 | 52246 |


| 119522 | 121314 | 123134 | 16201 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | No | No | N |
| $56 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $56 \%$ | 43 |
| 53029 | 53825 | 54632 | 92483 |
|  |  |  |  |
| No | No | No |  |
| 5874 | 5962 | 6052 | 61 |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 1 |
|  |  |  | 1310 |
|  |  |  | 1157 |
|  |  |  | 23 |


| 164443 | 166909 | 169413 | 169413 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | No | No | No |
| $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| 93870 | 95279 | 96708 | 96708 |
|  |  |  |  |
| No | No | No | Yes |
| 6235 | 6328 | 6423 | n/a |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $n / a$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 131060 | 131060 | 87373 | 87373 |
| No | No | No | No |
| 119740 | 123828 | 171663 | 171663 |
| $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Projec

## County Waste Generation and Diversion



Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 |
| Textiles | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 |
| Misc. | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 |
| Glass | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 |
| Organic - Green | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 |
| Metal | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 |
| Fines | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 |
| Total residual waste | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% |

BMW composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 |
| Misc. | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 |
| Organic - Green | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 |
| Metal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fines | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 |
| Total BMW residual HHW | 218398 | 218398 | 218398 | 218398 | 218398 | 218398 | 218398 | 218398 | 218398 | 218398 | 218398 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 61\% | 61\% | 61\% | 61\% | 61\% | 61\% | 61\% | 61\% | 61\% | 61\% | $61 \%$ |

ear star
Year end

HWRC waste Composition of HWRC waste

| Year start Year end | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other waste collected Trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 |
| Trade hardcore | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 |
| Total trade waste | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 |
| BMW composition of trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Trade hardcore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total BMW content of trade waste | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 |
| Community Groups | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 |
| Total other waste | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 |
| BMW composition of other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 |
| Community Groups | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 |
| Total BMW content of other waste | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 |
| Impact of Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less waste diverted to Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste diverted to Eastcroft | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 |
| Residual ash | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 |
| Net diversion | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| BMW content of net diversion | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total MSw | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 |
| Total HHW (incl HWRC waste, schools and CGs) | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 |
| Total recycling/composting | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 |
| As a \% of total HHW | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| Total recycling/composting, Eastcroft and hardcore | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% |
| Total diversion | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Total MSW to landfill | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% |
| BMW content of total MSW to landfill | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |

Targets
Recycling/Recovery Targets

| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |  |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected.


| Recycling/Recovery Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Gap | 145111 | 145111 | 145111 | 145111 | 145111 | 145111 | 145111 | 145111 | 145111 | 145111 | 145111 |
| Landfill Directive Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute reduction in MSW tonnage to landfill from 2007? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Gap | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gap as a \% of total MSW | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| BMW landfill target | 87373 | 87373 | 87373 | 87373 | 87373 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Gap | 171663 | 171663 | 171663 | 171663 | 171663 | 197875 | 197875 | 197875 | 197875 | 197875 | 197875 |
| Gap as a \% of total MSW | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Proje

## County Waste Generation and Diversion



Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 | 39482 |
| Textiles | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 | 12254 |
| Misc. | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 |
| Glass | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 | 25079 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 |
| Organic - Green | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 |
| Metal | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 | 18099 |
| Fines | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 |
| Total residual waste | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 | 336982 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% |

BMW composition of residual wast

| Paper/Card | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 | 69764 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 | 6127 |
| Misc. | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 | 28840 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4860 | 4860 |
| Fines | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 |  |  |
| Total BMW residual HHW | $\mathbf{2 1 8 3 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 3 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 3 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 3 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 3 9 8}$ |
| $\%$ of total $H H W$ collected | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ |

ear star
ear end

HWRC waste Composition of HWRC waste

| HWRC Refuse | 71771 | 71771 | 71771 | 71771 | 71771 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HWRC Recycled | 25986 | 25986 | 25986 | 25986 | 25986 |
| HWRC Composted | 25986 | 25986 | 25986 | 25986 | 25986 |
| Total HWRC waste | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 |
| Total HWRC recycling | 51972 | 51972 | 51972 | 51972 | 51972 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% |
| Total BMW residual HWRC waste | 46515 | 46515 | 46515 | 46515 | 46515 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% |

Other waste collected
Trade waste collected

| Trade waste collected | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trade hardcore | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 |
| Total trade waste | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ |

BMW composition of trade waste collected

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trade waste collected | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Trade hardcoree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ontent of trade waste | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 |

Other waste

| Schools | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Community Groups | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 |
| Total other waste | $\mathbf{2 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 9}$ |

BMW composition of other waste


Impact of Eastcroft

| Less waste diverted to Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waste diverted to Eastrroft | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 |
| Residual ash | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 |
| Net diversion | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| BMW content of net diversion | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total MSW | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 |
| Total HHW (incl HWRC waste, schools and CGs) | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 |
| Total recycling/composting | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 | 72705 |
| As a \% of total HHW | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| Total recycling/composting, Eastcroft and hardcore | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 | 137067 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% |
| Total diversion | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 | 122567 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Total MSW to landfill | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 | 399134 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% |
| BMW content of total MSW to landfill | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 | 259036 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |

Year start
Year end

Targets
Recycling/Recovery Targets

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Compliance? } \\
\% \text { of target achieved } \\
\text { Gap }
\end{array}
$$

## Landfill Directive Targets

Absolute reduction in MSW tonnage to landfill from 2007 ?

- Gap Gap as a \% of total MSW
BMW landfill targe
Compliance?
Gap
Recycling/Recovery Targets
\#NAME? \#NAM
\#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME?
$\begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered}$
$\begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered}$ $\begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered}$
\#NAME? \#NAME? Projected
\#NAME?\#NAME?

| 217817 | 217817 | 2178 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | No | No |
| $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | 33 |
| 145111 | 145111 | 1451 |


| 217817 | 217817 |
| ---: | ---: |
| No | No |
| $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 145111 | 145111 |


| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
|  |  |  |  | 61161 |
| 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | No |
| No | No | No | No | No |
| 197875 | 197875 | 197875 | 197875 | 197875 |
| $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Ashfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year |  | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected |
| Composition of District waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/Card | 11144 | 11311 | 11481 | 11653 | 11828 | 12005 | 12185 | 12368 | 12554 | 12742 | 12742 |
|  | Plastics | 5911 | 6000 | 6090 | 6181 | 6274 | 6368 | 6464 | 6560 | 6659 | 6759 | 6759 |
|  | Textiles | 1938 | 1967 | 1997 | 2027 | 2057 | 2088 | 2119 | 2151 | 2183 | 2216 | 2216 |
|  | Misc. | 7801 | 7918 | 8037 | 8157 | 8279 | 8404 | 8530 | 8658 | 8788 | 8919 | 8919 |
|  | Glass | 4022 | 4082 | 4143 | 4205 | 4268 | 4332 | 4397 | 4463 | 4530 | 4598 | 4598 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 9690 | 9836 | 9983 | 10133 | 10285 | 10439 | 10596 | 10755 | 10916 | 11080 | 11080 |
|  | Organic - Green | 2907 | 2951 | 2995 | 3040 | 3086 | 3132 | 3179 | 3226 | 3275 | 3324 | 3324 |
|  | Metal | 3052 | 3098 | 3145 | 3192 | 3240 | 3288 | 3338 | 3388 | 3439 | 3490 | 3490 |
|  | Fines | 1987 | 2016 | 2047 | 2077 | 2108 | 2140 | 2172 | 2205 | 2238 | 2271 | 2271 |
|  | Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 48452 | 49179 | 49917 | 50665 | 51425 | 52197 | 52980 | 53774 | 54581 | 55400 | 55400 |
| Kerbside recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card | 1002 | 1017 | 1032 | 1048 | 1063 | 1079 | 1095 | 1112 | 1129 | 1145 | 1145 |
|  | Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total kerbside recycling | 1002 | 1017 | 1032 | 1048 | 1063 | 1079 | 1095 | 1112 | 1129 | 1145 | 1145 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bring site recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card mixed | 363 | 369 | 374 | 380 | 386 | 391 | 397 | 403 | 409 | 415 | 415 |
|  | Plastic bottles | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Cans | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | Textiles | 41 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
|  | Glass | 139 | 141 | 143 | 145 | 148 | 150 | 152 | 154 | 157 | 159 | 159 |
|  | Total bring site recycling | 558 | 567 | 575 | 584 | 593 | 601 | 610 | 620 | 629 | 638 | 638 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Total direct recycling |  | 1560 | 1583 | 1607 | 1631 | 1656 | 1681 | 1706 | 1731 | 1757 | 1784 | 1784 |
| As \% of total HHW collected |  | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |

## Contract year <br> year start

Year end

Composition of residual waste


Targets
PMSU Targets

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Target } \\
\text { Compliance? } \\
\text { \% of target achieved } \\
\text { Gap }
\end{array}
$$

Day 1
01 Apr 04 \#NAME? ${ }^{2}$ \#NAME? NAME? HNAME? NAME? ${ }^{4}$ \#NAME?

5 ~ ${ }^{6}$ ${ }^{6}$ \#NAME? 7 8 8

8 9 ${ }^{9}$
10
\#NAME? 31 Mar 04 \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? Actuals Projected $\begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered}$
\% of total HHW collected

| 4918 | 8985 | 10640 | 10799 | 10961 | 18543 | 18821 | 19103 | 19390 | 19390 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| $32 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| 3334 | 7378 | 9008 | 9144 | 9281 | 16837 | 17090 | 17346 | 17606 | 17606 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Ashfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling
Contract year
Year start
Year end

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 |
| Textiles | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 |
| Misc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Cans | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Textiles | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Total bring site recycling | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
|  | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 |
|  | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |

## Contract year <br> Year start

Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 |
| Textiles | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 |
| Misc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
| Total residual waste | 53616 | 53616 | 53616 | 53616 | 53616 | 53616 | 53616 | 53616 | 53616 | 53616 | 53616 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Gap | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Ashfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 |
| Textiles | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 |
| Misc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 | 1145 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 5}$ |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| As of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Cans | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Textiles | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Total bring site recycling | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
|  | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 | 1784 |
|  | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |

## Contract year <br> year start

Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 | 11181 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 | 6751 |
| Textiles | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 | 2170 |
| Misc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 4439 | 4399 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 | 3481 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 3 6 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 6 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 6 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 6 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 6 1 6}$ |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |
| As $\%$ of total $\boldsymbol{H H W}$ collected | $\mathbf{9 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 \%}$ |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Gap | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 | 23146 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Bassetlaw Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year |  | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected |
| Composition of District waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/Card | 12594 | 12783 | 12975 | 13169 | 13367 | 13567 | 13771 | 13978 | 14187 | 14400 | 14400 |
|  | Plastics | 4821 | 4893 | 4967 | 5041 | 5117 | 5193 | 5271 | 5350 | 5431 | 5512 | 5512 |
|  | Textiles | 1539 | 1562 | 1585 | 1609 | 1633 | 1658 | 1682 | 1708 | 1733 | 1759 | 1759 |
|  | Misc. | 5525 | 5608 | 5692 | 5778 | 5864 | 5952 | 6042 | 6132 | 6224 | 6317 | 6317 |
|  | Glass | 3747 | 3803 | 3860 | 3918 | 3977 | 4036 | 4097 | 4158 | 4221 | 4284 | 4284 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 14013 | 14223 | 14436 | 14653 | 14873 | 15096 | 15322 | 15552 | 15785 | 16022 | 16022 |
|  | Organic - Green | 5495 | 5578 | 5661 | 5746 | 5832 | 5920 | 6009 | 6099 | 6190 | 6283 | 6283 |
|  | Metal | 1788 | 1815 | 1843 | 1870 | 1898 | 1927 | 1956 | 1985 | 2015 | 2045 | 2045 |
|  | Fines | 435 | 441 | 448 | 454 | 461 | 468 | 475 | 482 | 490 | 497 | 497 |
|  | Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 49957 | 50706 | 51467 | 52239 | 53022 | 53818 | 54625 | 55444 | 56276 | 57120 | 57120 |
| Kerbside recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card | 1192 | 1209 | 1228 | 1246 | 1265 | 1284 | 1303 | 1323 | 1342 | 1362 | 1362 |
|  | Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Organic - Green | 40 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
|  | Total kerbside recycling | 1231 | 1250 | 1268 | 1287 | 1307 | 1326 | 1346 | 1366 | 1387 | 1408 | 1408 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bring site recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card mixed | 1825 | 1852 | 1880 | 1908 | 1937 | 1966 | 1996 | 2025 | 2056 | 2087 | 2087 |
|  | Plastic bottles | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
|  | Cans | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
|  | Textiles | 116 | 118 | 120 | 121 | 123 | 125 | 127 | 129 | 131 | 133 | 133 |
|  | Glass | 292 | 297 | 301 | 306 | 310 | 315 | 320 | 324 | 329 | 334 | 334 |
|  | Total bring site recycling | 2280 | 2314 | 2349 | 2384 | 2420 | 2456 | 2493 | 2530 | 2568 | 2607 | 2607 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Total direct recycling |  | 3511 | 3564 | 3617 | 3672 | 3727 | 3783 | 3839 | 3897 | 3955 | 4015 | 4015 |
| As \% of total HHW collected |  | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |

Contract year
Year start
Year end

## Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 9578 | 9721 | 9867 | 10015 | 10165 | 10318 | 10473 | 10630 | 10789 | 10951 | 10951 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4803 | 4875 | 4948 | 5022 | 5097 | 5174 | 5251 | 5330 | 5410 | 5491 | 5491 |
| Textiles | 1423 | 1444 | 1466 | 1488 | 1510 | 1533 | 1556 | 1579 | 1603 | 1627 | 1627 |
| Misc. | 5525 | 5608 | 5692 | 5778 | 5864 | 5952 | 6042 | 6132 | 6224 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 3454 | 3506 | 3559 | 3612 | 3666 | 3721 | 3777 | 3834 | 3891 | 3950 | 3950 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 14013 | 14223 | 14436 | 14653 | 14873 | 15096 | 15322 | 15552 | 15785 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 5456 | 5538 | 5621 | 5705 | 5790 | 5877 | 5965 | 6055 | 6146 | 6238 | 6238 |
| Metal | 1760 | 1786 | 1813 | 1840 | 1868 | 1896 | 1924 | 1953 | 1983 | 2012 | 2012 |
| Fines | 435 | 441 | 448 | 454 | 461 | 468 | 475 | 482 | 490 | 497 | 497 |
| Total residual waste | 46446 | 47142 | 47850 | 48567 | 49296 | 50035 | 50786 | 51548 | 52321 | 53106 | 53106 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 7099 | 10808 | 12537 | 12725 | 12916 | 19119 | 19406 | 19697 | 19992 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $50 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Gap | 3535 | 7191 | 8866 | 8999 | 9134 | 15279 | 15509 | 15741 | 15977 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15977 |  |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Bassetlaw Waste Generation and Direct Recycling
Contract year
Year start

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |
| \#rojected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |  |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 |
| Textiles | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 |
| Glass | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 |
| Total bring site recycling | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
|  | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 |
|  | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |

## Contract year <br> year start

Year end

## Composition of residual waste



11 12
\#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
$\begin{array}{ccccccrr}11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\ \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#rojected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected }\end{array}$
$17 \quad 18$
\#NAME? \#NAME?
NAME? \#NAME?
20 21
Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected $\begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered}$

| Paper/Card | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 |
| Textiles | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 |
| Metal | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |
| Total residual waste | 53106 | 53106 | 53106 | 53106 | 53106 | 53106 | 53106 | 53106 | 53106 | 53106 | 53106 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% |

Targets
Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Gap | 21689 | 21689 | 21689 | 21689 | 21689 | 21689 | 21689 | 21689 | 21689 | 21689 | 21689 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Bassetlaw Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 |
| Plastics | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 |
| Textiles | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) |  |  |  |  |  |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 | 1362 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 |
| Glass | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 |
| Total bring site recycling | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 | 2607 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
|  | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 | 4015 |
|  | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |

## Contract year <br> Year start

Year end

Composition of residual waste

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 | 10951 |
| Plastics | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 | 5491 |
| Textiles | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 | 1627 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 | 6238 |
| Metal | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | $\mathbf{4 9 7}$ | 497 | 497 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 3 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 1 0 6}$ |
| Total residual waste |  |  |  |  |  |

Targets
PMSU Targets

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Broxtowe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Actuals | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 9556 | 9699 | 9845 | 9992 | 10142 | 10294 | 10449 | 10605 | 10764 | 10926 | 10926 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5069 | 5145 | 5222 | 5300 | 5380 | 5460 | 5542 | 5625 | 5710 | 5795 | 5795 |
| Textiles | 1662 | 1687 | 1712 | 1738 | 1764 | 1790 | 1817 | 1844 | 1872 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Misc. | 6689 | 6789 | 6891 | 6995 | 7099 | 7206 | 7314 | 7424 | 7535 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3448 | 3500 | 3553 | 3606 | 3660 | 3715 | 3771 | 3827 | 3885 | 3943 | 3943 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8309 | 8434 | 8560 | 8689 | 8819 | 8951 | 9086 | 9222 | 9360 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2493 | 2530 | 2568 | 2607 | 2646 | 2685 | 2726 | 2767 | 2808 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 2617 | 2657 | 2697 | 2737 | 2778 | 2820 | 2862 | 2905 | 2949 | 2993 | 2993 |
| Fines | 1703 | 1729 | 1755 | 1781 | 1808 | 1835 | 1863 | 1891 | 1919 | 1948 | 1948 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 41547 | 42170 | 42802 | 43444 | 44096 | 44757 | 45429 | 46110 | 46802 | 47504 | 47504 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 133 | 135 | 137 | 139 | 141 | 143 | 145 | 148 | 150 | 152 | 152 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Textiles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Glass | 40 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 183 | 185 | 188 | 191 | 194 | 197 | 200 | 203 | 206 | 209 | 209 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

Bring site recycling

Total direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 931 | 945 | 959 | 973 | 988 | 1003 | 1018 | 1033 | 1048 | 1064 | 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 75 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 85 | 86 | 86 |
| Cans | 27 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Textiles | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 81 |
| Glass | 303 | 308 | 313 | 317 | 322 | 327 | 332 | 337 | 342 | 347 | 347 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1408 | 1429 | 1450 | 1472 | 1494 | 1517 | 1539 | 1562 | 1586 | 1610 | 1610 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 1591 | 1614 | 1639 | 1663 | 1688 | 1713 | 1739 | 1765 | 1792 | 1819 | 1819 |
|  | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |

## Year star <br> Year end

01 Apr 04 \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NA
Mar 04 \#NAME? \#NAM
\#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME
NAME? \#NAM
\#NAM
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME? Actuals Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 8492 | 8619 | 8749 | 8880 | 9013 | 9148 | 9286 | 9425 | 9566 | 9710 | 9710 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4994 | 5068 | 5145 | 5222 | 5300 | 5379 | 5460 | 5542 | 5625 | 5710 | 5710 |
| Textiles | 1589 | 1613 | 1637 | 1661 | 1686 | 1712 | 1737 | 1763 | 1790 | 1817 | 1817 |
| Misc. | 6689 | 6789 | 6891 | 6995 | 7099 | 7206 | 7314 | 7424 | 7535 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3105 | 3152 | 3199 | 3247 | 3296 | 3345 | 3395 | 3446 | 3498 | 3551 | 3551 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8309 | 8434 | 8560 | 8689 | 8819 | 8951 | 9086 | 9222 | 9360 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2493 | 2530 | 2568 | 2607 | 2646 | 2685 | 2726 | 2767 | 2808 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 2582 | 2621 | 2660 | 2700 | 2740 | 2781 | 2823 | 2866 | 2909 | 2952 | 2952 |
| Fines | 1703 | 1729 | 1755 | 1781 | 1808 | 1835 | 1863 | 1891 | 1919 | 1948 | 1948 |
| Total residual waste | 39956 | 40555 | 41164 | 41781 | 42408 | 43044 | 43690 | 44345 | 45010 | 45685 | 45685 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 4217 | 7704 | 9123 | 9260 | 9399 | 15900 | 16139 | 16381 | 16626 | 16626 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $38 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Gap | 2603 | 6066 | 7460 | 7572 | 7686 | 14161 | 14373 | 14589 | 14808 | 14808 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Broxtowe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start
Year end

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |  |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 |
| Textiles | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Misc. | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 |
| Fines | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Textiles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Glass | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 209 | 209 | 209 | 209 | 209 | 209 | 209 | 209 | 209 | 209 | 209 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Cans | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Textiles | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| Glass | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 |
|  | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |

Year star
Year end

## Composition of residual waste

## \#NAME?

ME? \#NAME? \#NAM
\#NAME? \#NAME
NAME
\#NAME?
AME? \#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME?
Projected $\begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{gathered}$
\#NAME? Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected

| Paper/Card | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 |
| Textiles | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 |
| Misc. | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 |
| Fines | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 |
| Total residual waste | 45685 | 45685 | 45685 | 45685 | 45685 | 45685 | 45685 | 45685 | 45685 | 45685 | 45685 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Gap | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Broxtowe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start
Year end

| 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 |
| Plastics | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 |
| Textiles | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Misc. | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 |
| Fines | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 7 5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5 0 4}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Textiles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Glass | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{2 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9}$ |
| As of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Cans | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Textiles | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| Glass | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 | 1819 |
|  | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 | 9710 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 | 5710 |
| Textiles | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 | 1817 |
| Misc. | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 | 3551 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 | 2952 |
| Fines | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{4 5 6 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 6 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 6 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 6 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 6 8 5}$ |
| $\%$ of total $\mathbf{H H W}$ collected | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Gap | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 | 19558 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Gedling Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 Actuals | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 10129 | 10281 | 10435 | 10592 | 10751 | 10912 | 11076 | 11242 | 11410 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5373 | 5453 | 5535 | 5618 | 5703 | 5788 | 5875 | 5963 | 6052 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 |
| Textiles | 1762 | 1788 | 1815 | 1842 | 1870 | 1898 | 1926 | 1955 | 1984 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| Misc. | 7090 | 7197 | 7305 | 7414 | 7525 | 7638 | 7753 | 7869 | 7987 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 3655 | 3710 | 3766 | 3822 | 3880 | 3938 | 3997 | 4057 | 4118 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8808 | 8940 | 9074 | 9210 | 9348 | 9489 | 9631 | 9775 | 9922 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 2642 | 2682 | 2722 | 2763 | 2805 | 2847 | 2889 | 2933 | 2977 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 2774 | 2816 | 2858 | 2901 | 2945 | 2989 | 3034 | 3079 | 3125 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 |
| Fines | 1806 | 1833 | 1860 | 1888 | 1916 | 1945 | 1974 | 2004 | 2034 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 44039 | 44700 | 45371 | 46051 | 46742 | 47443 | 48155 | 48877 | 49610 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 |
| Paper/card | 2580 | 2619 | 2658 | 2698 | 2738 | 2780 | 2821 | 2864 | 2906 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 41 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Textiles | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Glass | 271 | 275 | 279 | 283 | 288 | 292 | 296 | 301 | 305 | 310 | 310 | 310 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 108 | 109 | 111 | 113 | 114 | 116 | 118 | 119 | 121 | 123 | 123 | 123 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 3018 | 3063 | 3109 | 3155 | 3203 | 3251 | 3300 | 3349 | 3399 | 3450 | 3450 | 3450 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 945 | 959 | 974 | 988 | 1003 | 1018 | 1033 | 1049 | 1064 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 121 | 123 | 124 | 126 | 128 | 130 | 132 | 134 | 136 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| Cans | 51 | 52 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Textiles | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Glass | 419 | 425 | 432 | 438 | 445 | 452 | 458 | 465 | 472 | 479 | 479 | 479 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1606 | 1630 | 1654 | 1679 | 1704 | 1730 | 1756 | 1782 | 1809 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
|  | 4623 | 4693 | 4763 | 4835 | 4907 | 4981 | 5055 | 5131 | 5208 | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 |
|  | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 6604 | 6703 | 6804 | 6906 | 7009 | 7114 | 7221 | 7329 | 7439 | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5252 | 5331 | 5411 | 5492 | 5574 | 5658 | 5743 | 5829 | 5916 | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 |
| Textiles | 1673 | 1698 | 1724 | 1750 | 1776 | 1803 | 1830 | 1857 | 1885 | 1913 | 1913 | 1913 |
| Misc. | 7090 | 7197 | 7305 | 7414 | 7525 | 7638 | 7753 | 7869 | 7987 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 2965 | 3010 | 3055 | 3101 | 3147 | 3194 | 3242 | 3291 | 3340 | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8808 | 8940 | 9074 | 9210 | 9348 | 9489 | 9631 | 9775 | 9922 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 2535 | 2573 | 2611 | 2651 | 2690 | 2731 | 2772 | 2813 | 2855 | 2898 | 2898 | 2898 |
| Metal | 2683 | 2723 | 2764 | 2806 | 2848 | 2890 | 2934 | 2978 | 3023 | 3068 | 3068 | 3068 |
| Fines | 1806 | 1833 | 1860 | 1888 | 1916 | 1945 | 1974 | 2004 | 2034 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
| Total residual waste | 39416 | 40007 | 40607 | 41217 | 41835 | 42462 | 43099 | 43746 | 44402 | 45068 | 45068 | 45068 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 6258 | 9528 | 11052 | 11218 | 11386 | 16854 | 17107 | 17364 | 17624 | 17624 | 22659 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | 75\% | 50\% | 44\% | 44\% | 44\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 23\% |
| Gap | 1565 | 4765 | 6218 | 6311 | 6406 | 11799 | 11976 | 12155 | 12338 | 12338 | 17373 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Gedling Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start
Year end

| 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | AME? | AME? | JAME? | IAME? | JME | NAME? | JAME? | NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 |
| Textiles | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| Misc. | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Textiles | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Glass | 310 | 310 | 310 | 310 | 310 | 310 | 310 | 310 | 310 | 310 | 310 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 123 | 123 | 123 | 123 | 123 | 123 | 123 | 123 | 123 | 123 | 123 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 3450 | 3450 | 3450 | 3450 | 3450 | 3450 | 3450 | 3450 | 3450 | 3450 | 3450 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| Cans | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Textiles | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Glass | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
|  | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 |
|  | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 |
| Textiles | 1913 | 1913 | 1913 | 1913 | 1913 | 1913 | 1913 | 1913 | 1913 | 1913 | 191 |
| Misc. | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 2898 | 2898 | 2898 | 2898 | 2898 | 2898 | 2898 | 2898 | 2898 | 2898 | 289 |
| Metal | 3068 | 3068 | 3068 | 3068 | 3068 | 3068 | 3068 | 3068 | 3068 | 3068 | 306 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
| Total residual waste | 45068 | 45068 | 45068 | 45068 | 45068 | 45068 | 45068 | 45068 | 45068 | 45068 | 45068 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Gap | 17373 | 17373 | 17373 | 17373 | 17373 | 17373 | 17373 | 17373 | 17373 | 17373 | 1737 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Gedling Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

## ontract year

Year end

| 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 |
| Plastics | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 |
| Textiles | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| Misc. | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 1071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Textiles | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Glass | 310 | 310 | 310 | 310 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 123 | 123 | 123 | 123 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 5 0}$ |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |  |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| Cans | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Textiles | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Glass | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
|  | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 | 5286 |
|  | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 | 7551 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 | 6005 |
| Textiles | 1913 | 1913 | 1913 | 1913 |
| Misc. | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 | 3390 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 2898 | 2898 | 2898 | 2898 |
| Metal | 3068 | 3088 | 3068 | 3068 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 5 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 0 6 8}$ |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ |  |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Gap | 17373 | 17373 | 17373 | 17373 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Actuals | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 10327 | 10482 | 10640 | 10799 | 10961 | 11126 | 11292 | 11462 | 11634 | 11808 | 11808 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5478 | 5560 | 5644 | 5728 | 5814 | 5901 | 5990 | 6080 | 6171 | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 1796 | 1823 | 1850 | 1878 | 1906 | 1935 | 1964 | 1993 | 2023 | 2054 | 2054 |
| Misc. | 7229 | 7338 | 7448 | 7559 | 7673 | 7788 | 7905 | 8023 | 8144 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 3727 | 3783 | 3839 | 3897 | 3956 | 4015 | 4075 | 4136 | 4198 | 4261 | 4261 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8980 | 9115 | 9252 | 9391 | 9531 | 9674 | 9819 | 9967 | 10116 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 2694 | 2735 | 2776 | 2817 | 2859 | 2902 | 2946 | 2990 | 3035 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 2829 | 2871 | 2914 | 2958 | 3002 | 3047 | 3093 | 3140 | 3187 | 3234 | 3234 |
| Fines | 1841 | 1869 | 1897 | 1925 | 1954 | 1983 | 2013 | 2043 | 2074 | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 44901.57 | 45575 | 46259 | 46953 | 47657 | 48372 | 49097 | 49834 | 50581 | 51340 | 51340 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 425 | 432 | 438 | 445 | 451 | 458 | 465 | 472 | 479 | 486 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{4 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 6}$ |
| As $\%$ of total $H H W$ collected | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 918 | 931 | 945 | 959 | 974 | 988 | 1003 | 1018 | 1034 | 1049 | 1049 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Textiles | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 77 | 77 |
| Glass | 155 | 158 | 160 | 162 | 165 | 167 | 170 | 172 | 175 | 178 | 178 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1155 | 1172 | 1190 | 1208 | 1226 | 1244 | 1263 | 1282 | 1301 | 1321 | 1321 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 1580 | 1604 | 1628 | 1653 | 1677 | 1703 | 1728 | 1754 | 1780 | 1807 | 1807 |
|  | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |

## Year star <br> Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 8985 | 9119 | 9256 | 9395 | 9536 | 9679 | 9824 | 9971 | 10121 | 10273 | 10273 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5478 | 5560 | 5644 | 5728 | 5814 | 5901 | 5990 | 6080 | 6171 | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 1729 | 1755 | 1781 | 1808 | 1835 | 1863 | 1891 | 1919 | 1948 | 1977 | 1977 |
| Misc. | 7229 | 7338 | 7448 | 7559 | 7673 | 7788 | 7905 | 8023 | 8144 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 3572 | 3625 | 3679 | 3735 | 3791 | 3848 | 3905 | 3964 | 4023 | 4084 | 4084 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8980 | 9115 | 9252 | 9391 | 9531 | 9674 | 9819 | 9967 | 10116 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 2694 | 2735 | 2776 | 2817 | 2859 | 2902 | 2946 | 2990 | 3035 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 2814 | 2856 | 2899 | 2942 | 2986 | 3031 | 3076 | 3123 | 3169 | 3217 | 3217 |
| Fines | 1841 | 1869 | 1897 | 1925 | 1954 | 1983 | 2013 | 2043 | 2074 | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total residual waste | 43321 | 43971 | 44631 | 45300 | 45980 | 46669 | 47369 | 48080 | 48801 | 49533 | 49533 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 4558 | 8327 | 9860 | 10008 | 10158 | 17184 | 17442 | 17703 | 17969 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $35 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Gap | 2953 | 6698 | 8207 | 8331 | 8456 | 15456 | 15688 | 15923 | 16162 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAM |
| ear end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 |
| Organic - Citchen | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 486 | 486 | 486 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - green | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{0} 86$ | $\mathbf{4 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 6}$ |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Textiles | 77 | 77 | 77 |
| Glass | 178 | 178 | 178 |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{1 3 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 1}$ |
| $\%$ of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0 7}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 10273 | 10273 | 10273 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 1997 | 1977 | 1977 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 3217 | 3217 | 3217 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{4 9 5 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 5 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 5 3 3}$ |
| As $\%$ of total $\boldsymbol{H H W}$ collected | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Gap | 21296 | 21296 | 21296 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 51340 | 51340 | 51340 | 51340 | 51340 | 51340 | 51340 | 51340 | 51340 | 51340 | 51340 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 | 486 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Textiles | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 |
| Glass | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1321 | 1321 | 1321 | 1321 | 1321 | 1321 | 1321 | 1321 | 1321 | 1321 | 1321 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 1807 | 1807 | 1807 | 1807 | 1807 | 1807 | 1807 | 1807 | 1807 | 1807 | 1807 |
|  | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 10273 | 10273 | 10273 | 10273 | 10273 | 10273 | 10273 | 10273 | 10273 | 10273 | 10273 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 1977 | 1977 | 1977 | 1977 | 1977 | 1977 | 1977 | 1977 | 1977 | 1977 | 1977 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 3217 | 3217 | 3217 | 3217 | 3217 | 3217 | 3217 | 3217 | 3217 | 3217 | 3217 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total residual waste | 49533 | 49533 | 49533 | 49533 | 49533 | 49533 | 49533 | 49533 | 49533 | 49533 | 49533 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Gap | 21296 | 21296 | 21296 | 21296 | 21296 | 21296 | 21296 | 21296 | 21296 | 21296 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11808 | 11808 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 2054 | 2054 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4261 | 4261 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 3234 | 3234 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 486 | 486 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - green | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - kitchen | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{4 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 6}$ |
| As $\%$ of total $H H W$ collected | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1049 | 1049 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 17 | 17 |
| Textiles | 77 | 77 |
| Glass | 178 | 178 |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{1 3 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 1}$ |
| As $\%$ of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 8 0 7}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0 7}$ |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 10273 | 10273 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 1977 | 1977 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4084 | 4084 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 3217 | 3217 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{4 9 5 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 5 3 3}$ |
| $\%$ of total $H$ HW collected | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 23103 | 23103 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Gap | 21296 | 21296 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Newark Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11545 | 11718 | 11894 | 12073 | 12254 | 12438 | 12624 | 12813 | 13006 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4419 | 4486 | 4553 | 4621 | 4691 | 4761 | 4832 | 4905 | 4978 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 |
| Textiles | 1411 | 1432 | 1453 | 1475 | 1497 | 1520 | 1542 | 1565 | 1589 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |
| Misc. | 5065 | 5141 | 5218 | 5296 | 5376 | 5457 | 5538 | 5621 | 5706 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3435 | 3486 | 3539 | 3592 | 3645 | 3700 | 3756 | 3812 | 3869 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12846 | 13039 | 13234 | 13433 | 13634 | 13839 | 14046 | 14257 | 14471 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5038 | 5113 | 5190 | 5268 | 5347 | 5427 | 5508 | 5591 | 5675 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1640 | 1664 | 1689 | 1714 | 1740 | 1766 | 1793 | 1820 | 1847 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 |
| Fines | 398 | 404 | 410 | 417 | 423 | 429 | 436 | 442 | 449 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 45796 | 46483 | 47181 | 47888 | 48607 | 49336 | 50076 | 50827 | 51589 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 |
| Paper/card | 1072 | 1088 | 1104 | 1121 | 1138 | 1155 | 1172 | 1190 | 1207 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 1072 | 1088 | 1104 | 1121 | 1138 | 1155 | 1172 | 1190 | 1207 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 695 | 706 | 716 | 727 | 738 | 749 | 760 | 772 | 783 | 795 | 795 | 795 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 31 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Textiles | 41 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 349 | 354 | 360 | 365 | 371 | 376 | 382 | 388 | 393 | 399 | 399 | 399 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1117 | 1133 | 1150 | 1167 | 1185 | 1203 | 1221 | 1239 | 1258 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
|  | 2188 | 2221 | 2254 | 2288 | 2323 | 2357 | 2393 | 2429 | 2465 | 2502 | 2502 | 2502 |
|  | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |

ear star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 9778 | 9925 | 10074 | 10225 | 10378 | 10534 | 10692 | 10852 | 11015 | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4419 | 4486 | 4553 | 4621 | 4691 | 4761 | 4832 | 4905 | 4978 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 |
| Textiles | 1370 | 1390 | 1411 | 1433 | 1454 | 1476 | 1498 | 1520 | 1543 | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 |
| Misc. | 5065 | 5141 | 5218 | 5296 | 5376 | 5457 | 5538 | 5621 | 5706 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3086 | 3132 | 3179 | 3227 | 3275 | 3324 | 3374 | 3425 | 3476 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12846 | 13039 | 13234 | 13433 | 13634 | 13839 | 14046 | 14257 | 14471 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5038 | 5113 | 5190 | 5268 | 5347 | 5427 | 5508 | 5591 | 5675 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1608 | 1632 | 1657 | 1681 | 1707 | 1732 | 1758 | 1785 | 1811 | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 |
| Fines | 398 | 404 | 410 | 417 | 423 | 429 | 436 | 442 | 449 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Total residual waste | 43608 | 44262 | 44926 | 45600 | 46284 | 46978 | 47683 | 48398 | 49124 | 49861 | 49861 | 49861 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 4648 | 8493 | 10057 | 10207 | 10361 | 17527 | 17789 | 18056 | 18327 | 18327 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $48 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Gap | 2427 | 6238 | 7768 | 7885 | 8003 | 15134 | 15361 | 15591 | 15825 | 15825 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Newark Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME? | \#NAME? | AME? | AME? | AME? | \#NAME? | AME? | AME? | NAME? | E? | \#NAME? | E? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 |
| Textiles | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |
| Misc. | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 |
| Fines | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 5236 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Textiles | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
|  | 2502 | 2502 | 2502 | 2502 | 2502 | 2502 | 2502 | 2502 | 2502 | 2502 | 2502 | 2502 |
|  | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 |
| Textiles | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 |
| Misc. | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 |
| Fines | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Total residual waste | 49861 | 49861 | 49861 | 49861 | 49861 | 49861 | 49861 | 49861 | 49861 | 49861 | 49861 | 49861 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Newark Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected | Projected |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 |
| Textiles | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |
| Misc. | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 |
| Organic - itthen | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 |
| Fines | 456 | $\mathbf{4 5 6}$ | 456 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 2 3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 3 6 3}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 1226 | 1226 | 1226 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{1 2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2 6}$ |
| As of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 795 | 795 | 795 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Textiles | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 399 | 399 | $\mathbf{3 9 9}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 7}$ |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |  |

As \% of total HHW collected

| 2502 | 2502 | 2502 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 11180 | 11180 | 11180 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 |
| Textiles | 1566 | 1566 | 1566 |
| Misc. | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1839 | 1839 | 1839 |
| Fines | 456 | 456 | 456 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 9 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 8 6 1}$ |
| Total residual waste |  |  |  |
| As $\%$ of total $\boldsymbol{H H W}$ collected | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 23563 | 23563 | 23563 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Gap | 21061 | 21061 | 21061 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Rushcliffe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling
Contract year
Year start
Year end

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 9621 | 9765 | 9912 | 10060 | 10211 | 10364 | 10520 | 10678 | 10838 | 11000 | 11000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3683 | 3738 | 3794 | 3851 | 3909 | 3967 | 4027 | 4087 | 4149 | 4211 | 4211 |
| Textiles | 1175 | 1193 | 1211 | 1229 | 1248 | 1266 | 1285 | 1305 | 1324 | 1344 | 1344 |
| Misc. | 4221 | 4284 | 4348 | 4414 | 4480 | 4547 | 4615 | 4684 | 4755 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 2862 | 2905 | 2949 | 2993 | 3038 | 3083 | 3130 | 3177 | 3224 | 3273 | 3273 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10705 | 10865 | 11028 | 11194 | 11361 | 11532 | 11705 | 11880 | 12059 | 12240 | 12240 |
| Organic - Green | 4198 | 4261 | 4325 | 4390 | 4455 | 4522 | 4590 | 4659 | 4729 | 4800 | 4800 |
| Metal | 1366 | 1387 | 1408 | 1429 | 1450 | 1472 | 1494 | 1516 | 1539 | 1562 | 1562 |
| Fines | 332 | 337 | 342 | 347 | 352 | 358 | 363 | 368 | 374 | 380 | 380 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 38163 | 38735 | 39316 | 39906 | 40504 | 41112 | 41729 | 42355 | 42990 | 43635 | 43635 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 96 | 98 | 99 | 101 | 102 | 104 | 105 | 107 | 109 | 110 | 110 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 96 | 98 | 99 | 101 | 102 | 104 | 105 | 107 | 109 | 110 | 110 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 1821 | 1848 | 1876 | 1904 | 1933 | 1962 | 1991 | 2021 | 2051 | 2082 | 2082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cans | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 139 | 141 | 143 | 145 | 148 | 150 | 152 | 154 | 157 | 159 | 159 |
| Glass | 993 | 1008 | 1023 | 1038 | 1054 | 1069 | 1085 | 1102 | 1118 | 1135 | 1135 |
| Total bring site recycling | 2983 | 3028 | 3073 | 3119 | 3166 | 3214 | 3262 | 3311 | 3360 | 3411 | 3411 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |
|  | 3080 | 3126 | 3173 | 3220 | 3268 | 3318 | 3367 | 3418 | 3469 | 3521 | 3521 |
|  | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 7800 | 7917 | 8036 | 8156 | 8279 | 8403 | 8529 | 8657 | 8787 | 8918 |
| Plastics | 3681 | 3736 | 3792 | 3589 | 3907 | 3965 | 4025 | 4085 | 4146 | 4208 |
| Textiles | 1036 | 1052 | 1068 | 1084 | 1100 | 1116 | 1133 | 1150 | 1167 | 1185 |
| Misc. | 4221 | 4284 | 4348 | 4414 | 4480 | 4547 | 4615 | 4684 | 4755 | 4826 |
| Glass | 1870 | 1898 | 1926 | 1955 | 1984 | 4826 |  |  |  |  |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 4648 | 7077 | 8380 | 8506 | 8634 | 14605 | 14824 | 15046 | 15272 | 15272 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $67 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Gap | 1522 | 3904 | 5160 | 5237 | 5316 | 11238 | 11406 | 11577 | 11751 | 11751 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Rushcliffe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start
ear end

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | AME? | AME? | AME? | AME? | IAME? | NAME? | NAME? | NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste


Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Glass | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 |
| Total bring site recycling | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |
|  | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 |
|  | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 |
| Textiles | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 |
| Misc. | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 |
| Organic - Green | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 |
| Metal | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 |
| Fines | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
| Total residual waste | 40114 | 40114 | 40114 | 40114 | 40114 | 40114 | 40114 | 40114 | 40114 | 40114 | 40114 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of target achieved | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Gap | 16115 | 16115 | 16115 | 16115 | 16115 | 16115 | 16115 | 16115 | 16115 | 16115 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Rushcliffe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

## ontract year

Year end

| 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 |
| Plastics | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 |
| Textiles | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 |
| Misc. | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12420 | 12240 |
| Organic - Green | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 |
| Metal | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 |
| Fines | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| As of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Glass | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 |
| Total bring site recycling | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |
|  | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 | 3521 |
|  | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 | 8918 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 |
| Textiles | 11185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 |
| Misc. | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 |
| Organic - Green | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 |
| Metal | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 |
| Fines | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 0 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 1 1 4}$ |
| Total residual waste |  |  |  |  |  |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Gap | 16115 | 16115 | 16115 | 16115 | 16115 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Capture rate calculations

| Contract year | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 201 |
| Capture rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct recycling (capture rate for each component) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kerbside recycling - dry recyclables |  | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% |
| Kerbside recycling - organic |  | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% |
| HWRC's (capture rate of total stream) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County <br> HWRC recycling | 13\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | \% |

Nottingham C
Capture rate c

Direct recycling (ca

| $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ |

## © Deloitte \& Touche

## DISCLAIMER

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

This Model ('the Model') has been constructed for a specific purpose and is not intended for distribution to third parties. Third parties who obtain copies of the Model should be aware of the following:
the Model may not be suitable for purposes, other than the specific purpose for which it was designed, and the interests of third parties may not have been anticipated;
the Model was not intended for use by third parties and may not be designed so that it can be readily operated in a correct manner by such parties;
the Model may be a development version and may not be complete or, in the event that development of the Model has concluded, material events may have occurred since completion, which are not reflected in the Model;
the Model may not have been subject to independent testing and where it has been tested, this may not provide an appropriate degree of assurance for all possible uses of the Model.

Accordingly, in the absence of written consent to the contrary, no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) is made by Deloitte \& Touche and no responsibility is taken or accepted as to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of the Model and we exclude liability thereof. In particular, no responsibility is taken or accepted and all liability is excluded for the validity of the assumptions, the accuracy of the computations or the reasonableness and achieveability of the projections comprised herein .

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

DRAFT

## Contents Sheet

## Input Sheet

The inputs and assumptions sheet contains
All manually input information
All assumptions used
The source of the data
Names used when referencing the inputs throughout the workbook
Facilities Sheet
Shows the future capacity requirements for each type of facility and includes the tonnage which needs to be diverted to a new recycling/recovery facility to enable all targets to be met.

## Performance Summay

Shows the performance against the specified recycling, composting and/or recovery targets

## Summary

A summary of waste flows from the County and the City showing:
Total waste generation
Total volumes of waste diverted via recycling/composting and recovery and the residual waste sent to landfill Consolidated County plus City volumes for generated, diverted and residual flows
Consolidated targets and performance for the County and the City

## City

Waste generation, direct recycling and other diversion for the City.
This sheet shows the same information as the district generation sheets and in addition shows:
Total waste generated including household waste and waste from other sources e.g. trade waste and HWRC waste
Volume of waste diverted through Eastcroft
Derives performance targets for the three scenarios considered in the model
Measures the performance of the City against the targets derived
Targets considered are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Scenario 1: } & \text { PMSU targets, Landfill Directive targets (both absolute reduction in MSW and reduction in BMW) and MSW recovery targets } \\
\text { Scenario 2: } & \text { Best Value targets, Landfill Directive targets (both absolute reduction in MSW and reduction in BMW) and MSW recovery targets }
\end{array}
$$

## County

Waste generation, direct recycling and other diversion for the County
This sheet shows the same information as the City sheet.
The district figures are consolidated to give collected HHW and direct recycling figures at a County level.

## Targets considered are

Scenario 1
Scenario 3:

PMSU targets, Landfill Directive targets (both absolute reduction in MSW and reduction in BMW) and MSW recovery targets The same targets as Scenario 1 but excluding waste diverted by Newark and Sherwood

## Ashfield

Waste generation and direct recycling for the district.
The actual 2002 waste figures are combined with forecast growth rates for household waste to generate projected waste volumes over the length of the project.
The composition of the waste is also calculated based on the national waste composition figures
The sheets show the levels and make-up of the districts' direct recycling efforts (kerbside collection and bring bank sites) and the composition of residual waste after direct recycling

## Bassetlaw

As above for Ashfield.

## Browtowe

As above for Ashfield.

## Gedling

As above for Ashfield.

## Mansfield

As above for Ashfield.

## Newark

As above for Ashfield.
Rushcliffe
As above for Ashfield.
Capture Sheet
Derivation of future capture rates for the facilities on an annual basis.


| Waste growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contract year | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| HH County |  |  | 0.0\% CountyHHgrowth 4$0.0 \%$ CountyTgrowth 4 |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% CountyHHgrowth5 0.0\% CountyTgrowth5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Waste volumes - base line 2001/2002 figures |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | County | Sum of Districts |
| WCA collected |  |  |
| Residual excl trade | 290,364 | 290,364 |
| Kerbside | 6,683 | 6,683 |
| Bring site | 10,945 | 10,945 |
| Composted | 240 | 240 |
| Total direct recycling | 17,868 | 17,868 |
| Trade | 18,500 | 18,500 |
| Clinical | 372 | n/a |
| Charity | 1,042 | n/a |
| WDA Collected |  |  |
| HWRC residual | 68,836 | n/a |
| HWRC recycled | 13,764 | n/a |
| HWRC composted | 24,025 | n/a |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |
| Hardcore | 12,375 | n/a |
| Asbestos | 165 | n/a |
| 3rd Party |  |  |
| Schools | 786 | n/a |
| Community Groups | 1,436 | n/a |
| Total trade | 20,079 | n/a |
| HWRC Trade Hardcore | 12,375 | n/a |

Waste from schools and community groups is included in HHW

Composition of collected waste

|  | National HHW composition figures |  | Rushcliffe composition figures |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waste Composition | \% of total | \%BMW | \% Total | \% of total | Total BMW \% |
| Paper/Card | 23\% Paper | 100\% BMWPaper | 23\% | 25\% RuralPaper | 25\% |
| Plastic | 12\% Plastic | 0\% BMWPlastic | 0\% | 10\% RuralPlastic | 0\% |
| Textiles | 4\% Textiles | 50\% BMWTextiles | 2\% | 3\% RuralTextiles | 2\% |
| Misc. | 16\% Misc | 50\% вмшмііс | 8\% | 11\% Ruralmisc | 6\% |
| Glass | 8\% Glass | 0\% BMWGlass | 0\% | 8\% RuralGlass | 0\% |
| Organic - Kitchen | 20\% Organickitchen | 100\% BMWOrganic | 20\% | 28\% Ruralorganickitch | 28\% |
| Organic - Green | 6\% OrganicGreen | 100\% BMWOrganic | 6\% | 11\% RuralorganicGree | 11\% |
| Metal | 6\% Metal | 0\% BMWMetal | 0\% | 4\% Ruralmetal | 0\% |
| Fines | 4\% Fines | 50\% BMWFines | 2\% | 1\% RuralFines | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% |  | 61\% BMWTotal | 100\% | 72\% RuralBMWTotal |

Due to the diversity in the make-up of district waste and a lack of reliable composition figures it is assumed that.
i/ the rural district waste composition is the same as that for Rushclifie
ii/ the composition for other districts is based on national waste analysis figures
Composition of HWRC waste per national composition figures

| Waste Composition | \% of total | \%BMW | \% Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garden Waste | 30.0\% HWRCGreen | 100\% BMWHWRCGreen | 30\% |
| Other Waste (incl inerts) | 40.0\% HWRCOther | 50\% BMWHWRCOther | 20\% |
| Recycables (maximum) | 30.0\% HWRCRec | 60\% BMWHWRCRec | 18\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% |  | 68\% BMWHWRCTotal |
| BMW content of hardcore: | BMWHardcore |  |  |

District household waste generation and recycling

| 2002 figures | Ashfield | Bassetlaw | Browtowe | Gedling | Mansfield | Newark | Rushclife | Total Districts | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kerbside recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card | 987 | 1,174 | 131 | 2,542 | 419 | 1,056 |  | 6,309 | 6,309 |
| Plastics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cans |  |  | 8 | 40 |  |  |  | 48 | 48 |
| Textiles |  |  | 2 | 18 |  |  |  | 20 | 20 |
| Glass |  |  | 39 | 267 |  |  |  | 306 | 306 |
| Organic - green |  | 39 |  | 106 |  |  | 95 | 240 | 240 |
| Organic - kitchen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total kerbside recycling | 987 | 1,213 | 180 | 2,973 | 419 | 1,056 | 95 | 6,923 | 6,923 |
| Residual waste to landfill | 49,527 | 48,160 | 10,103 | 30,430 | 45,212 | 45,384 | 9,737 | 238,553 | 238,553 |
| Residual waste to incinerator |  | 49 | 31,714 | 10,855 | 383 | 31 | 27,279 | 70,311 | 70,311 |
| Less trade waste | 3,328 | 2,451 | 2,451 | 2,451 | 2,915 | 2,451 | 2,451 | 18,500 | 18,500 |
| Total HHW collection | 47,186 | 46,971 | 39,546 | 41,807 | 43,099 | 44,020 | 34,660 | 297,287 | 297,287 |
| Bring site recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper |  |  | 712 | 926 |  | 680 |  | 2,318 | 2,318 |
| Card |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card mixed | 358 | 1,798 | 198 |  | 904 |  | 1,794 | 5,052 | 5,052 |
| Plastic botlles | 7 | 18 | 74 | 119 |  |  | 2 | 220 | 220 |
| Cans | 8 | 28 | 27 | 50 | 15 | 31 | 28 | 187 | 187 |
| Textiles | 37 | 116 | 67 | 69 | 67 | 40 | 137 | 533 | 533 |
| Glass | 137 | 288 | 299 | 413 | 153 | 344 | 978 | 2,612 | 2,612 |
| Shoes | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |
| Books |  |  | 7 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 17 | 17 |
| Organic - green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Organic - kitchen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total bring site recycling | 550 | 2,248 | 1,387 | 1,582 | 1,139 | 1,100 | 2,939 | 10,945 | 10,945 |
| Total HHW (excl HWRCs) | 47,736 | 49,219 | 40,933 | 43,389 | 44,238 | 45,120 | 37,599 | 308,232 | 308,232 |

Recycling and recovery targets
Assume that the County is aiming for compliance with Lanfill Directive guidelines under all scenarios.
andfill Directive
BMW to landfill 1995: County 174,746 CountyLF95


| Contract year <br> Year start <br> Year end | Day 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 31 Mar 04 | 01 Apr 04 \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? |
| Recycling/recovery targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PMSU2010 |  |  |  |  |  | PMSU2015 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| County |  | 16\% | 27\% |  | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |  | 30\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Ashfield |  | 10\% | 18\% |  | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |  | 30\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Bassetlaw |  | 14\% | 21\% |  | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |  | 30\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Broxtowe |  | 10\% | 18\% |  | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |  | 30\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Geding |  | 14\% | 21\% |  | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |  | 30\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Mansfield |  | 10\% | 18\% |  | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |  | 30\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Newark |  | 10\% | 18\% |  | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |  | 30\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Rushcliffe |  | 12\% | 18\% |  | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |  | 30\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| MSW Recovery |  | MSW2005 |  |  |  |  |  | MSW2010 |  |  |  |  |  | MSW2015 |  |
|  |  | 40\% | 40\% |  | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |  | 45\% | 67\% | 67\% |
| Landifill Disposal (Landfill Directive) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LFD2010 |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 50\% |  | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |

## Recycling and recover

## andfill Directive

| Contract yearYear start | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? | \#NAME? \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Recycling/recovery targets | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| County | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Ashfield | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Bassetlaw | 33\% | 33\% | \% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | \% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 3\% | 33\% | 3\% |
| Broxtowe | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Gedling | 33\% | 33\% | \% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 3\% | 33\% | 3\% |
| Mansfield | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Newark | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Rushclife | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| MSW Recovery | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% |
| Landifill Disposal (Landfill Directive) | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | FD2020 ${ }_{35 \%}$ | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |

# Eastcroft Assumptions 

Amounts directed to Easteroft
County
Residual
County
Residual ash $\%$ ,000 EtWCounty
mount of waste directed to Eastroft Assumes all residual ash is landifiled




Kerbside rates - County
Availabilitzoozo
Avalability020
Participationn 2020
Participatiorn2020
RecoveryO20
Green waste
Availabilityability2020
Participationcicipation 2020
Recoveryvery2020

switch is set to "1" kerbside collection will take plac

Bring Banks
he bring bank recovery levels for materials not collected at the kerbside are based on the 2002 recovery levels and increased by $\mathrm{a} \%$ every 5 years
total increse in recovery every 5yrs
$0 \%$ BBRecoverylncrease
Where materials are also collected at the kerbside the HHW growth rates are applied to the 2002 bring bank tonnages.

Throughput Tonnages for Facilities

| Eastroft | Max | 140,000 | CapacityEFw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Composting -Green | Max | 40,000 | max |
| Composting - IVC | Max | 50,000 | IVC_max |
| MRFs |  |  |  |
|  |  | 75,000 | MRF_large |
| Large (MRF and bulking) MaxSmall (buking) Max |  | 60,000 | MRF_small |

Proposed Facilities



## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements
Contract year
Year start
Year end

## HWRCs

Projected waste flows to HWRCs

## Eastcroft

Projected waste flows to Eastcrof

Future capacity requirments

DRAFT
ounty
Total

Core County
Total

Existing capacity Breach of capacity limit? Further capacity requirement
nt as a $\%$ of existing capacity

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 109,848 | 111,495 | 113,168 | 114,865 | 116,588 | 118,337 | 120,112 | 121,914 | 123,743 | 123,743 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 9 , 8 4 8}$ | 111,495 | 113,168 | 114,865 | $\mathbf{1 1 6 , 5 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 , 9 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 , 7 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 , 7 4 3}$ |


| 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| n/a | n/a | $n / a$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

## Contract year <br> Year start Year end

Composting
rojected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## Total recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of 60,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
reach
Gap
erbside waste flow to windrow compost facilities
Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 40,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cccccccc}
01 \text { Apr 04 } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? }
\end{array} \text { \#NAME? } \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected }
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

| 1,454 | 1,627 | 1,806 | 1,990 | 2,178 | 2,372 | 2,470 | 2,570 | 2,672 | 2,736 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,454 | 1,627 | 1,806 | 1,990 | 2,178 | 2,372 | 2,470 | 2,570 | 2,672 | 2,736 |
| 29,659 | 30,238 | 30,800 | 31,350 | 31,892 | 32,661 | 33,223 | 33,795 | 34,376 | 34,450 |
| 29,659 | 30,238 | 30,800 | 31,350 | 31,892 | 32,661 | 33,223 | 33,795 | 34,376 | 34,450 |
| 31,113 | 31,865 | 32,606 | 33,340 | 34,070 | 35,033 | 35,693 | 36,364 | 37,048 | 37,186 |
| 32,750 | 33,542 | 34,322 | 35,094 | 35,863 | 36,877 | 37,571 | 38,278 | 38,998 | 39,143 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |


| Contract year <br> Year start <br> Year end |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| MRFs |  |
| Kerbside |  |
|  | County |
|  | Total mixed recyclables |
| Bring site |  |
|  | County |
| HWRCs |  |
|  | County |
|  | Total |
|  | ables (requiring bulking) |

## Total recycling at MRF facilities

## Total waste flow to MRF facilities

No. of MRF facilities required (based on capacity of 75,000tpa)
Large facilities (MRFs and bulking, capacity of 75,000 tpa) Small facilities (bulking facilities, capacity of 60,000 tpa)

## Proposed facility capacity <br> Capacity provided by proposed facilities <br> Breach?

Gap
County waste flows
\#NApr 04 \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAML? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAM? \#NAME? \#NAME?
Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected

| 45,293 | 48,059 | 50,898 | 53,812 | 56,801 | 59,868 | 63,244 | 66,708 | 70,261 | 72,814 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45,293 | 48,059 | 50,898 | 53,812 | 56,801 | 59,868 | 63,244 | 66,708 | 70,261 | 72,814 |
| 45,293 | 48,059 | 50,898 | 53,812 | 56,801 | 59,868 | 63,244 | 66,708 | 70,261 | 72,814 |
| 11,276 | 11,445 | 11,617 | 11,791 | 11,968 | 12,147 | 12,329 | 12,514 | 12,702 | 12,702 |
| 11,276 | 11,445 | 11,617 | 11,791 | 11,968 | 12,147 | 12,329 | 12,514 | 12,702 | 12,702 |
| 29,659 | 30,238 | 30,800 | 31,350 | 31,892 | 32,661 | 33,223 | 33,795 | 34,376 | 34,450 |
| 29,659 | 30,238 | 30,800 | 31,350 | 31,892 | 32,661 | 33,223 | 33,795 | 34,376 | 34,450 |
| 40,935 | 41,683 | 42,416 | 43,141 | 43,860 | 44,808 | 45,553 | 46,309 | 47,078 | 47,152 |
| 86,227 | 89,741 | 93,314 | 96,952 | 100,661 | 104,676 | 108,797 | 113,017 | 117,339 | 119,966 |
| 90,766 | 94,465 | 98,226 | 102,055 | 105,959 | 110,186 | 114,523 | 118,965 | 123,515 | 126,280 |


| $\mathbf{9 0 , 7 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 , 4 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 , 2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 0 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 9 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0 , 1 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 , 5 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 9 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 , 5 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 , 2 8 0}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| 135,000 | 135,000 | 135,000 | 135,000 | 135,000 | 135,000 | 135,000 | 135,000 | 135,000 | 135,000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47,676 | 50,588 | 53,577 | 56,644 | 59,791 | 63,019 | 66,573 | 70,219 | 73,959 | 76,647 |
| 43,089 | 43,876 | 44,649 | 45,411 | 46,168 | 47,167 | 47,950 | 48,746 | 49,556 | 49,634 |

Contract year
Year start
Year start
Year end

Other Recycling/Recovery Facilities
o satisty recycling targets

Total additional recycling required | Courty |
| :---: |

For Landfill Directive target to be met
Total additional recovery required

## Waste flow to other facilitie

To achieve required recycling level To achieve required recovery level Maximum waste flow to other facilities

Recycling (based on assumed recycling levels) Recycling (based on assumed recycling levels)
Recovery (based on assumed recovery levels)

## Additional recycling/recovery provided by additional facilities

100,000tpa)
No. of other recycling/recovery facilities required (based on capacity of 100,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected

$\qquad$

| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/a | N $/ a$ | N $/ a$ | N $/ a$ | N $/ a$ | N $/ a$ | N $/ a$ | N $/ a$ | N $/ a$ |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements

| Contract year |  | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected | Projected |
| HWRCs |  |  |  |
| Projected waste flows to HWRCs |  |  |  |
|  | County | 123,743 | 123, |
|  | Total | 123,743 | 123,743 |

Eastcroft
Projected waste flows to Eastcroft

Euture capacity requirments

|  | Core County | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  | Existing capacity | 140,000 | 140,000 |
|  | Breach of capacity limit? | No | No |
|  | Further capacity requirement | n/a | n/a |
| Further capacity requireme | nt as a \% of existing capacity | n/a | n/a |

## Contract year <br> Year start

Composting
rojected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## otal recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of 60,000 tpa)

## Proposed facility capacity Capacity

Breach
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { No } & \text { No } \\ \text { N/a }\end{array}$
rojected waste flow to windrow compost facilities
Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 40,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

|  | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected |
| County | - | - |
| Total | - | - |
| County |  |  |
| Total | - | - |
|  | . | - |
|  | - | - |
|  | 0 | 0 |
|  | - |  |
|  | No | No |
|  | N/a | N/a |
| County | 2,800 | 2,800 |
| Total | 2,800 | 2,800 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { County } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | 34,524 | 34,673 |
|  | 34,524 | 34,673 |
|  | 37,325 | 37,473 |
|  | 39,289 | 39,445 |
|  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 40,000 | 40,000 |
|  | No | No |
|  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{a}$ | N/a |


| Contract year |  | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start Year end |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected | Projected |
| MRFs Kerbside |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | County | 75,367 | 75,367 |
|  | Total | 75,367 | 75,367 |
|  | Total mixed recyclables | 75,367 | 75,367 |
| Bring site |  |  |  |
|  | County | 12,702 | 12,702 |
|  | Total | 12,702 | 12,702 |
| HWRCs |  |  |  |
|  | County | 34,524 | 34,673 |
|  | Total | 34,524 | 34,673 |
|  | Total segregated recyclables (requiring bulking) | 47,226 | 47,375 |
| Total recycling at MRF facilities |  | 122,594 | 122,742 |
| Total waste flow to MRF facilities |  | 129,046 | 129,202 |
| No. of MRF facilities required (based on capacity of 75,000 tpa) |  |  |  |
|  | Large facilities (MRFs and bulking, capacity of 75,000tpa) | ) 1 | 1 |
|  | Small facilities (bulking facilities, capacity of 60,000tpa) | ) 1 | 1 |
| Proposed facility capacity |  |  |  |
| Capacity provided by proposed facilities |  | 135,000 | 135,000 |
| Breach? |  | No | No |
| Gap |  | N/a | N/a |
| County waste flows |  |  |  |
|  | County mixed recyclables waste flow | - 79,334 | 79,334 |
|  | County segregated recyclables waste flow | 49,712 | 49,868 |

Contract year

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Year start } \\
& \text { Year end }
\end{aligned}
$$

Other Recycling/Recovery Facilities sailsly recycling targets

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
11 & 12 \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected } & \text { Projected } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { County } & - & - \\
\hline \text { Total additional recycling required } & - & - \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

For Landfill Directive target to be met

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { County } & - & - \\
\text { Total additional recovery required } & - & - \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ Waste flow to other facilities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { To achieve required recycling level } \\
& \text { To achieve required recovery level } \\
& \text { m waste flow to other facilities }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$
Additional recycling/recovery provided by additional facilities Recycling (based on assumed recycling levels) Recovery (based on assumed recovery levels)No. of other recycling/recovery facilities required (based on capacity of 100,000 tpa)

Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { No } & \text { No } \\ \text { N/a } & \text { N/a }\end{array}$

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements
Contract year
Year start
Year end

## HWRCs

Projected waste flows to HWRCs

## Eastcroft

Projected waste flows to Eastcrof

Future capacity requirments


## Contract year <br> Year start Year end

Composting
rojected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## Total recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of 60,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capososed faciilty capacity
crovided by proposed facilities
reach
Gap

Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 40,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrr}
13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#rojected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

| County | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
|  | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |
| County | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 |
| Total | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { County } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | 34,821 | 34,970 | 35,118 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 |
|  | 34,821 | 34,970 | 35,118 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 |
|  | 37,621 | 37,770 | 37,918 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 |
|  | 39,602 | 39,758 | 39,914 | 40,070 | 40,070 | 40,070 | 40,070 | 40,070 | 40,070 | 40,070 | 40,070 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
|  | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
|  | N/a | N/a | N/a | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |



## Contract year <br> Year start Year end

Other Recycling/Recovery Facilities
To satisty recycling targets

$$
\begin{array}{rlllllllll} 
& \text { County } & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

For Landfill Directive target to be met

Waste flow to other facilities

$$
\begin{array}{rcccccccrrr}
13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAE? } \\
\text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Total additional recove

To achieve required recycling level mum waste flow to other facilities

| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |

Additional recycling/recovery provided by additional facilities

Recycling (based on assumed recycling levels)
Recovery (based on assumed recovery levels)

No. of other recycling/recovery facilities required (based on capacity of 100,000tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap


## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements

| Contract year | 24 |  | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected | Projected | Projected |
| HWRCs |  |  |  |  |
| Projected waste flows to HWRCs |  |  |  |  |
|  | County | 123,743 | 123,743 | 123,743 |
|  | Total | 123,743 | 123,743 | 123,743 |

Eastcroft
Projected waste flows to Eastcroft

Euture capacity requirments

| Further capacity requirement | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Further capacity requirement as a $\%$ of existing capacity | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |

## Contract year <br> Year start

Composting
rojected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## otal recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of 60,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
reach
rojected waste flow to windrow compost facilities
Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 40,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

## $24 \quad 25 \quad 26$ <br> NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME Projected Projected Projected

$\qquad$
$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { No } & \text { No } & \text { No } \\ \text { N/a } & \text { N/a } & \text { No }\end{array}$

County $\qquad$ | County | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 |

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 |
|  |  |  |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40,070 | 40,070 | 40,070 |
|  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{lll}40,000 & 40,000 & 40,000\end{array}$
Yes
70
Contract year
Year start
Year end

Contract year
Year start
Year end

Other Recycling/Recovery Facilities
o satisty recycling targets

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { County } & - & - & - \\
\text { Total additional recycling required } & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

For Landfill Directive target to be met

$$
\begin{array}{rrrr}
24 & 25 & 26 \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

County
ounty
Total additional recovery requir
To achieve required recycling level o achieve required recovery level $\qquad$ Maximum waste flow to other facilities Recycling (based on assumed recycling levels)
Recovery (based on assumed recovery levels)

No. of other recycling/recovery facilities required (based on capacity of 100,000tpa)
roposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

## Performance summary

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Recycling

| Recycling achieved | MRF |
| ---: | ---: |
| MBT |  |
|  | Green waste composting |
| IVC |  |
|  | Total |


| 86,227 | 89,741 | 93,314 | 96,952 | 100,661 | 104,676 | 108,797 | 113,017 | 117,339 | 119,966 | 122,594 | 122,742 | 122,891 | 123,039 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 31,113 | 31,865 | 32,606 | 33,340 | 34,070 | 35,033 | 35,693 | 36,364 | 37,048 | 37,186 | 37,325 | 37,473 | 37,621 | 37,770 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 117,340 | 121,606 | 125,920 | 130,292 | 134,731 | 139,710 | 144,489 | 149,381 | 154,387 | 157,153 | 159,918 | 160,215 | 160,512 | 160,809 |
| 25\% | 26\% | 26\% | 27\% | 27\% | 28\% | 29\% | 29\% | 30\% | 30\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% |

WS 2000 targets | Target |
| ---: |
| Compliance? |
| Gap |

PMSU Targets

| 68,746 | 117,749 | 119,515 | 121,308 | 123,128 | 138,861 | 140,944 | 143,058 | 145,204 | 145,204 | 159,724 | 159,724 | 159,724 | 159,724 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 48,594 | 3,857 | 6,405 | 8,984 | 11,603 | 849 | 3,546 | 6,323 | 9,184 | 11,949 | 194 | 491 | 788 | 1,085 |
| 68,746 | 117,749 | 119,515 | 121,308 | 123,128 | 162,004 | 164,434 | 166,901 | 169,404 | 169,404 | 217,806 | 217,806 | 217,806 | 217,806 |
| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 22,295 | 19,945 | 17,520 | 15,017 | 12,252 | 57,888 | 57,591 | 57,294 | 56,997 |
| 48,594 | 3,857 | 6,405 | 8,984 | 11,603 | 22,295 | 19,945 | 17,520 | 15,017 | 12,252 | 57,888 | 57,591 | 57,294 | 56,997 |

Recovery
Recovery achieved
Tostal as a percentage of total waste (\%)
Total

## andfill Directive Targets

Total recycling/recovery achieved
Total as a percentage of total waste (\%)
Total waste to landfill
Total as a percentage of total waste (\%)
BMW of total waste to landfill
Landfill Directive Target
Compliance?
Gap

| 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 11\% | 11\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| 167,340 | 171,606 | 175,920 | 180,292 | 184,731 | 189,710 | 194,489 | 199,381 | 204,387 | 207,153 | 209,918 | 210,215 | 210,512 | 210,809 |
| 36\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 39\% | 39\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| 295,758 | 298,438 | 301,175 | 303,960 | 306,785 | 309,179 | 311,882 | 314,586 | 317,289 | 314,524 | 311,759 | 311,462 | 311,165 | 310,868 |
| 64\% | 63\% | 63\% | 63\% | 62\% | 62\% | 62\% | 61\% | 61\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |
| 180,708 | 182,346 | 184,018 | 185,719 | 187,446 | 188,908 | 190,560 | 192,212 | 193,864 | 192,174 | 190,485 | 190,303 | 190,122 | 189,940 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 131,060 | 131,060 | 131,060 | 87,373 | 87,373 | 87,373 | 87,373 | 87,373 | 87,373 |
|  |  |  |  |  | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
|  |  |  |  |  | 57,849 | 59,501 | 61,153 | 106,491 | 104,801 | 103,112 | 102,930 | 102,749 | 102,567 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management

## Performance summary

| Contract year | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

## Recycling

Recycling achieved

| MRF | 123,188 | 123,336 | 123,336 | 123,336 | 123,336 | 123,336 | 123,336 | 123,336 | 123,336 | 123,336 | 123,336 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MBT | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Green waste composting | 37,918 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 | 38,067 |
| IVC | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 161,106 | 161,403 | 161,403 | 161,403 | 161,403 | 161,403 | 161,403 | 161,403 | 161,403 | 161,403 | 161,403 |
| tage of total waste (\%) | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | $31 \%$ | $31 \%$ |  | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | $31 \%$ |  |

WS 2000 targets

|  | Target | 159,724 | 159,724 | 159,724 | 159,724 | 159,724 | 159,724 | 159,724 | 159,724 | 159,724 | 159,724 | 159,724 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Compliance? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
|  | Gap | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Recycling exceeding target | 1,382 | 1,679 | 1,679 | 1,679 | 1,679 | 1,679 | 1,679 | 1,679 | 1,679 | 1,679 | 1,679 |
| PMSU Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PMSU target | 217,806 | 217,806 | 217,806 | 217,806 | 217,806 | 217,806 | 217,806 | 217,806 | 217,806 | 217,806 | 217,806 |
|  | Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
|  | Gap | 56,700 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 |
|  | Recycling exceeding target/shortfall | 56,700 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 | 56,403 |

## Recovery

Recovery achieved


## andfill Directive Targets

| Total recycling/recovery achieved | 211,106 | 211,403 | 211,403 | 211,403 | 211,403 | 211,403 | 211,403 | 211,403 | 211,403 | 211,403 | 211,403 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 40\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% |
| Total waste to landfill | 310,571 | 310,274 | 310,274 | 310,274 | 310,274 | 310,274 | 310,274 | 310,274 | 310,274 | 310,274 | 310,274 |
| Total as a percentage of total waste (\%) | 60\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% |
| BMW of total waste to landfill | 189,759 | 189,577 | 189,577 | 189,577 | 189,577 | 189,577 | 189,577 | 189,577 | 189,577 | 189,577 | 189,577 |
| Landfill Directive Target | 87,373 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Gap | 102,386 | 128,416 | 128,416 | 128,416 | 128,416 | 128,416 | 128,416 | 128,416 | 128,416 | 128,416 | 128,416 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

DRAFT
Summary for cost model


## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Summary for cost model

Contract year
Year start
Year end

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Summary for cost model

Contract year
Year start
Year end

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

DRAFT

## County Waste Generation and Diversion



Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 61015 | 42487 | 41929 | 41345 | 40734 | 40095 | 39427 | 38599 | 37738 | 36842 | 35379 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 34530 | 24289 | 24157 | 24016 | 23866 | 23706 | 23535 | 23299 | 23051 | 22791 | 22184 |
| Textiles | 10715 | 7396 | 7346 | 7292 | 7236 | 7176 | 7112 | 7027 | 6938 | 6845 | 6648 |
| Misc. | 43620 | 44275 | 44939 | 45613 | 46297 | 46992 | 47696 | 48412 | 49138 | 49875 | 49875 |
| Glass | 21934 | 22578 | 22917 | 23261 | 23609 | 23964 | 24323 | 24688 | 25058 | 25434 | 25434 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 73351 | 74452 | 75568 | 76702 | 77852 | 79020 | 80206 | 81409 | 82630 | 83869 | 83869 |
| Organic - Green | 25224 | 24395 | 24609 | 24824 | 25040 | 25257 | 25475 | 25795 | 26119 | 26446 | 26382 |
| Metal | 15829 | 11025 | 10956 | 10882 | 10804 | 10720 | 10632 | 10513 | 10388 | 10257 | 9970 |
| Fines | 8502 | 8629 | 8759 | 8890 | 9023 | 9159 | 9296 | 9435 | 9577 | 9721 | 9721 |
| Total residual waste | 294719 | 259526 | 261180 | 262826 | 264461 | 266087 | 267702 | 269178 | 270637 | 272080 | 269463 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 94\% | 82\% | 81\% | 80\% | 80\% | 79\% | 78\% | 78\% | 77\% | 76\% | 75\% |

BMW composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 61015 | 42487 | 41929 | 41345 | 40734 | 40095 | 39427 | 38599 | 37738 | 36842 | 35379 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 5357 | 3698 | 3673 | 3646 | 3618 | 3588 | 3556 | 3514 | 3469 | 3423 | 3324 |
| Misc. | 21810 | 22137 | 22469 | 22806 | 23149 | 23496 | 23848 | 24206 | 24569 | 24938 | 24938 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 73351 | 74452 | 75568 | 76702 | 77852 | 79020 | 80206 | 81409 | 82630 | 83869 | 83869 |
| Organic - Green | 25224 | 24395 | 24609 | 24824 | 25040 | 25257 | 25475 | 25795 | 26119 | 26446 | 26382 |
| Metal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fines | 4251 | 4315 | 4379 | 4445 | 4512 | 4579 | 4648 | 4718 | 4788 | 4860 | 4860 |
| Total BMW residual HHW | 191008 | 171484 | 172629 | 173769 | 174904 | 176035 | 177160 | 178240 | 179313 | 180378 | 178753 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 61\% | 54\% | 54\% | 53\% | 53\% | 52\% | 52\% | 51\% | 51\% | 50\% | 50\% |

ear star
Year end

HWRC waste Composition of HWRC waste

| HWRC Refuse | 69869 | 50530 | 51020 | 51568 | 52165 | 52805 | 53015 | 53666 | 54325 | 54991 | 54843 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HWRC Recycled | 13970 | 29659 | 30238 | 30800 | 31350 | 31892 | 32661 | 33223 | 33795 | 34376 | 34450 |
| HWRC Composted | 24385 | 29659 | 30238 | 30800 | 31350 | 31892 | 32661 | 33223 | 33795 | 34376 | 34450 |
| Total HWRC waste | 108224 | 109848 | 111495 | 113168 | 114865 | 116588 | 118337 | 120112 | 121914 | 123743 | 123743 |
| Total HWRC recycling | 38356 | 59318 | 60475 | 61600 | 62700 | 63784 | 65322 | 66446 | 67589 | 68751 | 68900 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 35\% | 54\% | 54\% | 54\% | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% | 56\% | 56\% |
| Total BMW residual HWRC waste | 45282 | 33388 | 33722 | 34095 | 34500 | 34934 | 35084 | 35536 | 35993 | 36457 | 36381 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 42\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 29\% | 29\% |


| Year start <br> Year end | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | 01 Apr 04 \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other waste collected <br> Trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 20380 | 20686 | 20996 | 21311 | 21631 | 21955 | 22285 | 22619 | 22958 | 23302 | 23302 |
| Trade hardcore | 12561 | 12749 | 12940 | 13134 | 13331 | 13531 | 13734 | 13940 | 14149 | 14362 | 14362 |
| Total trade waste | 32941 | 33435 | 33936 | 34445 | 34962 | 35487 | 36019 | 36559 | 37108 | 37664 | 37664 |
| BMW composition of trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 12452 | 12639 | 12829 | 13021 | 13216 | 13415 | 13616 | 13820 | 14027 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Trade hardcore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total BMW content of trade waste | 12452 | 12639 | 12829 | 13021 | 13216 | 13415 | 13616 | 13820 | 14027 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 798 | 810 | 822 | 834 | 847 | 859 | 872 | 885 | 899 | 912 | 912 |
| Community Groups | 1436 | 1458 | 1479 | 1502 | 1524 | 1547 | 1570 | 1594 | 1618 | 1642 | 1642 |
| Total other waste | 2234 | 2267 | 2301 | 2336 | 2371 | 2406 | 2443 | 2479 | 2516 | 2554 | 2554 |
| BMW composition of other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 487 | 495 | 502 | 510 | 517 | 525 | 533 | 541 | 549 | 557 | 557 |
| Community Groups | 877 | 891 | 904 | 917 | 931 | 945 | 959 | 974 | 988 | 1003 | 1003 |
| Total BMW content of other waste | 1365 | 1385 | 1406 | 1427 | 1449 | 1470 | 1492 | 1515 | 1537 | 1561 | 1561 |
| Impact of Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less waste diverted to Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste diverted to Eastcroft | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 |
| Residual ash | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 |
| Net diversion | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| BMW content of net diversion | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total MSW | 456254 | 463098 | 470045 | 477095 | 484252 | 491516 | 498888 | 506372 | 513967 | 521677 | 521677 |
| Total HHW (incl HWRC waste, schools and CGs) | 423314 | 429663 | 436108 | 442650 | 449290 | 456029 | 462869 | 469812 | 476860 | 484013 | 484013 |
| Total recycling/composting | 56492 | 117340 | 121606 | 125920 | 130292 | 134731 | 139710 | 144489 | 149381 | 154387 | 157153 |
| As a \% of total HHW | 13\% | 27\% | 28\% | 28\% | 29\% | 30\% | 30\% | 31\% | 31\% | 32\% | 32\% |
| Total recycling/composting, Eastcroft and hardcore | 119052 | 180089 | 184547 | 189055 | 193623 | 198262 | 203444 | 208430 | 213531 | 218749 | 221514 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 26\% | 39\% | 39\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 41\% | 41\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% |
| Total diversion | 104552 | 165589 | 170047 | 174555 | 179123 | 183762 | 188944 | 193930 | 199031 | 204249 | 207014 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 23\% | 36\% | 36\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 38\% | 38\% | 39\% | 39\% | 40\% |
| Total MSW to landfill | 351702 | 297509 | 299998 | 302541 | 305128 | 307753 | 309944 | 312442 | 314936 | 317428 | 314662 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 77\% | 64\% | 64\% | 63\% | 63\% | 63\% | 62\% | 62\% | 61\% | 61\% | 60\% |
| BMW content of total MSW to landfill | 228417 | 197206 | 198895 | 200621 | 202379 | 204163 | 205662 | 207420 | 209181 | 210942 | 209242 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 50\% | 43\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 40\% | 40\% |

\#NAME?
\#NAME?
Projected
\#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? rojected
\#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
Projected
\#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME? projected
\#NAME? \#NAME?
rojected
\#NAME? \#NAME?

Targets

| Recycling/Recovery Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target | 68746 | 117749 | 119515 | 121308 | 123128 | 138861 | 140944 | 143058 | 145204 | 145204 |
| Compliance? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| \% of target achieved | 171\% | 103\% | 105\% | 107\% | 109\% | 101\% | 103\% | 104\% | 106\% | 108\% |
| Gap | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Landfill Directive Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute reduction in MSW tonnage to landfill from 2007? |  |  | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes |
| Gap |  |  | 2543 | 2588 | 2625 | 2191 | 2497 | 2495 | 2491 | n/a |
| Gap as a \% of total MSW |  |  | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | n/a |
| BMW landfill target |  |  |  |  |  | 131060 | 131060 | 131060 | 87373 | 87373 |
| Compliance? |  |  |  |  |  | No | No | No | No | No |
| Gap |  |  |  |  |  | 74602 | 76361 | 78121 | 123569 | 121869 |
| Gap as a \% of total MSW |  |  |  |  |  | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 24\% | 23\% |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Projec

## County Waste Generation and Diversion



Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 |
| Textiles | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 |
| Misc. | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 |
| Glass | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 |
| Organic - Green | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 |
| Metal | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 |
| Fines | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 |
| Total residual waste | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% |

MW composition of residual wast

| Paper/Card | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 |
| Misc. | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 |
| Organic - Green | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 |
| Metal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fines | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 |
| Total BMW residual HHW | 177128 | 177128 | 177128 | 177128 | 177128 | 177128 | 177128 | 177128 | 177128 | 177128 | 177128 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |

ear star
Year end

HWRC waste Composition of HWRC waste

| HWRC Refuse | 54694 | 54397 | 54100 | 53803 | 53506 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HWRC Recycled | 34524 | 34673 | 34821 | 34970 | 35118 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 |
| HWRC Composted | 34524 | 34673 | 34821 | 34970 | 35118 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 |
| Total HWRC waste | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 |
| Total HWRC recycling | 69048 | 69345 | 69642 | 69939 | 70236 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 56\% | 56\% | 56\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% |
| Total BMW residual HWRC waste | 36305 | 36108 | 35911 | 35714 | 35517 | 35319 | 35319 | 35319 | 35319 | 35319 | 35319 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% |


| Year start <br> Year end | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Pojected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other waste collected <br> Trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 |
| Trade hardcore | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 |
| Total trade waste | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 |
| BMW composition of trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Trade hardcore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total BMW content of trade waste | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 |
| Community Groups | 1642 | 1642 | 1642 | 1642 | 1642 | 1642 | 1642 | 1642 | 1642 | 1642 | 1642 |
| Total other waste | 2554 | 2554 | 2554 | 2554 | 2554 | 2554 | 2554 | 2554 | 2554 | 2554 | 2554 |
| BMW composition of other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 |
| Community Groups | 1003 | 1003 | 1003 | 1003 | 1003 | 1003 | 1003 | 1003 | 1003 | 1003 | 1003 |
| Total BMW content of other waste | 1561 | 1561 | 1561 | 1561 | 1561 | 1561 | 1561 | 1561 | 1561 | 1561 | 1561 |
| Impact of Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less waste diverted to Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste diverted to Eastcroft | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 |
| Residual ash | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 |
| Net diversion | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| BMW content of net diversion | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total MSW | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 |
| Total HHW (incl HWRC waste, schools and CGs) | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 |
| Total recycling/composting | 159918 | 160215 | 160512 | 160809 | 161106 | 161403 | 161403 | 161403 | 161403 | 161403 | 161403 |
| As a \% of total HHW | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Total recycling/composting, Eastcroft and hardcore | 224280 | 224577 | 224874 | 225171 | 225468 | 225765 | 225765 | 225765 | 225765 | 225765 | 225765 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% |
| Total diversion | 209780 | 210077 | 210374 | 210671 | 210968 | 211265 | 211265 | 211265 | 211265 | 211265 | 211265 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| Total MSW to landfill | 311897 | 311600 | 311303 | 311006 | 310709 | 310412 | 310412 | 310412 | 310412 | 310412 | 310412 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |
| BMW content of total MSW to landfill | 207541 | 207344 | 207147 | 206950 | 206752 | 206555 | 206555 | 206555 | 206555 | 206555 | 206555 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |

Targets
Recycling/Recovery Targets
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
$\begin{array}{lc}\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{array}$
\#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?

| 159724 | 159724 | 159724 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | Yes | Yes |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
|  |  |  |
| Yes | Yes | Yes |
| $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
|  |  |  |
| 87373 | 87373 | 87373 |
| $N o$ | $N o$ | $N o$ |
| 120168 | 119971 | 119774 |
| $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ |


| 159724 | 159724 |
| ---: | ---: |
| Yes | Yes |
| $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |
| Yes | Yes |
| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |
| 87373 | 87373 |
| No | No |
| 119577 | 119379 |
| $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ |



| 159724 | 159724 | 159724 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | Yes | Yes |
| $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Yes | Yes | Yes |
| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |  |
| 61161 | 61161 | 61161 |
| No | No | No |
| 14539 | 145394 | 145394 |
| $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Proje

## County Waste Generation and Diversion



Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 | 21578 |
| Textiles | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 | 6451 |
| Misc. | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 |
| Glass | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 | 25434 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 |
| Organic - Green | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 |
| Metal | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 | 9683 |
| Fines | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 |
| Total residual waste | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 | 266846 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% |

MMW composition of residual wast

| Paper/Card | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 | 33917 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 | 3225 |
| Misc. | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 | 83869 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 | 26319 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Metal | 0 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 |
| Fines | 4860 | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 7 1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 7 1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 7 1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 7 1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 7 1 2 8}$ |
| Total BMW residual HHW | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ |

Year star

HWRC waste Composition of HWRC waste

| HWRC Refuse | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HWRC Recycled | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 |
| HWRC Composted | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 |
| Total HWRC waste | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 |
| Total HWRC recycling | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% |
| Total BMW residual HWRC waste | 35319 | 35319 | 35319 | 35319 | 35319 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% |

Other waste collected
Trade waste collected

| Trade waste collected | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trade hardcore | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 |
| Total trade waste | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ |

BMW composition of trade waste collected

| Trade waste collected | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trade hardcoree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Other waste

| Schools | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Community Groups | 1642 | 1642 | 1642 | $\mathbf{1 6 4 2}$ | 1642 |
| Total other waste | $\mathbf{2 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 5 4}$ |

BMW composition of other waste


Impact of Eastcroft
Less waste diverted to Eastcr

| Less waste diverted to Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waste diverted to Eastroft | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 |
| Residual ash | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 |
| Net diversion | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| BMW content of net diversion | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total MSW | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 | 521677 |
| Total HHW (incl HWRC waste, schools and CGs) | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 | 484013 |
| Total recycling/composting | 161403 | 161403 | 161403 | 161403 | 161403 |
| As a \% of total HHW | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Total recycling/composting, Eastcroft and hardcore | 225765 | 225765 | 225765 | 225765 | 225765 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% |
| Total diversion | 211265 | 211265 | 211265 | 211265 | 211265 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| Total MSW to landfill | 310412 | 310412 | 310412 | 310412 | 310412 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |
| BMW content of total MSW to landfill | 206555 | 206555 | 206555 | 206555 | 206555 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |

Year start
Year end

Targets
Recycling/Recovery Targets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Compliance? } \\
& \% \text { of target achieved }
\end{aligned}
$$

Landfill Directive Targets
Absolute reduction in MSW tonnage to landfill from 2007 ?
Gap Gap as a $\%$ of total MSW
BMW landfill targe
Compliance? Gap as a \% of total MSW
\#NAME? \#NAM
Projected $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { \#NA } \\ \text { Projecte }\end{array}$
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
Projected
\#NAME? \#NAME? $\underset{ }{\text { \#NAME }}$
\#NAME? \#NAME?
Pojected

| 159724 | 159724 | 159724 | 159724 | 159724 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ |
| $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 |
| No | No | No | No | No |
| 145394 | 145394 | 145394 | 145394 | 145394 |
| $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Ashfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year |  | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected |
| Composition of District waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/Card | 11144 | 11311 | 11481 | 11653 | 11828 | 12005 | 12185 | 12368 | 12554 | 12742 | 12742 |
|  | Plastics | 5911 | 6000 | 6090 | 6181 | 6274 | 6368 | 6464 | 6560 | 6659 | 6759 | 6759 |
|  | Textiles | 1938 | 1967 | 1997 | 2027 | 2057 | 2088 | 2119 | 2151 | 2183 | 2216 | 2216 |
|  | Misc. | 7801 | 7918 | 8037 | 8157 | 8279 | 8404 | 8530 | 8658 | 8788 | 8919 | 8919 |
|  | Glass | 4022 | 4082 | 4143 | 4205 | 4268 | 4332 | 4397 | 4463 | 4530 | 4598 | 4598 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 9690 | 9836 | 9983 | 10133 | 10285 | 10439 | 10596 | 10755 | 10916 | 11080 | 11080 |
|  | Organic - Green | 2907 | 2951 | 2995 | 3040 | 3086 | 3132 | 3179 | 3226 | 3275 | 3324 | 3324 |
|  | Metal | 3052 | 3098 | 3145 | 3192 | 3240 | 3288 | 3338 | 3388 | 3439 | 3490 | 3490 |
|  | Fines | 1987 | 2016 | 2047 | 2077 | 2108 | 2140 | 2172 | 2205 | 2238 | 2271 | 2271 |
|  | Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 48452 | 49179 | 49917 | 50665 | 51425 | 52197 | 52980 | 53774 | 54581 | 55400 | 55400 |
| Kerbside recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card | 1002 | 3859 | 4095 | 4337 | 4585 | 4840 | 5101 | 5389 | 5684 | 5986 | 6204 |
|  | Plastics | 0 | 2047 | 2172 | 2300 | 2432 | 2567 | 2706 | 2858 | 3015 | 3175 | 3291 |
|  | Cans | 0 | 1057 | 1122 | 1188 | 1256 | 1326 | 1397 | 1476 | 1557 | 1640 | 1699 |
|  | Textiles | 0 | 671 | 712 | 754 | 797 | 842 | 887 | 937 | 988 | 1041 | 1079 |
|  | Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total kerbside recycling | 1002 | 7634 | 8100 | 8579 | 9070 | 9574 | 10091 | 10660 | 11244 | 11843 | 12273 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 16\% | 16\% | 17\% | 18\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 21\% | 22\% |
| Bring site recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card mixed | 363 | 369 | 374 | 380 | 386 | 391 | 397 | 403 | 409 | 415 | 415 |
|  | Plastic bottles | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Cans | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | Textiles | 41 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
|  | Glass | 139 | 141 | 143 | 145 | 148 | 150 | 152 | 154 | 157 | 159 | 159 |
|  | Total bring site recycling | 558 | 567 | 575 | 584 | 593 | 601 | 610 | 620 | 629 | 638 | 638 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Total direct recycling |  | 1560 | 8201 | 8676 | 9163 | 9663 | 10175 | 10701 | 11279 | 11873 | 12481 | 12911 |
| As \% of total HHW collected |  | 3\% | 17\% | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% | 23\% | 23\% |

## Contract year <br> year start

Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 9779 | 7083 | 7012 | 6936 | 6857 | 6774 | 6687 | 6576 | 6461 | 6340 | 6123 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5904 | 3946 | 3911 | 3873 | 3834 | 3793 | 3750 | 3694 | 3636 | 3575 | 3460 |
| Textiles | 1897 | 1255 | 1243 | 1230 | 1217 | 1202 | 1188 | 1169 | 1149 | 1128 | 1091 |
| Misc. | 7801 | 7918 | 8037 | 8157 | 8279 | 8404 | 8530 | 8658 | 8788 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 3882 | 3941 | 4000 | 4060 | 4121 | 4183 | 4245 | 4309 | 4374 | 4439 | 4439 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9690 | 9836 | 9983 | 10133 | 10285 | 10439 | 10596 | 10755 | 10916 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 2907 | 2951 | 2995 | 3040 | 3086 | 3132 | 3179 | 3226 | 3275 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 3044 | 2033 | 2015 | 1996 | 1975 | 1954 | 1932 | 1903 | 1873 | 1841 | 1782 |
| Fines | 1987 | 2016 | 2047 | 2077 | 2108 | 2140 | 2172 | 2205 | 2238 | 2271 | 2271 |
| Total residual waste | 46892 | 40978 | 41241 | 41503 | 41763 | 42021 | 42278 | 42495 | 42708 | 42919 | 42488 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 97\% | 83\% | 83\% | 82\% | 81\% | 81\% | 80\% | 79\% | 78\% | 77\% | 77\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Target } \\
\text { Compliance? } \\
\% \text { of target achieved }
\end{array}
$$

| 4918 | 8985 | 10640 | 10799 | 10961 | 15894 | 16132 | 16374 | 16620 | 16620 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| $167 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| n/a | 309 | 1477 | 1137 | 786 | 5193 | 4853 | 4502 | 4139 | 3709 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Ashfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling
Contract year
Year start
Year end

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#rojected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 |
| Textiles | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 |
| Misc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 |
| Cans | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 |
| Textiles | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Cans | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Textiles | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Total bring site recycling | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 | 638 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
|  | 13342 | 13342 | 13342 | 13342 | 13342 | 13342 | 13342 | 13342 | 13342 | 13342 | 13342 |
|  | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% |

## Contract year <br> Year start

Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 |
| Textiles | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 |
| Misc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
| Total residual waste | 42058 | 42058 | 42058 | 42058 | 42058 | 42058 | 42058 | 42058 | 42058 | 42058 | 42058 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 18282 | 18282 | 18282 | 18282 | 18282 | 18282 | 18282 | 18282 | 18282 | 18282 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 18282 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of target achieved | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Gap | 4940 | 4940 | 4940 | 4940 | 4940 | 4940 | 4940 | 4940 | 4940 | 4940 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Ashfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 |
| Textiles | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 |
| Misc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 |
| Organic - itchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 | 6421 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 |
| Cans | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 |
| Textiles | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 | 1117 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 | 12703 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Cans | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Textiles | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{6 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 8}$ |
| $\%$ of total $H H W$ collected | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 4 2}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |

## Contract year <br> ear start

Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 | 5905 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 |
| Textiles | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 | 1053 |
| Misc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 4439 | 439 | 4439 | 4439 | 4439 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 | 1722 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 2 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 0 5 8}$ |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |
| As $\%$ of total $H H W$ collected | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ |

Targets
Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 18282 | 18282 | 18282 | 18282 | 18282 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Gap | 4940 | 4940 | 4940 | 4940 | 4940 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Bassetlaw Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year |  | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected |
| Composition of District waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/Card | 12594 | 12783 | 12975 | 13169 | 13367 | 13567 | 13771 | 13978 | 14187 | 14400 | 14400 |
|  | Plastics | 4821 | 4893 | 4967 | 5041 | 5117 | 5193 | 5271 | 5350 | 5431 | 5512 | 5512 |
|  | Textiles | 1539 | 1562 | 1585 | 1609 | 1633 | 1658 | 1682 | 1708 | 1733 | 1759 | 1759 |
|  | Misc. | 5525 | 5608 | 5692 | 5778 | 5864 | 5952 | 6042 | 6132 | 6224 | 6317 | 6317 |
|  | Glass | 3747 | 3803 | 3860 | 3918 | 3977 | 4036 | 4097 | 4158 | 4221 | 4284 | 4284 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 14013 | 14223 | 14436 | 14653 | 14873 | 15096 | 15322 | 15552 | 15785 | 16022 | 16022 |
|  | Organic - Green | 5495 | 5578 | 5661 | 5746 | 5832 | 5920 | 6009 | 6099 | 6190 | 6283 | 6283 |
|  | Metal | 1788 | 1815 | 1843 | 1870 | 1898 | 1927 | 1956 | 1985 | 2015 | 2045 | 2045 |
|  | Fines | 435 | 441 | 448 | 454 | 461 | 468 | 475 | 482 | 490 | 497 | 497 |
|  | Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 49957 | 50706 | 51467 | 52239 | 53022 | 53818 | 54625 | 55444 | 56276 | 57120 | 57120 |
| Kerbside recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card | 1192 | 4361 | 4628 | 4901 | 5181 | 5469 | 5765 | 6090 | 6423 | 6765 | 7011 |
|  | Plastics | 0 | 1669 | 1771 | 1876 | 1983 | 2094 | 2207 | 2331 | 2459 | 2590 | 2684 |
|  | Cans | 0 | 619 | 657 | 696 | 736 | 777 | 819 | 865 | 912 | 961 | 996 |
|  | Textiles | 0 | 533 | 565 | 599 | 633 | 668 | 704 | 744 | 785 | 827 | 857 |
|  | Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Organic - Green | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total kerbside recycling | 1231 | 7183 | 7621 | 8072 | 8534 | 9008 | 9494 | 10030 | 10579 | 11142 | 11547 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 14\% | 15\% | 15\% | 16\% | 17\% | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% |
| Bring site recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card mixed | 1825 | 1852 | 1880 | 1908 | 1937 | 1966 | 1996 | 2025 | 2056 | 2087 | 2087 |
|  | Plastic bottles | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
|  | Cans | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
|  | Textiles | 118 | 120 | 121 | 123 | 125 | 127 | 129 | 131 | 133 | 135 | 135 |
|  | Glass | 292 | 297 | 301 | 306 | 310 | 315 | 320 | 324 | 329 | 334 | 334 |
|  | Total bring site recycling | 2282 | 2316 | 2351 | 2386 | 2422 | 2458 | 2495 | 2532 | 2570 | 2609 | 2609 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Total direct recycling |  | 3513 | 9499 | 9972 | 10458 | 10955 | 11466 | 11989 | 12562 | 13149 | 13751 | 14156 |
| As \% of total HHW collected |  | 7\% | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 21\% | 22\% | 23\% | 23\% | 24\% | 25\% |

Contract year
Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 9578 | 6570 | 6467 | 6360 | 6249 | 6132 | 6011 | 5862 | 5708 | 5548 | 5302 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4803 | 3205 | 3176 | 3146 | 3114 | 3080 | 3045 | 2999 | 2951 | 2902 | 2807 |
| Textiles | 1421 | 909 | 899 | 887 | 875 | 863 | 849 | 833 | 816 | 798 | 768 |
| Misc. | 5525 | 5608 | 5692 | 5778 | 5864 | 5952 | 6042 | 6132 | 6224 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 3454 | 3506 | 3559 | 3612 | 3666 | 3721 | 3777 | 3834 | 3891 | 3950 | 3950 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 14013 | 14223 | 14436 | 14653 | 14873 | 15096 | 15322 | 15552 | 15785 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 5456 | 5578 | 5661 | 5746 | 5832 | 5920 | 6009 | 6099 | 6190 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 1760 | 1167 | 1156 | 1144 | 1132 | 1119 | 1106 | 1089 | 1071 | 1052 | 1017 |
| Fines | 435 | 441 | 448 | 454 | 461 | 468 | 475 | 482 | 490 | 497 | 497 |
| Total residual waste | 46444 | 41208 | 41495 | 41781 | 42067 | 42352 | 42636 | 42882 | 43127 | 43369 | 42964 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 93\% | 81\% | 81\% | 80\% | 79\% | 79\% | 78\% | 77\% | 77\% | 76\% | 75\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 7099 | 10808 | 12537 | 12725 | 12916 | 16388 | 16633 | 16883 | 17136 | 17136 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance? | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | 134\% | 92\% | 83\% | 86\% | 89\% | 73\% | 76\% | 78\% | 80\% | 83\% |
| Gap | n/a | 836 | 2080 | 1770 | 1450 | 4398 | 4071 | 3734 | 3385 | 2980 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Bassetlaw Waste Generation and Direct Recycling
Contract year
Year star
Year end

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | NAME? | AME? | NAME? | NAME | AME | ME | NAME? | NAME? | NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 |
| Textiles | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 |
| Cans | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 |
| Textiles | 887 | 887 | 887 | 887 | 887 | 887 | 887 | 887 | 887 | 887 | 887 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| Glass | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 |
| Total bring site recycling | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
|  | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 |
|  | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% |

Contract year
Year start
Year star
Year end
Composition of residual waste

\#NAME? 11
\#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
Projected Projected
Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected

| Paper/Card | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 |
| Textiles | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 982 | 982 | 982 | 982 | 982 | 982 | 982 | 982 | 982 | 982 | 982 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |
| Total residual waste | 42559 | 42559 | 42559 | 42559 | 42559 | 42559 | 42559 | 42559 | 42559 | 42559 | 42559 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Bassetlaw Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 |
| Plastics | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 |
| Textiles | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 1622 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 | 7257 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 | 2778 |
| Cans | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 |
| Textiles | 887 | 887 | 887 | 887 | 887 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 | 11952 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| Glass | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 |
| Total bring site recycling | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
|  | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 | 14561 |
|  | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% |

## Contract year <br> Year start

Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 | 5056 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 | 2713 |
| Textiles | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 | 3950 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 982 | 982 | 982 | 982 | 982 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{4 2 5 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 5 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 5 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 5 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 5 5 9}$ |
| ${\boldsymbol{\text { s }} \text { \% of total } H \text { HW collected }} \mathbf{7 5 \%} }$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |  |

Targets
Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 18850 | 18850 | 18850 | 18850 | 18850 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Gap | 4289 | 4289 | 4289 | 4289 | 4289 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Broxtowe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Actuals | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 9556 | 9699 | 9845 | 9992 | 10142 | 10294 | 10449 | 10605 | 10764 | 10926 | 10926 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5069 | 5145 | 5222 | 5300 | 5380 | 5460 | 5542 | 5625 | 5710 | 5795 | 5795 |
| Textiles | 1662 | 1687 | 1712 | 1738 | 1764 | 1790 | 1817 | 1844 | 1872 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Misc. | 6689 | 6789 | 6891 | 6995 | 7099 | 7206 | 7314 | 7424 | 7535 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3448 | 3500 | 3553 | 3606 | 3660 | 3715 | 3771 | 3827 | 3885 | 3943 | 3943 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8309 | 8434 | 8560 | 8689 | 8819 | 8951 | 9086 | 9222 | 9360 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2493 | 2530 | 2568 | 2607 | 2646 | 2685 | 2726 | 2767 | 2808 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 2617 | 2657 | 2697 | 2737 | 2778 | 2820 | 2862 | 2905 | 2949 | 2993 | 2993 |
| Fines | 1703 | 1729 | 1755 | 1781 | 1808 | 1835 | 1863 | 1891 | 1919 | 1948 | 1948 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 41547 | 42170 | 42802 | 43444 | 44096 | 44757 | 45429 | 46110 | 46802 | 47504 | 47504 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card | 133 | 3309 | 3511 | 3719 | 3931 | 4150 | 4374 | 4621 | 4874 | 5133 | 5320 |
| Plastics | 0 | 1755 | 1862 | 1972 | 2085 | 2201 | 2320 | 2451 | 2585 | 2723 | 2822 |
| Cans | 8 | 906 | 962 | 1019 | 1077 | 1137 | 1198 | 1266 | 1335 | 1406 | 1457 |
| Textiles | 2 | 575 | 611 | 647 | 684 | 722 | 761 | 804 | 848 | 893 | 925 |
| Glass | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 183 | 6546 | 6946 | 7356 | 7777 | 8209 | 8653 | 9141 | 9641 | 10155 | 10524 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 0\% | 16\% | 16\% | 17\% | 18\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 21\% | 22\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 931 | 945 | 959 | 973 | 988 | 1003 | 1018 | 1033 | 1048 | 1064 | 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 75 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 85 | 86 | 86 |
| Cans | 27 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Textiles | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 81 |
| Glass | 303 | 308 | 313 | 317 | 322 | 327 | 332 | 337 | 342 | 347 | 347 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1408 | 1429 | 1450 | 1472 | 1494 | 1517 | 1539 | 1562 | 1586 | 1610 | 1610 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 1591 | 7975 | 8396 | 8828 | 9272 | 9726 | 10192 | 10703 | 11227 | 11764 | 12133 |
|  | 4\% | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% | 22\% | 23\% | 24\% | 25\% | 26\% |


| Year start Year end |  | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \text { Mar } 04 \\ \text { Actuals } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 01 Apr 04 \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \end{gathered}$ | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Composition of residual waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/Card | 8492 | 5445 | 5375 | 5300 | 5223 | 5142 | 5057 | 4952 | 4842 | 4728 | 4542 |
|  | Plastics | 4994 | 3313 | 3282 | 3249 | 3215 | 3178 | 3140 | 3091 | 3040 | 2987 | 2888 |
|  | Textiles | 1589 | 1039 | 1028 | 1017 | 1005 | 992 | 979 | 962 | 944 | 926 | 894 |
|  | Misc. | 6689 | 6789 | 6891 | 6995 | 7099 | 7206 | 7314 | 7424 | 7535 | 7648 | 7648 |
|  | Glass | 3105 | 3192 | 3240 | 3289 | 3338 | 3388 | 3439 | 3490 | 3543 | 3596 | 3596 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 8309 | 8434 | 8560 | 8689 | 8819 | 8951 | 9086 | 9222 | 9360 | 9501 | 9501 |
|  | Organic - Green | 2493 | 2530 | 2568 | 2607 | 2646 | 2685 | 2726 | 2767 | 2808 | 2850 | 2850 |
|  | Metal | 2582 | 1722 | 1707 | 1690 | 1672 | 1654 | 1634 | 1609 | 1583 | 1555 | 1504 |
|  | Fines | 1703 | 1729 | 1755 | 1781 | 1808 | 1835 | 1863 | 1891 | 1919 | 1948 | 1948 |
|  | Total residual waste | 39956 | 34195 | 34406 | 34616 | 34825 | 35031 | 35237 | 35407 | 35575 | 35739 | 35370 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 96\% | 81\% | 80\% | 80\% | 79\% | 78\% | 78\% | 77\% | 76\% | 75\% | 74\% |
| Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PMSU Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Target |  | 4217 | 7704 | 9123 | 9260 | 9399 | 13629 | 13833 | 14041 | 14251 | 14251 |
|  | Compliance? |  | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
|  | \% of target achieved |  | 189\% | 109\% | 97\% | 100\% | 103\% | 75\% | 77\% | 80\% | 83\% | 85\% |
|  | Gap |  | n/a | n/a | 295 | n/a | n/a | 3437 | 3130 | 2813 | 2487 | 2118 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Broxtowe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year star
Year end

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |  |

Composition of District waste


Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 |
| Cans | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 |
| Textiles | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 10893 | 10893 | 10893 | 10893 | 10893 | 10893 | 10893 | 10893 | 10893 | 10893 | 10893 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Cans | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Textiles | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| Glass | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 |
|  | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

## \#NAME?

\#NAME? \#NA \#NAM

# \#NAME? 

\#NAME? \#NAME?
NAME \#NAME?
\#NAME? Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected

| Paper/Card | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 |
| Textiles | 861 | 861 | 861 | 861 | 861 | 861 | 861 | 861 | 861 | 861 | 861 |
| Misc. | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 |
| Fines | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 |
| Total residual waste | 35002 | 35002 | 35002 | 35002 | 35002 | 35002 | 35002 | 35002 | 35002 | 35002 | 35002 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 15676 | 15676 | 15676 | 15676 | 15676 | 15676 | 15676 | 15676 | 15676 | 15676 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Gap | 3174 | 3174 | 3174 | 3174 | 3174 | 3174 | 3174 | 3174 | 3174 | 3174 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Broxtowe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start
Year end

| 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 |
| Plastics | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 |
| Textiles | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Misc. | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 901 |
| Organic - Green | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 |
| Fines | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 | 5506 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 | 2921 |
| Cans | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 |
| Textiles | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 | 958 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside reycling | $\mathbf{1 0 8 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 9 3}$ |
| As $\%$ of total $\boldsymbol{H H W}$ collected | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Cans | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Textiles | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| Glass | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 | 12502 |
|  | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% |

## Year star

Year end

Composition of residual waste

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#noiected } & \text { Proiected } & \text { Proiected } & \text { Proiected } & \text { Proiected }
\end{array}
$$

| Paper/Card | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 | 4355 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 | 2789 |
| Textiles | 861 | 861 | 861 | 861 | 861 |
| Misc. | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 | 3596 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 | 1453 |
| Fines | 1938 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 5 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0 0 2}$ |
| Total residual waste |  |  |  |  |  |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 15676 | 15676 | 15676 | 15676 | 15676 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Gap | 3174 | 3174 | 3174 | 3174 | 3174 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Gedling Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 Actuals | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 10129 | 10281 | 10435 | 10592 | 10751 | 10912 | 11076 | 11242 | 11410 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5373 | 5453 | 5535 | 5618 | 5703 | 5788 | 5875 | 5963 | 6052 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 |
| Textiles | 1762 | 1788 | 1815 | 1842 | 1870 | 1898 | 1926 | 1955 | 1984 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| Misc. | 7090 | 7197 | 7305 | 7414 | 7525 | 7638 | 7753 | 7869 | 7987 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 3655 | 3710 | 3766 | 3822 | 3880 | 3938 | 3997 | 4057 | 4118 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8808 | 8940 | 9074 | 9210 | 9348 | 9489 | 9631 | 9775 | 9922 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 2642 | 2682 | 2722 | 2763 | 2805 | 2847 | 2889 | 2933 | 2977 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 2774 | 2816 | 2858 | 2901 | 2945 | 2989 | 3034 | 3079 | 3125 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 |
| Fines | 1806 | 1833 | 1860 | 1888 | 1916 | 1945 | 1974 | 2004 | 2034 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 44039 | 44700 | 45371 | 46051 | 46742 | 47443 | 48155 | 48877 | 49610 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card | 2580 | 3508 | 3722 | 3942 | 4167 | 4399 | 4636 | 4898 | 5166 | 5441 | 5639 | 5837 |
| Plastics | 0 | 1861 | 1974 | 2091 | 2210 | 2333 | 2459 | 2598 | 2740 | 2886 | 2991 | 3096 |
| Cans | 41 | 961 | 1019 | 1080 | 1141 | 1205 | 1270 | 1342 | 1415 | 1490 | 1545 | 1599 |
| Textiles | 18 | 610 | 647 | 686 | 725 | 765 | 806 | 852 | 898 | 946 | 981 | 1015 |
| Glass | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 3018 | 6939 | 7363 | 7798 | 8244 | 8702 | 9172 | 9689 | 10220 | 10764 | 11155 | 11546 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 7\% | 16\% | 16\% | 17\% | 18\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 21\% | 22\% | 23\% |

Bring site recycling

Total direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 945 | 959 | 974 | 988 | 1003 | 1018 | 1033 | 1049 | 1064 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 121 | 123 | 124 | 126 | 128 | 130 | 132 | 134 | 136 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| Cans | 51 | 52 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Textiles | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Glass | 419 | 425 | 432 | 438 | 445 | 452 | 458 | 465 | 472 | 479 | 479 | 479 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1606 | 1630 | 1654 | 1679 | 1704 | 1730 | 1756 | 1782 | 1809 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
|  | 4623 | 8569 | 9017 | 9477 | 9948 | 10432 | 10928 | 11471 | 12029 | 12600 | 12991 | 13382 |
|  | 10\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 21\% | 22\% | 23\% | 23\% | 24\% | 25\% | 26\% | 27\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 6604 | 5814 | 5740 | 5662 | 5580 | 5495 | 5406 | 5295 | 5180 | 5060 | 4862 | 4664 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5252 | 3470 | 3437 | 3401 | 3364 | 3325 | 3284 | 3231 | 3176 | 3119 | 3014 | 2909 |
| Textiles | 1673 | 1107 | 1095 | 1083 | 1071 | 1057 | 1043 | 1026 | 1007 | 988 | 953 | 919 |
| Misc. | 7090 | 7197 | 7305 | 7414 | 7525 | 7638 | 7753 | 7869 | 7987 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 2965 | 3285 | 3334 | 3384 | 3435 | 3486 | 3538 | 3592 | 3645 | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8808 | 8940 | 9074 | 9210 | 9348 | 9489 | 9631 | 9775 | 9922 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 2535 | 2682 | 2722 | 2763 | 2805 | 2847 | 2889 | 2933 | 2977 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 2683 | 1804 | 1787 | 1768 | 1749 | 1729 | 1708 | 1681 | 1653 | 1624 | 1570 | 1516 |
| Fines | 1806 | 1833 | 1860 | 1888 | 1916 | 1945 | 1974 | 2004 | 2034 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
| Total residual waste | 39416 | 36131 | 36354 | 36574 | 36794 | 37011 | 37227 | 37406 | 37582 | 37754 | 37363 | 36972 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 90\% | 81\% | 80\% | 79\% | 79\% | 78\% | 77\% | 77\% | 76\% | 75\% | 74\% | 73\% |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 6258 | 9528 | 11052 | 11218 | 11386 | 14446 | 14663 | 14883 | 15106 | 15106 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| N of target achieved | $137 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Gap | n/a | 511 | 1576 | 1270 | 955 | 3519 | 3192 | 2854 | 2506 | 2115 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Gedling Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year end

| 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 |
| Textiles | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| Misc. | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card | 5837 | 5837 | 5837 | 5837 | 5837 | 5837 | 5837 | 5837 | 5837 | 5837 | 5837 |
| Plastics | $3096$ | 3096 | 3096 | 3096 | 3096 | 3096 | 3096 | 3096 | 3096 | 3096 | 3096 |
| Cans | $1599$ | 1599 | 1599 | 1599 | 1599 | 1599 | 1599 | 1599 | 1599 | 1599 | 1599 |
| Textiles | 1015 | 1015 | 1015 | 1015 | 1015 | 1015 | 1015 | 1015 | 1015 | 1015 | 1015 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 11546 | 11546 | 11546 | 11546 | 11546 | 11546 | 11546 | 11546 | 11546 | 11546 | 11546 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| Cans | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Textiles | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Glass | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
|  | 13382 | 13382 | 13382 | 13382 | 13382 | 13382 | 13382 | 13382 | 13382 | 13382 | 13382 |
|  | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 4664 | 4664 | 4664 | 4664 | 4664 | 4664 | 4664 | 4664 | 4664 | 4664 | 4664 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2909 | 2909 | 2909 | 2909 | 2909 | 2909 | 2909 | 2909 | 2909 | 2909 | 2909 |
| Textiles | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 | 91 |
| Misc. | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 1516 | 1516 | 1516 | 1516 | 1516 | 1516 | 1516 | 1516 | 1516 | 1516 | 151 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
| Total residual waste | 36972 | 36972 | 36972 | 36972 | 36972 | 36972 | 36972 | 36972 | 36972 | 36972 | 36972 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 16617 | 16617 | 16617 | 16617 | 16617 | 16617 | 16617 | 16617 | 16617 | 16617 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Gap | 3235 | 3235 | 3235 | 3235 | 3235 | 3235 | 3235 | 3235 | 3235 | 3235 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Gedling Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start

| 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 |
| Plastics | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 |
| Textiles | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| Misc. | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 1071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 5837 | 5837 | 5837 | 5837 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3096 | 3096 | 3096 | 3096 |
| Cans | 1599 | 1599 | 1599 | 1599 |
| Textiles | 1015 | 1015 | 1015 | 1015 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 11546 | 11546 | 11546 | 11546 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | $23 \%$ |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| Cans | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Textiles | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Glass | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{1 8 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 6}$ |
| As of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 3 3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 8 2}$ |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 4664 | 4664 | 4664 | 4664 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 2909 | 2909 | 2909 | 2909 |
| Textiles | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 |
| Misc. | 8197 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 | 3700 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 1516 | 1516 | 1516 | 1516 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 6 9 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9 7 2}$ |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ |  |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 16617 | 16617 | 16617 | 16617 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Gap | 3235 | 3235 | 3235 | 3235 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Actuals | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 10327 | 10482 | 10640 | 10799 | 10961 | 11126 | 11292 | 11462 | 11634 | 11808 | 11808 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5478 | 5560 | 5644 | 5728 | 5814 | 5901 | 5990 | 6080 | 6171 | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 1796 | 1823 | 1850 | 1878 | 1906 | 1935 | 1964 | 1993 | 2023 | 2054 | 2054 |
| Misc. | 7229 | 7338 | 7448 | 7559 | 7673 | 7788 | 7905 | 8023 | 8144 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 3727 | 3783 | 3839 | 3897 | 3956 | 4015 | 4075 | 4136 | 4198 | 4261 | 4261 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8980 | 9115 | 9252 | 9391 | 9531 | 9674 | 9819 | 9967 | 10116 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 2694 | 2735 | 2776 | 2817 | 2859 | 2902 | 2946 | 2990 | 3035 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 2829 | 2871 | 2914 | 2958 | 3002 | 3047 | 3093 | 3140 | 3187 | 3234 | 3234 |
| Fines | 1841 | 1869 | 1897 | 1925 | 1954 | 1983 | 2013 | 2043 | 2074 | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 44902 | 45575 | 46259 | 46953 | 47657 | 48372 | 49097 | 49834 | 50581 | 51340 | 51340 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card | 425 | 3576 | 3795 | 4019 | 4249 | 4485 | 4727 | 4994 | 5267 | 5548 | 5749 |
| Plastics | 0 | 1897 | 2013 | 2132 | 2254 | 2379 | 2507 | 2649 | 2794 | 2943 | 3050 |
| Cans | 0 | 980 | 1039 | 1101 | 1164 | 1228 | 1295 | 1368 | 1443 | 1520 | 1575 |
| Textiles | 0 | 622 | 660 | 699 | 739 | 780 | 822 | 868 | 916 | 965 | 1000 |
| Glass | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 425 | 7075 | 7507 | 7950 | 8405 | 8872 | 9351 | 9879 | 10420 | 10975 | 11374 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 1\% | 16\% | 16\% | 17\% | 18\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 21\% | 22\% |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 918 | 931 | 945 | 959 | 974 | 988 | 1003 | 1018 | 1034 | 1049 | 1049 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Textiles | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 77 | 78 | 78 |
| Glass | 155 | 158 | 160 | 162 | 165 | 167 | 170 | 172 | 175 | 178 | 178 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1156 | 1173 | 1191 | 1209 | 1227 | 1245 | 1264 | 1283 | 1302 | 1322 | 1322 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 1581 | 8248 | 8698 | 9159 | 9632 | 10118 | 10616 | 11162 | 11722 | 12297 | 12695 |
|  | 4\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% | 22\% | 23\% | 24\% | 25\% |

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 8985 | 5975 | 5900 | 5821 | 5738 | 5652 | 5562 | 5450 | 5333 | 5211 | 5010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5478 | 3663 | 3631 | 3596 | 3560 | 3522 | 3482 | 3431 | 3377 | 3321 | 3214 |
| Textiles | 1728 | 1132 | 1120 | 1108 | 1095 | 1082 | 1067 | 1049 | 1031 | 1011 | 976 |
| Misc. | 7229 | 7338 | 7448 | 7559 | 7673 | 7788 | 7905 | 8023 | 8144 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 3572 | 3625 | 3679 | 3735 | 3791 | 3848 | 3905 | 3964 | 4023 | 4084 | 4084 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8980 | 9115 | 9252 | 9391 | 9531 | 9674 | 9819 | 9967 | 10116 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 2694 | 2735 | 2776 | 2817 | 2859 | 2902 | 2946 | 2990 | 3035 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 2814 | 1876 | 1859 | 1841 | 1822 | 1803 | 1782 | 1755 | 1727 | 1697 | 1642 |
| Fines | 1841 | 1869 | 1897 | 1925 | 1954 | 1983 | 2013 | 2043 | 2074 | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total residual waste | 43320 | 37327 | 37561 | 37793 | 38024 | 38254 | 38482 | 38672 | 38859 | 39043 | 38645 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 96\% | 82\% | 81\% | 80\% | 80\% | 79\% | 78\% | 78\% | 77\% | 76\% | 75\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 4558 | 8327 | 9860 | 10008 | 10158 | 14729 | 14950 | 15174 | 15402 | 15402 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $181 \%$ | $104 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Gap | n/a | n/a | 701 | 376 | 40 | 4114 | 3788 | 3452 | 3105 | 2707 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAM |
| ear end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 |
| Organic - Citchen | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 5951 | 5951 | 5951 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 3157 | 3157 | 3157 |
| Cans | 1630 | 1630 | 1630 |
| Textiles | 1035 | 1035 | 1035 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - green | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 1 7 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7 7 2}$ |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| As of total $H H W$ collected | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Textiles | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Glass | 178 | 178 | 178 |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ |
| As of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 3 0 9 4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3 0 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 9 4}$ |  |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 4808 | 4808 | 4808 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 3107 | 3107 | 3107 |
| Textiles | 941 | 941 | 941 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 1587 | 1587 | 1587 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 8 2 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 2 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 2 4 6}$ |
| Total residual waste |  |  |  |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 16942 | 16942 | 16942 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Gap | 3848 | 3848 | 3848 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start
Year end

| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste


Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 5951 | 5951 | 5951 | 5951 | 5951 | 5951 | 5951 | 5951 | 5951 | 5951 | 5951 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3157 | 3157 | 3157 | 3157 | 3157 | 3157 | 3157 | 3157 | 3157 | 3157 | 3157 |
| Cans | 1630 | 1630 | 1630 | 1630 | 1630 | 1630 | 1630 | 1630 | 1630 | 1630 | 1630 |
| Textiles | 1035 | 1035 | 1035 | 1035 | 1035 | 1035 | 1035 | 1035 | 1035 | 1035 | 1035 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 11772 | 11772 | 11772 | 11772 | 11772 | 11772 | 11772 | 11772 | 11772 | 11772 | 11772 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Textiles | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Glass | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 13094 | 13094 | 13094 | 13094 | 13094 | 13094 | 13094 | 13094 | 13094 | 13094 | 13094 |
|  | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 4808 | 4808 | 4808 | 4808 | 4808 | 4808 | 4808 | 4808 | 4808 | 4808 | 4808 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3107 | 3107 | 3107 | 3107 | 3107 | 3107 | 3107 | 3107 | 3107 | 3107 | 3107 |
| Textiles | 941 | 941 | 941 | 941 | 941 | 941 | 941 | 941 | 941 | 941 | 941 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 | 4084 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 1587 | 1587 | 1587 | 1587 | 1587 | 1587 | 1587 | 1587 | 1587 | 1587 | 1587 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total residual waste | 38246 | 38246 | 38246 | 38246 | 38246 | 38246 | 38246 | 38246 | 38246 | 38246 | 38246 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 16942 | 16942 | 16942 | 16942 | 16942 | 16942 | 16942 | 16942 | 16942 | 16942 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Gap | 3848 | 3848 | 3848 | 3848 | 3848 | 3848 | 3848 | 3848 | 3848 | 3848 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11808 | 11808 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 2054 | 2054 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4261 | 4261 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 3234 | 3234 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 5951 | 5951 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3157 | 3157 |
| Cans | 1630 | 1630 |
| Textiles | 1035 | 1035 |
| Glass | 0 |  |
| Organic - green | 0 |  |
| Organic - kitchen | 0 |  |
| Total kerbside recycling | 11772 | 11772 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 23\% |  |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1049 | 1049 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 17 | 17 |
| Textiles | 78 | 78 |
| Glass | 178 | 178 |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ |
| $\%$ of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3 0 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 9 4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 4808 | 4808 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 3107 | 3107 |
| Textiles | 941 | 941 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4084 | 4084 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 1587 | 1587 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{3 8 2 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 2 4 6}$ |
| $\%$ of total $\boldsymbol{H H W}$ collected | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 16942 | 16942 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Gap | 3848 | 3848 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Newark Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | JAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAM | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Actuals | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11545 | 11718 | 11894 | 12073 | 12254 | 12438 | 12624 | 12813 | 13006 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4419 | 4486 | 4553 | 4621 | 4691 | 4761 | 4832 | 4905 | 4978 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 |
| Textiles | 1411 | 1432 | 1453 | 1475 | 1497 | 1520 | 1542 | 1565 | 1589 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |
| Misc. | 5065 | 5141 | 5218 | 5296 | 5376 | 5457 | 5538 | 5621 | 5706 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3435 | 3486 | 3539 | 3592 | 3645 | 3700 | 3756 | 3812 | 3869 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12846 | 13039 | 13234 | 13433 | 13634 | 13839 | 14046 | 14257 | 14471 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5038 | 5113 | 5190 | 5268 | 5347 | 5427 | 5508 | 5591 | 5675 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1640 | 1664 | 1689 | 1714 | 1740 | 1766 | 1793 | 1820 | 1847 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 |
| Fines | 398 | 404 | 410 | 417 | 423 | 429 | 436 | 442 | 449 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 45796 | 46483 | 47181 | 47888 | 48607 | 49336 | 50076 | 50827 | 51589 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 |
| Paper/card | 1072 | 3998 | 4242 | 4493 | 4750 | 5014 | 5285 | 5583 | 5888 | 6202 | 6427 | 6653 |
| Plastics | 0 | 1530 | 1624 | 1720 | 1818 | 1919 | 2023 | 2137 | 2254 | 2374 | 2460 | 2547 |
| Cans | 0 | 568 | 602 | 638 | 675 | 712 | 750 | 793 | 836 | 881 | 913 | 945 |
| Textiles | 0 | 488 | 518 | 549 | 580 | 613 | 646 | 682 | 719 | 758 | 785 | 813 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 1072 | 6585 | 6987 | 7399 | 7823 | 8258 | 8703 | 9194 | 9698 | 10214 | 10586 | 10957 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 14\% | 15\% | 15\% | 16\% | 17\% | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | 21\% |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 695 | 706 | 716 | 727 | 738 | 749 | 760 | 772 | 783 | 795 | 795 | 795 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 31 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Textiles | 41 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 349 | 354 | 360 | 365 | 371 | 376 | 382 | 388 | 393 | 399 | 399 | 399 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1117 | 1133 | 1150 | 1167 | 1185 | 1203 | 1221 | 1239 | 1258 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
|  | 2188 | 7718 | 8137 | 8567 | 9008 | 9460 | 9924 | 10433 | 10956 | 11491 | 11862 | 12233 |
|  | 5\% | 17\% | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 21\% | 22\% | 23\% | 23\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 9778 | 7015 | 6936 | 6853 | 6766 | 6675 | 6579 | 6459 | 6334 | 6204 | 5978 | 5753 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4419 | 2955 | 2929 | 2901 | 2872 | 2842 | 2809 | 2768 | 2724 | 2679 | 2593 | 2507 |
| Textiles | 1370 | 902 | 893 | 884 | 874 | 863 | 852 | 838 | 824 | 809 | 781 | 754 |
| Misc. | 5065 | 5141 | 5218 | 5296 | 5376 | 5457 | 5538 | 5621 | 5706 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3086 | 3132 | 3179 | 3227 | 3275 | 3324 | 3374 | 3425 | 3476 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12846 | 13039 | 13234 | 13433 | 13634 | 13839 | 14046 | 14257 | 14471 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5038 | 5113 | 5190 | 5268 | 5347 | 5427 | 5508 | 5591 | 5675 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1608 | 1064 | 1054 | 1043 | 1032 | 1020 | 1008 | 992 | 975 | 958 | 926 | 894 |
| Fines | 398 | 404 | 410 | 417 | 423 | 429 | 436 | 442 | 449 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Total residual waste | 43608 | 38766 | 39044 | 39321 | 39599 | 39875 | 40151 | 40393 | 40634 | 40872 | 40501 | 40130 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 95\% | 83\% | 83\% | 82\% | 81\% | 81\% | 80\% | 79\% | 79\% | 78\% | 77\% | 77\% |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 4648 | 8493 | 10057 | 10207 | 10361 | 15023 | 15248 | 15477 | 15709 | 15709 | 17280 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $166 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Gap | n/a | 356 | 1490 | 1199 | 900 | 5098 | 4815 | 4521 | 4218 | 3847 | 5047 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Newark Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME? | \#NAME? | AME? | AME? | AME? | \#NAME? | AME? | AME? | NAME? | E? | \#NAME? | E? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 |
| Textiles | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |
| Misc. | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 |
| Fines | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 6653 | 6653 | 6653 | 6653 | 6653 | 6653 | 6653 | 6653 | 6653 | 6653 | 6653 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 2547 | 2547 | 2547 | 2547 | 2547 | 2547 | 2547 | 2547 | 2547 | 2547 | 2547 |
| Cans | 945 | 945 | 945 | 945 | 945 | 945 | 945 | 954 |  |  |  |
| Textiles | 813 | 813 | 813 | 813 | 813 | 813 | 813 | 945 | 945 | 945 | 945 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 945 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic -Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Textiles | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
|  | 12233 | 12233 | 12233 | 12233 | 12233 | 12233 | 12233 | 12233 | 12233 | 12233 | 12233 | 12233 |
|  | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 5753 | 5753 | 5753 | 5753 | 5753 | 5753 | 5753 | 5753 | 5753 | 5753 | 5753 | 5753 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2507 | 2507 | 2507 | 2507 | 2507 | 2507 | 2507 | 2507 | 2507 | 2507 | 2507 | 2507 |
| Textiles | 754 | 754 | 754 | 754 | 754 | 754 | 754 | 754 | 754 | 754 | 754 | 754 |
| Misc. | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 |
| Fines | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Total residual waste | 40130 | 40130 | 40130 | 40130 | 40130 | 40130 | 40130 | 40130 | 40130 | 40130 | 40130 | 40130 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Newark Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected | Projected |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 |
| Textiles | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |
| Misc. | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 |
| Organic - itthen | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 |
| Fines | 456 | $\mathbf{4 5 6}$ | 456 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 2 3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 3 6 3}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 6653 | 6653 | 6653 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 2547 | 2547 | 2547 |
| Cans | 945 | 945 | 945 |
| Textiles | 813 | 813 | 813 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 9 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 5 7}$ |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ |  |  |
| As of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 795 | 795 | 795 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Textiles | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 399 | 399 | 399 |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{1 2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 7}$ |
| $\%$ of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 2 2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2 3 3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 2 3 3}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 5753 | 5753 | 5753 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 2507 | 2507 | 2507 |
| Textiles | 754 | 754 | 754 |
| Misc. | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3528 | 3528 | 3528 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 894 | 894 | 894 |
| Fines | 456 | 456 | 456 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 0 1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 1 3 0}$ |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ |  |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 17280 | 17280 | 17280 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $71 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Gap | 5047 | 5047 | 5047 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Rushcliffe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start
Year end

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 9621 | 9765 | 9912 | 10060 | 10211 | 10364 | 10520 | 10678 | 10838 | 11000 | 11000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3683 | 3738 | 3794 | 3851 | 3909 | 3967 | 4027 | 4087 | 4149 | 4211 | 4211 |
| Textiles | 1175 | 1193 | 1211 | 1229 | 1248 | 1266 | 1285 | 1305 | 1324 | 1344 | 1344 |
| Misc. | 4221 | 4284 | 4348 | 4414 | 4480 | 4547 | 4615 | 4684 | 4755 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 2862 | 2905 | 2949 | 2993 | 3038 | 3083 | 3130 | 3177 | 3224 | 3273 | 3273 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10705 | 10865 | 11028 | 11194 | 11361 | 11532 | 11705 | 11880 | 12059 | 12240 | 12240 |
| Organic - Green | 4198 | 4261 | 4325 | 4390 | 4455 | 4522 | 4590 | 4659 | 4729 | 4800 | 4800 |
| Metal | 1366 | 1387 | 1408 | 1429 | 1450 | 1472 | 1494 | 1516 | 1539 | 1562 | 1562 |
| Fines | 332 | 337 | 342 | 347 | 352 | 358 | 363 | 368 | 374 | 380 | 380 |


| Paper/card | 0 | 3332 | 3535 | 3744 | 3958 | 4178 | 4404 | 4652 | 4907 | 5168 | 5356 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 96 | 1454 | 1627 | 1806 | 1990 | 2178 | 2372 | 2470 | 2570 | 2672 | 2736 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 96 | 4785 | 5162 | 5550 | 5948 | 6356 | 6776 | 7122 | 7477 | 7841 | 8092 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 0\% | 12\% | 13\% | 14\% | 15\% | 15\% | 16\% | 17\% | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 1821 | 1848 | 1876 | 1904 | 1933 | 1962 | 1991 | 2021 | 2051 | 2082 | 2082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cans | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 139 | 141 | 143 | 145 | 148 | 150 | 152 | 154 | 157 | 159 | 159 |
| Glass | 993 | 1008 | 1023 | 1038 | 1054 | 1069 | 1085 | 1102 | 1118 | 1135 | 1135 |
| Total bring site recycling | 2983 | 3028 | 3073 | 3119 | 3166 | 3214 | 3262 | 3311 | 3360 | 3411 | 3411 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |
|  | 3080 | 7813 | 8236 | 8669 | 9114 | 9570 | 10038 | 10433 | 10837 | 11251 | 11503 |
|  | 8\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% | 23\% | 23\% | 24\% | 25\% | 25\% | 26\% | 26\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 7800 | 4585 | 4501 | 4412 | 4320 | 4225 | 4125 | 4005 | 3880 | 3750 | 3562 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3681 | 3736 | 3792 | 3849 | 3907 | 3965 | 4025 | 4085 | 4146 | 4208 | 4208 |
| Textiles | 1036 | 1052 | 1068 | 1084 | 1100 | 1116 | 1133 | 1150 | 1167 | 1185 | 1185 |
| Misc. | 4221 | 4284 | 4348 | 4414 | 4480 | 4547 | 4615 | 4684 | 4755 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 1870 | 1898 | 1926 | 1955 | 1984 | 2014 | 2044 | 2075 | 2106 | 2138 | 2138 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10705 | 10865 | 11028 | 11194 | 11361 | 11532 | 11705 | 11880 | 12059 | 12240 | 12240 |
| Organic - Green | 4101 | 2807 | 2697 | 2584 | 2466 | 2344 | 2218 | 2189 | 2159 | 2127 | 2063 |
| Metal | 1338 | 1358 | 1378 | 1399 | 1420 | 1441 | 1463 | 1485 | 1507 | 1530 | 1530 |
| Fines | 332 | 337 | 342 | 347 | 352 | 358 | 363 | 368 | 374 | 380 | 380 |
| Total residual waste | 35083 | 30922 | 31080 | 31237 | 31390 | 31542 | 31691 | 31922 | 32153 | 32383 | 32132 |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 4648 | 7077 | 8380 | 8506 | 8634 | 12519 | 12706 | 12897 | 13090 | 13090 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $168 \%$ | $116 \%$ | $103 \%$ | $107 \%$ | $111 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Gap | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 2481 | 2274 | 2060 | 1839 | 1587 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Rushcliffe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start
Year end

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | AME? | AME? | AME? | AME? | IAME? | NAME? | NAME? | NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 |
| Textiles | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 |
| Misc. | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 |
| Organic - Green | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 |
| Metal | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 |
| Fines | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 5544 | 5544 | 5544 | 5544 | 5544 | 5544 | 5544 | 5544 | 5544 | 5544 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 2800 | 2800 | 2800 | 2800 | 2800 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Glass | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 |
| Total bring site recycling | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |
|  | 11755 | 11755 | 11755 | 11755 | 11755 | 11755 | 11755 | 11755 | 11755 | 11755 | 11755 |
|  | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

|  | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paper/Card | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 |
| Plastics | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 |
| Textiles | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 |
| Misc. | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 |
| Organic - Green | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| Metal | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 |
| Fines | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
| Total residual waste | 31880 | 31880 | 31880 | 31880 | 31880 | 31880 | 31880 | 31880 | 31880 | 31880 | 31880 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 14399 | 14399 | 14399 | 14399 | 14399 | 14399 | 14399 | 14399 | 14399 | 14399 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| No | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of target achieved | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Gap | 2645 | 2645 | 2645 | 2645 | 2645 | 2645 | 2645 | 2645 | 2645 | 2645 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Rushcliffe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year |  | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year start |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |  |  |
|  |  | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 |
| Plastics | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 |
| Textiles | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 |
| Misc. | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12420 | 12240 |
| Organic - Green | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 |
| Metal | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 |
| Fines | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 5544 | 5544 | 5544 | 5544 | 5544 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 2800 | $\mathbf{2 8 0 0}$ | 2800 | 2800 | $\mathbf{2 8 0 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{8 3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 4 4}$ |  |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{8 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ |  |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Glass | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 4 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 1 1}$ |
| Total bring site recycling |  |  |  |  |  |
| As of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 1 7 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7 5 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7 5 5}$ |  |  |  |

Targets
MSU Targets

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 | 3375 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 |
| Textiles | 11185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 |
| Misc. | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 |
| Organic - Green | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| Metal | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 |
| Fines | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 1 8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 8 8 0}$ |
| Total residual waste |  |  |  |  |  |


| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

As \% of total HHW collecte

| Target | 14399 | 14399 | 14399 | 14399 | 14399 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Gap | 2645 | 2645 | 2645 | 2645 | 2645 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Capture rate calculations

| Contract year | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Capture rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct recycling (capture rate for each component) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kerbside recycling - dry recyclables |  | 34\% | 36\% | 37\% | 39\% | 40\% | 42\% | 44\% | 45\% | 47\% | 49\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Kerbside recycling - organic |  | 34\% | 38\% | 41\% | 45\% | 48\% | 52\% | 53\% | 54\% | 56\% | 57\% | 58\% | 58\% | 58\% | 58\% | 58\% |
| HWRC's (capture rate of total stream) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County HWRC recycling | 13\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% |

Nottingham C
Capture rate c

Direct recycling (ca

| $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ |

## © Deloitte \& Touche

## DISCLAIMER

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

This Model ('the Model') has been constructed for a specific purpose and is not intended for distribution to third parties. Third parties who obtain copies of the Model should be aware of the following:
the Model may not be suitable for purposes, other than the specific purpose for which it was designed, and the interests of third parties may not have been anticipated;
the Model was not intended for use by third parties and may not be designed so that it can be readily operated in a correct manner by such parties;
the Model may be a development version and may not be complete or, in the event that development of the Model has concluded, material events may have occurred since completion, which are not reflected in the Model;
the Model may not have been subject to independent testing and where it has been tested, this may not provide an appropriate degree of assurance for all possible uses of the Model.

Accordingly, in the absence of written consent to the contrary, no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) is made by Deloitte \& Touche and no responsibility is taken or accepted as to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of the Model and we exclude liability thereof. In particular, no responsibility is taken or accepted and all liability is excluded for the validity of the assumptions, the accuracy of the computations or the reasonableness and achieveability of the projections comprised herein .

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

DRAFT

## Contents Sheet

## Input Sheet

The inputs and assumptions sheet contains.
All manually input information
All assumptions used
The source of the data
Names used when referencing the inputs throughout the workbook
Facilities Sheet
Shows the future capacity requirements for each type of facility and includes the tonnage which needs to be diverted to a new recycling/recovery facility to enable all targets to be met.

## Performance Summay

Shows the performance against the specified recycling, composting and/or recovery targets.

## Summary

A summary of waste flows from the County and the City showing:
Total waste generation
Total volumes of waste diverted via recycling/composting and recovery and the residual waste sent to landfill Consolidated County plus City volumes for generated, diverted and residual flows
Consolidated targets and performance for the County and the City

## City

Waste generation, direct recycling and other diversion for the City.
This sheet shows the same information as the district generation sheets and in addition shows:
Total waste generated including household waste and waste from other sources e.g. trade waste and HWRC waste
Volume of waste diverted through Eastcroft
Derives performance targets for the three scenarios considered in the model
Measures the performance of the City against the targets derived
Targets considered are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Scenario 1: } & \text { PMSU targets, Landfill Directive targets (both absolute reduction in MSW and reduction in BMW) and MSW recovery targets } \\
\text { Scenario 2: } & \text { Best Value targets, Landfill Directive targets (both absolute reduction in MSW and reduction in BMW) and MSW recovery targets }
\end{array}
$$

## County

Waste generation, direct recycling and other diversion for the County
This sheet shows the same information as the City sheet.
The district figures are consolidated to give collected HHW and direct recycling figures at a County level.

## Targets considered are

Scenario 1
Scenario 3:

PMSU targets, Landfill Directive targets (both absolute reduction in MSW and reduction in BMW) and MSW recovery targets The same targets as Scenario 1 but excluding waste diverted by Newark and Sherwood

## Ashfield

Waste generation and direct recycling for the district.
The actual 2002 waste figures are combined with forecast growth rates for household waste to generate projected waste volumes over the length of the project.
The composition of the waste is also calculated based on the national waste composition figures.
The sheets show the levels and make-up of the districts' direct recycling efforts (kerbside collection and bring bank sites) and the composition of residual waste after direct recycling

## Bassetlaw

As above for Ashfield.

## Browtowe

As above for Ashfield.

## Gedling

As above for Ashfield.

## Mansfield

As above for Ashfield.

## Newark

As above for Ashfield.
Rushcliffe
As above for Ashfield.
Capture Sheet
Derivation of future capture rates for the facilities on an annual basis.

Waste growth rates

| Contract year | Day 1 | 1 |  |  | 3 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | 01 Apr 03 | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| HH County |  | 1.5\% | untyHHgro |  |  |  | 1.5\% | CountyHHgro |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% | CountyHHgro |  |
| Trade County |  | 1.5\% | untyTgrow |  |  |  | 1.5\% | CountyTgrow |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% | CountyTgrow |  |

Waste growth rates

| Contract year | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAM | \#NAM | \#NAM | \#NAM | \#NAM | \#NA | \#N |
| ear end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |

\#NAM \#NAME? NAME? NAME? \#NAME? NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME? NAME? NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME? NAME?

Trade County
0.0\% CountyHHgrowth

CountyTgrowth4
0.0\% CountyHHgrowns

Waste volumes - base line

|  | County | Sum of Districts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WCA collected |  |  |
| Residual excl trade | 290,364 | 290,364 |
| Kerbside | 6,683 | 6,683 |
| Bring site | 10,945 | 10,945 |
| Composted | 240 | 240 |
| Total direct recycling | 17,868 | 17,868 |
| Trade | 18,500 | 18,500 |
| Clinical | 372 | n/a |
| Charity | 1,042 | n/a |
| WDA Collected |  |  |
| HWRC residual | 68,836 | n/a |
| HWRC recycled | 13,764 | n/a |
| HWRC composted | 24,025 | n/a |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |
| Hardcore | 12,375 | n/a |
| Asbestos | 165 | n/a |
| 3rd Party |  |  |
| Schools | 786 | n/a |
| Community Groups | 1,436 | n/a |
| Total trade | 20,079 | n/a |
| HWRC Trade Hardcore | 12,375 | n/a |

Waste from schools and community groups is included in HHW

## Composition of collected waste

|  | National HHW composition figures |  |  | Rushcliffe composition figures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waste Composition | \% of total | \%BMW | \% Total | \% of total T | Total BMW \% |
| Paper/Card | 23\% Paper | 100\% BMWPaper | 23\% | 25\% RuralPaper | 25\% |
| Plastic | 12\% Plastic | 0\% BMWPlastic | 0\% | 10\% RuralPlastic | 0\% |
| Textiles | 4\% Textiles | 50\% BMWTextiles | 2\% | 3\% Rura/Textiles | 2\% |
| Misc. | 16\% Misc | 50\% BMWMisc | 8\% | 11\% RuralMisc | 6\% |
| Glass | 8\% Glass | 0\% BMWGlass | 0\% | 8\% RuralGlass | 0\% |
| Organic - Kitchen | 20\% OrganicKitchen | 100\% BMWOrganic | 20\% | 28\% RuralOrganicKitche | 28\% |
| Organic - Green | 6\% OrganicGreen | 100\% BMWOrganic | 6\% | 11\% RuralOrganicGreeı | 11\% |
| Metal | 6\% Metal | 0\% BMWMetal | 0\% | 4\% RuralMetal | 0\% |
| Fines | 4\% Fines | 50\% BMWFines | 2\% | 1\% RuralFines | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% |  | 61\% BMWTotal | 100\% | 72\% RuralBMWTotal |

## Composition of HWRC waste per national composition figures

Waste Composition
Garden Waste
Other Waste (incl inerts)
Recycables (maximum)
TOTAL

TOTAL
BMW content of hardcore:
\% of total
30.0\% HWRCGreen
40.0\% HWRCOthe
30.0\% HWRCRec

100\%
\%BMW
100\% BMWHWRCGreen 50\% BMWHWRCOther 60\% BMWHWRCRec
\% Total

$30 \%$ $20 \%$<br>20\% $18 \%$<br>68\% BMWHWRCTotal

## Composition of collecte

## Waste Composition <br> Paper/Card

Plastic
Textiles
Misc.
Misc.
Organic - Kitchen
Organic - Green
Metal
Fines
TOTAL
Due to the diversity in the make-up

## Composition of HWRC waste per

Waste Composition
Garden Waste
Other Waste (incl inerts)
Recycables (maximum)
TOTAL
BMW content of hardcore:

| District household waste <br> Info not complete <br> 2002 figures | Ashfield | Bassetlaw | Browtowe | Gedling | Mansfield | Newark | Rushcliffe | Total Districts | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kerbside recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card | 987 | 1,174 | 131 | 2,542 | 419 | 1,056 |  | 6,309 | 6,309 |
| Plastics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Cans |  |  | 8 | 40 |  |  |  | 48 | 48 |
| Textiles |  |  | 2 | 18 |  |  |  | 20 | 20 |
| Glass |  |  | 39 | 267 |  |  |  | 306 | 306 |
| Organic - green |  | 39 |  | 106 |  |  | 95 | 240 | 240 |
| Organic - kitchen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Total kerbside recycling | 987 | 1,213 | 180 | 2,973 | 419 | 1,056 | 95 | 6,923 | 6,923 |
| Residual waste to landfill | 49,527 | 48,160 | 10,103 | 30,430 | 45,212 | 45,384 | 9,737 | 238,553 | 238,553 |
| Residual waste to incinerator |  | 49 | 31,714 | 10,855 | 383 | 31 | 27,279 | 70,311 | 70,311 |
| Less trade waste | 3,328 | 2,451 | 2,451 | 2,451 | 2,915 | 2,451 | 2,451 | 18,500 | 18,500 |
| Total HHW collection | 47,186 | 46,971 | 39,546 | 41,807 | 43,099 | 44,020 | 34,660 | 297,287 | 297,287 |
| Bring site recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper |  |  | 712 | 926 |  | 680 |  | 2,318 | 2,318 |
| Card |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Paper/card mixed | 358 | 1,798 | 198 |  | 904 |  | 1,794 | 5,052 | 5,052 |
| Plastic bottles | 7 | 18 | 74 | 119 |  |  | 2 | 220 | 220 |
| Cans | 8 | 28 | 27 | 50 | 15 | 31 | 28 | 187 | 187 |
| Textiles | 37 | 116 | 67 | 69 | 67 | 40 | 137 | 533 | 533 |
| Glass | 137 | 288 | 299 | 413 | 153 | 344 | 978 | 2,612 | 2,612 |
| Shoes | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |
| Books |  |  | 7 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 17 | 17 |
| Organic - green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Organic - kitchen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Total bring site recycling | 550 | 2,248 | 1,387 | 1,582 | 1,139 | 1,100 | 2,939 | 10,945 | 10,945 |
| Total HHW (excl HWRCs) | 47,736 | 49,219 | 40,933 | 43,389 | 44,238 | 45,120 | 37,599 | 308,232 | 308,232 |

## Recycling and recovery targets

Assume that the County is aiming for compliance with Lanfill Directive guidelines under all scenarios.
Landfill Directive
BMW to landfill 1995: County 174,746 CountyLF95

City figure is extrapolated back from 2002 landfill figure based on $1.5 \%$ growth pa and City BMW content

| Contract year | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MSU2010 |  |  |  |  |  | PMSU2015 |  |
| PMSU targets |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| usehold Recycling County (PMSU) |  | 16\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Ashfield |  | 10\% | 18\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Bassetlaw |  | 14\% | 21\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Broxtowe |  | 10\% | 18\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Gedling |  | 14\% | 21\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Mansfield |  | 10\% | 18\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Newark |  | 10\% | 18\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Rushcliffe |  | 12\% | 18\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |  | 35\% | 45\% | 45\% |
|  |  | MSW2005 |  |  |  |  | SW2010 |  |  |  |  |  | MSW2015 |  |
| MSW Recovery |  | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |  | 45\% | 67\% | 67\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FD2010 |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |
| Landfill Disposal (Landfill Directive) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 50\% |  | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |

[^0]
## Recycling and recovery

Landfill Directive

| Contract year | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| PMSU targets | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| usehold Recycling County (PMSU) | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Ashfield | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Bassetlaw | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Broxtowe | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Gedling | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Mansfield | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Newark | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Rushcliffe | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| MSW Recovery | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% |
|  |  |  |  | D2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Disposal (Landfill Directive) | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |

## Eastcroft Assumptions

Amounts directed to Eastcroft
County
Residual ash \%
50,000 EfWCounty
29\% Ash

Assumes that there is no change in the amount of waste directed to Eastcroft Assumes all residual ash is landfilled

## Recovery Rates



Recovery Rates

| Contract year | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |

HWRC's - County

Recovery
Composition
Recovery
Green waste

Composition Recovery
erbside rates - County
Dry recyclables
Availability 2015
Participation $n 2015$ Recovery015
Contaminationtion2015
Green waste
Availability'ability2015 Participation cipation201 amination amination20
$30 \%$
$95 \%$
HWRCRecovery2020
$30 \%$
$95 \%$
HWRCRecoveryGreen2020
$40 \%$
$0 \%$
HWRCRecoveryOther2020

HWRCRecoveryOther2020

| Switches for kerbside collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ashfield Pre 2010 From 2010 | Bassetlaw Pre 2010 From 2010 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Browto } \\ \text { Pre } 2010 \text { F } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Gedli } \\ \text { Pre } 2010 \text { F } \end{array}$ | From 2010 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mans } \\ \text { Pre } 2010 \text { F } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Newark } \\ \text { Pre } 2010 \text { F } \end{array}$ | From 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rush } \\ \text { Pre } 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| Paper/card | $1 \quad 1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Plastics | $1 \quad 1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Cans | $1 \quad 1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Textiles | $1 \quad 1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Glass | $0 \quad 1$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

If switch is set to "1" kerbside collection will take place. Enables collection patterns to be changed at 2010 for example to reflect the introduction of an extra bin/collection stream.

## Bring Banks

The bring bank recovery levels for materials not collected at the kerbside are based on the 2002 recovery levels and increased by a \% every 5 years
Total increse in recovery every 5yrs 0\% BBRecoverylncrease

Where materials are also collected at the kerbside the HHW growth rates are applied to the 2002 bring bank tonnages.

| Switches for kerbside collection |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | cliffe |  |
|  | From 2010 |  |
| Paper/card |  | 1 |
| Plastics |  | 0 |
| Cans |  | 0 |
| Textiles |  | 0 |
| Glass |  | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen |  | 1 |
| Organic - Green |  | 1 |

## Bring Banks The bring bank recovery levels for r Total increse in recovery every 5yrs <br> Where materials are also collected

## Throughput Tonnages for Facilities



| Proposed Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contract year | Day 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Year start |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Composting - IVC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max capacity 50,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Composting - windrow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max capacity 60,000 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| MRFs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max capacity 75,000 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Max capacity 30,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Other recycling/recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MBT/RDF: max capacity 100,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Facility Recovery Levels

| Composting - IVC | 95\% IVC_efficiency |
| :--- | :--- |
| Composting - windrow | $95 \%$ Windrow_efficiency |
| MRFs | $95 \%$ MRF_efficiency |
| Other recycling/recovery (MBT) |  |
| Recycling <br> Recovery | 20\% MBT_recycling <br> $65 \%$ MBT_recovery |



Facility Recovery Levels
Composting - IVC
Composting - windrow
MRFs
Other recycling/recovery (MBT)
Recycling
Recovery

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements
Contract year
Year start
Year end

## HWRCs

Projected waste flows to HWRCs

## Eastcroft

Projected waste flows to Eastcrof

Future capacity requirments

DRAFT
ounty
Total

Core County
Total

Existing capacity Breach of capacity limit? Further capacity requirement
nt as a $\%$ of existing capacity

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 109,848 | 111,495 | 113,168 | 114,865 | 116,588 | 118,337 | 120,112 | 121,914 | 123,743 | 123,743 |
| 111,495 | $\mathbf{1 1 3 , 1 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 , 8 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6 , 5 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 , 9 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 , 7 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 , 7 4 3}$ |  |


| 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| n/a | n/a | $n / a$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

## Contract year <br> Year start Year end

Composting
Projected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## otal recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of 25,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach
rojected waste flow to windrow compost facilities
Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 50,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Breach
Gap

$$
\begin{array}{rcrrrrrrrrrr}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
01 \text { Apr 04 } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\
\text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

| County Total | - | - | - | - | - | 6,049 | 6,371 | 6,701 | 7,040 | 7,278 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | 6,049 | 6,371 | 6,701 | 7,040 | 7,278 |
| County Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,628 | 2,761 | 2,854 |
|  | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | 2,628 | 2,761 | 2,854 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | 6,049 | 6,371 | 9,329 | 9,801 | 10,133 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | 6,367 | 6,706 | 9,820 | 10,317 | 10,666 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
|  | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
|  | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |


| 1,454 | 1,627 | 1,806 | 1,990 | 2,178 | 2,372 | 2,498 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,454 | 1,627 | 1,806 | 1,990 | 2,178 | 2,372 | 2,498 | - | - | - |
| 29,659 | 30,238 | 30,800 | 31,350 | 31,892 | 32,661 | 33,223 | 33,795 | 34,376 | 34,450 |
| 29,659 | 30,238 | 30,800 | 31,350 | 31,892 | 32,661 | 33,223 | 33,795 | 34,376 | 34,450 |
| 31,113 | 31,865 | 32,606 | 33,340 | 34,070 | 35,033 | 35,722 | 33,795 | 34,376 | 34,450 |
| 32,750 | 33,542 | 34,322 | 35,094 | 35,863 | 36,877 | 37,602 | 35,573 | 36,185 | 36,263 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |


| Contract year <br> Year start <br> Year end |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| MRFs |  |
| Kerbside |  |
|  | County Total |
|  | Total mixed recyclables |
| Bring site |  |
|  | County Total |
| HWRCs |  |
|  | County |

## Total recycling at MRF facilities

## Total waste flow to MRF facilities

No. of $M R F$ facilities required (based on capacity of 75,000 tpa
Large facilities (MRFs and bulking, capacity of 75,000 tpa) Small facilities (bulking facilities, capacity of 30,000 tpa)

## Proposed facility capacity <br> Capacity provided by proposed facilities <br> Breach?

Gap
County waste flows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllllll}
\text { O1 Apr 04 } & \text { \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? } \\
\text { \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? }
\end{array} \\
& \text { Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected }
\end{aligned}
$$

| 45,293 | 50,705 | 56,270 | 61,990 | 67,869 | 86,362 | 90,959 | 95,675 | 100,511 | 103,912 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45,293 | 50,705 | 56,270 | 61,990 | 67,869 | 86,362 | 90,959 | 95,675 | 100,511 | 103,912 |
| 45,293 | 50,705 | 56,270 | 61,990 | 67,869 | 86,362 | 90,959 | 95,675 | 100,511 | 103,912 |
| 11,235 | 11,404 | 11,575 | 11,748 | 11,925 | 12,104 | 12,285 | 12,469 | 12,656 | 12,656 |
| 11,235 | 11,404 | 11,575 | 11,748 | 11,925 | 12,104 | 12,285 | 12,469 | 12,656 | 12,656 |
| 29,659 | 30,238 | 30,800 | 31,350 | 31,892 | 32,661 | 33,223 | 33,795 | 34,376 | 34,450 |
| 29,659 | 30,238 | 30,800 | 31,350 | 31,892 | 32,661 | 33,223 | 33,795 | 34,376 | 34,450 |
| 40,894 | 41,641 | 42,375 | 43,098 | 43,817 | 44,765 | 45,508 | 46,264 | 47,032 | 47,106 |
| 86,187 | 92,346 | 98,644 | 105,089 | 111,686 | 131,127 | 136,467 | 141,939 | 147,543 | 151,019 |
| 90,723 | 97,207 | 103,836 | 110,620 | 117,564 | 138,028 | 143,650 | 149,409 | 155,309 | 158,967 |


| 90,723 | 97,207 | 103,836 | 110,620 | 117,564 | 138,028 | 143,650 | 149,409 | 155,309 | 158,967 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47,676 | 53,374 | 59,231 | 65,253 | 71,442 | 90,907 | 95,746 | 100,710 | 105,801 | 109,382 |
| 43,046 | 43,833 | 44,305 | 45,367 | 46,123 | 47,121 | 47,903 | 48,699 | 49,507 | 49,586 |

## Contract year <br> Year start Year end

Other Recycling/Recovery Facilities
To satisfy recycling targets
Total additional recycling required

For Landfill Directive target to be met

Total additional recovery required | County |
| ---: |

Waste flow to other facilities

| Additional recycling/recovery provided by additional facilities | Maximum waste flow to other facilities |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Recycling (based on assumed recycling levels) <br> Recovery (based on assumed recovery levels) |

No. of other recycling/recovery facilities required (based on capacity of 100,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Greac

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |


$\qquad$ | - | - | - | - | - | 61,557 | 62,726 | 63,885 | 108,720 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | - | - | - |  | 6106,621 |  |  |  | | 61,557 | 62,726 | 63,885 | 108,720 | 106,621 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| - | - | - | - | - | 94,703 | 96,502 | 98,285 | 167,261 | 164,033 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | 94,703 | 96,502 | 98,285 | 167,261 | 164,033 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 18,941 | 19,300 | 19,657 | 33,452 | 32,807 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 61,557 | 62,726 | 63,885 | 108,720 | 106,621 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements

| Contract year |  | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected | Projected |
| HWRCs |  |  |  |
| Projected waste flows to HWRCs |  |  |  |
|  | County | 123,743 | 123, |
|  | Total | 123,743 | 123,743 |

Eastcroft
Projected waste flows to Eastcroft

Euture capacity requirments

|  | Core County | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  | Existing capacity | 140,000 | 140,000 |
|  | Breach of capacity limit? | No | No |
|  | Further capacity requirement | n/a | n/a |
| Further capacity requireme | nt as a \% of existing capacity | n/a | n/a |

## Contract year <br> Year start

Composting
Projected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## otal recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of 25,000 tpa)

## Proposed facility capacity Capacity provided by proposed facilities

Breach

Projected waste flow to windrow compost facilities
Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 50,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap
$\left.\begin{array}{lrr} & \begin{array}{r}11 \\ \text { \#NAME? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}12 \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected }\end{array} \\ \text { Projected }\end{array}\right\}$
\#NAME? \#NAME? Projected Projected

County $\qquad$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { County } & 34,524 & 34,673 \\
\hline \text { Total } & 34,524 & 34,673 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

N/a No
Contract year
Year start
Year end


## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements
Contract year
Year start
Year end

## HWRCs

Projected waste flows to HWRCs

## Eastcroft

Projected waste flows to Eastcrof

Future capacity requirments


## Contract year <br> Year star Year end

Composting
Projected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## Total recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of 25,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach

Projected waste flow to windrow compost facilities
Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 50,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap


| Contract year | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected |
| MRFs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kerbside |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 112,512 | 115,112 | 117,711 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 |
| Total | 112,512 | 115,112 | 117,711 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 |
| Total mixed recyclables | 112,512 | 115,112 | 117,711 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 | 120,310 |
| Bring site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 |
| Total | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 | 12,656 |
| HWRCs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 34,821 | 34,970 | 35,118 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 |
| Total | 34,821 | 34,970 | 35,118 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 |
| Total segregated recyclables (requiring bulking) | 47,478 | 47,626 | 47,775 | 47,923 | 47,923 | 47,923 | 47,923 | 47,923 | 47,923 | 47,923 | 47,923 |
| Total recycling at MRF facilities | 159,990 | 162,738 | 165,486 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 |
| Total waste flow to MRF facilities | 168,411 | 171,303 | 174,195 | 177,088 | 177,088 | 177,088 | 177,088 | 177,088 | 177,088 | 177,088 | 177,088 |
| No. of MRF facilities required (based on capacity of 75,000tpa) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large facilities (MRFs and bulking, capacity of 75,000tpa) | 2 | 2 | 2 | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | ${ }^{2}$ |
| Small facilities (bulking facilities, capacity of 30,000tpa) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Proposed facility capacity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity provided by proposed facilities | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 |
| Breach? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Gap | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |
| County waste flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County mixed recyclables waste flow | - 118,434 | 121,170 | 123,906 | 126,642 | 126,642 | 126,642 | 126,642 | 126,642 | 126,642 | 126,642 | 126,642 |
| County segregated recyclables waste flow | 49,976 | 50,133 | 50,289 | 50,445 | 50,445 | 50,445 | 50,445 | 50,445 | 50,445 | 50,445 | 50,445 |


| Contract year | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected |
| Other Recycling/Recovery Facilities To satisfy recycling targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total additional recycling required | -12,034 | 8,885 | 5,735 | 2,585 | 2,585 | 2,585 | 2,585 | 2,585 | 2,585 | 2,585 | 2,585 |
| For Landfill Directive target to be met |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 101,071 | 99,346 | 97,622 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 |
| Total additional recovery required | 101,071 | 99,346 | 97,622 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 |
| Waste flow to other facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| To achieve required recycling level | 60,172 | 44,423 | 28,674 | 12,926 | 12,926 | 12,926 | 12,926 | 12,926 | 12,926 | 12,926 | 12,926 |
| To achieve required recovery level | 155,494 | 152,840 | 150,188 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 |
| Maximum waste flow to other facilities | 155,494 | 152,840 | 150,188 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 | 187,865 |
| Additional recycling/recovery provided by additional facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recycling (based on assumed recycling levels) | ) 31,099 | 30,568 | 30,038 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 |
| Recovery (based on assumed recovery levels) | 101,071 | 99,346 | 97,622 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 |
| No. of other recycling/recovery facilities required (based on capacity of 100,000tpa) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Proposed facility capacity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity provided by proposed facilities | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Breach? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Gap | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Facility capacity requirements

| Contract year | 24 |  | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected | Projected | Projected |
| HWRCs |  |  |  |  |
| Projected waste flows to HWRCs |  |  |  |  |
|  | County | 123,743 | 123,743 | 123,743 |
|  | Total | 123,743 | 123,743 | 123,743 |

Eastcroft
Projected waste flows to Eastcroft

Euture capacity requirments

|  | Further capacity requirement | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Further capacity requirement as a $\%$ of existing capacity | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |

## Contract year <br> Year start

Composting
rojected waste flow to compost IVC facilities
Kerbside kitchen waste

Kerbside green waste

## otal recovery by IVC facilities

Total waste flow to IVC facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of IVC facilities required (based on capacity of 25,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach
rojected waste flow to windrow compost facilities
Kerbside

HWRCs

## Total recovery by windrow compost facilities

Total waste flow to windrow composting facilities (based on assumed contamination levels)
No. of composting facilities required (based on capacity of 50,000 tpa)
Proposed facility capacity
Capacity provided by proposed facilities
Breach?
Gap

|  | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected |
| County | 8,427 | 8,427 | 8,427 |
| Total | 8,427 | 8,427 | 8,427 |
| County Total | 3,305 | 3,305 | 3,305 |
|  | 3,305 | 3,305 | 3,305 |
|  | 11,732 | 11,732 | 11,732 |
|  | 12,349 | 12,349 | 12,349 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
|  | No | No | No |
|  | N/a | N/a | N/a |
| County |  |  |  |
| Total | - | - | - |
| CountyTotal | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 |
|  | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 |
|  | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 |
|  | 37,123 | 37,123 | 37,123 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
|  | No | No | No |
|  | N/a | N/a | N/a |

County $\qquad$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrr}
\text { County } & 35,267 & 35,267 & 35,267 \\
\text { Total } & 35,267 & 35,267 & 35,267 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

No 40,000 40,000
N/a N/a No
Contract year
Year start
Year end


## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

DRAFT

## Performance summary

| Contract year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME | \#NAME | \#NAME | \#NAME | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |

Recycling
Recycling achieved

|  | MRF | 86,187 | 92,346 | 98,644 | 105,089 | 111,686 | 131,127 | 136,467 | 141,939 | 147,543 | 151,019 | 154,494 | 157,242 | 159,990 | 162,738 | 165,486 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MBT | - | - | - | - | - | 18,941 | 19,300 | 19,657 | 33,452 | 32,807 | 32,162 | 31,630 | 31,099 | 30,568 | 30,038 |
|  | Green waste composting | 31,113 | 31,865 | 32,606 | 33,340 | 34,070 | 35,033 | 35,722 | 33,795 | 34,376 | 34,450 | 34,524 | 34,673 | 34,821 | 34,970 | 35,118 |
|  | IVC | - | - | - | - | - | 6,049 | 6,371 | 9,329 | 9,801 | 10,133 | 10,464 | 10,718 | 10,971 | 11,225 | 11,478 |
|  | Total | 117,299 | 124,211 | 131,250 | 138,428 | 145,756 | 191,150 | 197,860 | 204,719 | 225,172 | 228,408 | 231,645 | 234,263 | 236,881 | 239,500 | ,120 |
| Total as | rentage of total waste (\%) | 25\% | 26\% | 28\% | 29\% | 30\% | 38\% | 39\% | 40\% | 43\% | 44\% | 44\% | 45\% | 45\% | 46\% |  |

PMSU Targets

| PMSU target | 68,750 | 117,755 | 119,522 | 121,314 | 123,134 | 162,013 | 164,443 | 166,909 | 169,413 | 169,413 | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Gap | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Recycling exceeding target | 48,550 | 6,456 | 11,729 | 17,114 | 22,622 | 29,137 | 33,418 | 37,810 | 55,759 | 58,995 | 13,828 | 16,446 | 19,065 | 21,683 | 24,303 |

Recovery
Recovery achieved


## Landfill Directive Targets



Nottingham CC Waste Managemen
Performance summary

| Contract year | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | jected |  |  |  | Projected | Projected | Pro | Pro | Pro | Projected |

Recycling
Recycling achieved

| MRF | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 | 168,233 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MBT | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 | 37,573 |
| Green waste composting | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 | 35,267 |
| IVC | 11,732 | 11,732 | 11,732 | 11,732 | 11,732 | 11,732 | 11,732 | 11,732 | 11,732 | 11,732 |
| Total | 252,805 | 252,805 | 252,805 | 252,805 | 252,805 | 252,805 | 252,805 | 252,805 | 252,805 | 252,805 |
| tage of total waste (\%) | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% |

PMSU Targets

| PMSU target | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 | 217,817 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Gap | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Recycling exceeding target | 34,988 | 34,988 | 34,988 | 34,988 | 34,988 | 34,988 | 34,988 | 34,988 | 34,988 | 34,988 |

## Recovery

Recovery achieved

| MBT | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 | 122,112 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Eastcroft | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , \mathbf { 1 1 2 }}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 1 1 2}$ |
| waste $(\%)$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ |

## Landfill Directive Targets

| Total recycling/recovery achieved | 424,917 | 424,917 | 424,917 | 424,917 | 424,917 | 424,917 | 424,917 | 424,917 | 424,917 | 424,917 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total as a percentage of total waste (\%) | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Total waste to landfill | 96,785 | 96,785 | 96,785 | 96,785 | 96,785 | 96,785 | 96,785 | 96,785 | 96,785 | 96,785 |
| Total as a percentage of total waste (\%) | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| BMW of total waste to landfill | 59,135 | 59,135 | 59,135 | 59,135 | 59,135 | 59,135 | 59,135 | 59,135 | 59,135 | 59,135 |
| Landfill Directive Target | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 | 61,161 |
| Compliance? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Gap | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

DRAFT
Summary for cost model

| Contract year |  | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Actuals Projected |  | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |
| County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total MSW | 456,276 | 463,120 | 470,067 | 477,118 | 484,275 | 491,539 | 498,912 | 506,396 | 513,992 | 521,701 |
|  | Total HHW (including HWRC waste, schools and CGs) | 423,335 | 429,685 | 436,130 | 442,672 | 449,313 | 456,052 | 462,893 | 469,836 | 476,884 | 484,037 |
|  | HWRC waste | 108,224 | 109,848 | 111,495 | 113,168 | 114,865 | 116,588 | 118,337 | 120,112 | 121,914 | 123,743 |
|  | Waste sent to Eastcroft | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  | Kerbside recycled | 6,783 | 45,293 | 50,705 | 56,270 | 61,990 | 67,869 | 86,362 | 90,959 | 95,675 | 100,511 |
|  | Kerbside organic - kitchen | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6,049 | 6,371 | 6,701 | 7,040 |
|  | Kerbside organic - green | 244 | 1,454 | 1,627 | 1,806 | 1,990 | 2,178 | 2,372 | 2,498 | 2,628 | 2,761 |
|  | Bring site recycled | 11,069 | 11,235 | 11,404 | 11,575 | 11,748 | 11,925 | 12,104 | 12,285 | 12,469 | 12,656 |
|  | HWRC recycled | 13,970 | 29,659 | 30,238 | 30,800 | 31,350 | 31,892 | 32,661 | 33,223 | 33,795 | 34,376 |
|  | HWRC composted | 24,385 | 29,659 | 30,238 | 30,800 | 31,350 | 31,892 | 32,661 | 33,223 | 33,795 | 34,376 |
|  | Hardcore | 12,561 | 12,749 | 12,940 | 13,134 | 13,331 | 13,531 | 13,734 | 13,940 | 14,149 | 14,362 |
|  | Eastcroft net diversion | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 | 35,500 |
|  | Total diversion | 104,512 | 165,548 | 172,652 | 179,885 | 187,260 | 194,788 | 221,443 | 228,000 | 234,712 | 241,582 |
|  | As a \% of total MSW | 23\% | 36\% | 37\% | 38\% | 39\% | 40\% | 44\% | 45\% | 46\% | 46\% |
|  | Total MSW to landfill | 351,764 | 297,572 | 297,415 | 297,233 | 297,015 | 296,751 | 277,469 | 278,395 | 279,280 | 280,120 |
|  | As a \% of total MSW | 77\% | 64\% | 63\% | 62\% | 61\% | 60\% | 56\% | 55\% | 54\% | 54\% |
|  | BMW content of total MSW to landfill | 228,448 | 197,239 | 197,336 | 197,424 | 197,495 | 197,545 | 192,617 | 193,786 | 194,945 | 196,093 |
|  | As a \% of total MSW | 50\% | 43\% | 42\% | 41\% | 41\% | 40\% | 39\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% |
|  | Total recovery | 119,012 | 180,048 | 187,152 | 194,385 | 201,760 | 209,288 | 235,943 | 242,500 | 249,212 | 256,082 |
|  | As a \% of total MSW | 26\% | 39\% | 40\% | 41\% | 42\% | 43\% | 47\% | 48\% | 48\% | 49\% |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Summary for cost model

Contract year
Year start
Year end

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Summary for cost model

Contract year
Year start
Year end

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

DRAFT

## County Waste Generation and Diversion



Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 61015 | 42487 | 40414 | 38268 | 36049 | 33755 | 31384 | 30236 | 29047 | 27815 | 26148 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 34530 | 24289 | 23529 | 22740 | 21923 | 21076 | 20199 | 19831 | 19447 | 19047 | 18356 |
| Textiles | 10755 | 7437 | 7183 | 6919 | 6646 | 6363 | 6071 | 5943 | 5811 | 5673 | 5448 |
| Misc. | 43620 | 44275 | 44939 | 45613 | 46297 | 46992 | 47696 | 48412 | 49138 | 49875 | 49875 |
| Glass | 21934 | 22578 | 22917 | 23261 | 23609 | 23964 | 11872 | 11574 | 11265 | 10943 | 10453 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 73351 | 74452 | 75568 | 76702 | 77852 | 79020 | 74156 | 75038 | 75928 | 76829 | 76591 |
| Organic - Green | 25224 | 24395 | 24609 | 24824 | 25040 | 25257 | 25475 | 25766 | 26061 | 26358 | 26265 |
| Metal | 15829 | 11025 | 10658 | 10278 | 9884 | 9476 | 9054 | 8872 | 8683 | 8486 | 8159 |
| Fines | 8502 | 8629 | 8759 | 8890 | 9023 | 9159 | 9296 | 9435 | 9577 | 9721 | 9721 |
| Total residual waste | 294759 | 259567 | 258575 | 257496 | 256325 | 255062 | 235203 | 235107 | 234956 | 234747 | 231014 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 94\% | 82\% | 80\% | 79\% | 77\% | 76\% | 69\% | 68\% | 67\% | 66\% | 65\% |

MW composition of residual wast

| Paper/Card | 61015 | 42487 | 40414 | 38268 | 36049 | 33755 | 31384 | 30236 | 29047 | 27815 | 26148 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 5377 | 3718 | 3591 | 3460 | 3323 | 3182 | 3035 | 2972 | 2905 | 2837 | 2724 |
| Misc. | 21810 | 22137 | 22469 | 22806 | 23149 | 23496 | 23848 | 24206 | 24569 | 24938 | 24938 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 73351 | 74452 | 75568 | 76702 | 77852 | 79020 | 74156 | 75038 | 75928 | 76829 | 76591 |
| Organic - Green | 25224 | 24395 | 24609 | 24824 | 25040 | 25257 | 25475 | 25766 | 26061 | 26358 | 26265 |
| Metal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fines | 4251 | 4315 | 4379 | 4445 | 4512 | 4579 | 4648 | 4718 | 4788 | 4860 | 4860 |
| Total BMW residual HHW | 191028 | 171505 | 171031 | 170505 | 169925 | 169289 | 162546 | 162935 | 163299 | 163637 | 161525 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 61\% | 54\% | 53\% | 52\% | 51\% | 50\% | 48\% | 47\% | 46\% | 46\% | 45\% |

ear star
Year end

HWRC waste Composition of HWRC waste

| HWRC Refuse | 69869 | 50530 | 51020 | 51568 | 52165 | 52805 | 53015 | 53666 | 54325 | 54991 | 54843 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HWRC Recycled | 13970 | 29659 | 30238 | 30800 | 31350 | 31892 | 32661 | 33223 | 33795 | 34376 | 34450 |
| HWRC Composted | 24385 | 29659 | 30238 | 30800 | 31350 | 31892 | 32661 | 33223 | 33795 | 34376 | 34450 |
| Total HWRC waste | 108224 | 109848 | 111495 | 113168 | 114865 | 116588 | 118337 | 120112 | 121914 | 123743 | 123743 |
| Total HWRC recycling | 38356 | 59318 | 60475 | 61600 | 62700 | 63784 | 65322 | 66446 | 67589 | 68751 | 68900 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 35\% | 54\% | 54\% | 54\% | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% | 56\% | 56\% |
| Total BMW residual HWRC waste | 45280 | 33387 | 33747 | 34147 | 34582 | 35047 | 36638 | 37192 | 37757 | 38333 | 38346 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 42\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% |


| Year start Year end | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | 01 Apr 04 \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other waste collected <br> Trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 20380 | 20686 | 20996 | 21311 | 21631 | 21955 | 22285 | 22619 | 22958 | 23302 | 23302 |
| Trade hardcore | 12561 | 12749 | 12940 | 13134 | 13331 | 13531 | 13734 | 13940 | 14149 | 14362 | 14362 |
| Total trade waste | 32941 | 33435 | 33936 | 34445 | 34962 | 35487 | 36019 | 36559 | 37108 | 37664 | 37664 |
| BMW composition of trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 12452 | 12639 | 12829 | 13021 | 13216 | 13415 | 13616 | 13820 | 14027 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Trade hardcore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total BMW content of trade waste | 12452 | 12639 | 12829 | 13021 | 13216 | 13415 | 13616 | 13820 | 14027 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 798 | 810 | 822 | 834 | 847 | 859 | 872 | 885 | 899 | 912 | 912 |
| Community Groups | 1458 | 1479 | 1502 | 1524 | 1547 | 1570 | 1594 | 1618 | 1642 | 1667 | 1667 |
| Total other waste | 2255 | 2289 | 2323 | 2358 | 2394 | 2430 | 2466 | 2503 | 2541 | 2579 | 2579 |
| BMW composition of other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 487 | 495 | 502 | 510 | 517 | 525 | 533 | 541 | 549 | 557 | 557 |
| Community Groups | 891 | 904 | 917 | 931 | 945 | 959 | 974 | 988 | 1003 | 1018 | 1018 |
| Total BMW content of other waste | 1378 | 1399 | 1420 | 1441 | 1463 | 1485 | 1507 | 1529 | 1552 | 1576 | 1576 |
| Impact of Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less waste diverted to Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste diverted to Eastcroft | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 |
| Residual ash | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 |
| Net diversion | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| BMW content of net diversion | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total MSW | 456276 | 463120 | 470067 | 477118 | 484275 | 491539 | 498912 | 506396 | 513992 | 521701 | 521701 |
| Total HHW (incl HWRC waste, schools and CGs) | 423335 | 429685 | 436130 | 442672 | 449313 | 456052 | 462893 | 469836 | 476884 | 484037 | 484037 |
| Total recycling/composting | 56452 | 117299 | 124211 | 131250 | 138428 | 145756 | 172209 | 178560 | 185062 | 191720 | 195601 |
| As a \% of total HHW | 13\% | 27\% | 28\% | 30\% | 31\% | 32\% | 37\% | 38\% | 39\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| Total recycling/composting, Eastcroft and hardcore | 119012 | 180048 | 187152 | 194385 | 201760 | 209288 | 235943 | 242500 | 249212 | 256082 | 259963 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 26\% | 39\% | 40\% | 41\% | 42\% | 43\% | 47\% | 48\% | 48\% | 49\% | 50\% |
| Total diversion | 104512 | 165548 | 172652 | 179885 | 187260 | 194788 | 221443 | 228000 | 234712 | 241582 | 245463 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 23\% | 36\% | 37\% | 38\% | 39\% | 40\% | 44\% | 45\% | 46\% | 46\% | 47\% |
| Total MSW to landfill | 351764 | 297572 | 297415 | 297233 | 297015 | 296751 | 277469 | 278395 | 279280 | 280120 | 276238 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 77\% | 64\% | 63\% | 62\% | 61\% | 60\% | 56\% | 55\% | 54\% | 54\% | 53\% |
| BMW content of total MSW to landfill | 228448 | 197239 | 197336 | 197424 | 197495 | 197545 | 192617 | 193786 | 194945 | 196093 | 193994 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 50\% | 43\% | 42\% | 41\% | 41\% | 40\% | 39\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 37\% |

Year star

Targets
Recycling/Recovery Targe

## 01 Apr 04 <br> \#NAME? \#NAME? NAME?

\#NAME? \#NAME? rojected
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected
Recycling/Recovery Targets
\#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
Projected
\#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
jected rojected \#NAME? \#NAME?
\#NAME?

68750
Yes
$171 \%$
n/a

| 119522 | 12 |
| ---: | ---: |
| Yes |  |
| $110 \%$ | 1 |
| n/a |  |

## andfill Directive Targets

Absolute reduction in MSW tonnage to landfill from 2007?

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Gap } \\
\text { Gap as a } \% \text { of total MSW } \\
\text { BMW landfill target } \\
\text { Compliance? } \\
\text { Gap } \\
\text { Gap as a } \% \text { of total MSW }
\end{array}
$$

117755
Yes
$105 \%$
n/a
123134
Yes
$118 \%$
n/a
162013
Yes
$106 \%$
n/a

| 164443 | 166909 | 169413 | 169413 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| $109 \%$ | $111 \%$ | $113 \%$ | $115 \%$ |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| No | No | No | Yes |
| 927 | 884 | 840 | n/a |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |
| 131060 | 131060 | 87373 | 87373 |
| No | No | No | No |
| 62726 | 63885 | 10820 | 10621 |
| $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Projec

## County Waste Generation and Diversion



Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 24481 | 23206 | 21932 | 20658 | 19384 | 18110 | 18110 | 18110 | 18110 | 18110 | 18110 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 17664 | 17136 | 16607 | 16079 | 15550 | 15022 | 15022 | 15022 | 15022 | 15022 | 15022 |
| Textiles | 5223 | 5051 | 4880 | 4708 | 4536 | 4364 | 4364 | 4364 | 4364 | 4364 | 4364 |
| Misc. | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 |
| Glass | 9963 | 9588 | 9213 | 8838 | 8464 | 8089 | 8089 | 8089 | 8089 | 8089 | 8089 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 76353 | 76170 | 75988 | 75806 | 75624 | 75442 | 75442 | 75442 | 75442 | 75442 | 75442 |
| Organic - Green | 26171 | 26100 | 26028 | 25957 | 25886 | 25814 | 25814 | 25814 | 25814 | 25814 | 25814 |
| Metal | 7831 | 7581 | 7331 | 7081 | 6831 | 6581 | 6581 | 6581 | 6581 | 6581 | 6581 |
| Fines | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 |
| Total residual waste | 227281 | 224429 | 221576 | 218723 | 215870 | 213018 | 213018 | 213018 | 213018 | 213018 | 213018 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 64\% | 63\% | 62\% | 61\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |

BMW composition of residual waste

ear star
Year end

HWRC waste Composition of HWRC waste

| HWRC Refuse | 54694 | 54397 | 54100 | 53803 | 53506 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HWRC Recycled | 34524 | 34673 | 34821 | 34970 | 35118 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 |
| HWRC Composted | 34524 | 34673 | 34821 | 34970 | 35118 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 |
| Total HWRC waste | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 |
| Total HWRC recycling | 69048 | 69345 | 69642 | 69939 | 70236 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 56\% | 56\% | 56\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% |
| Total BMW residual HWRC waste | 38362 | 38248 | 38135 | 38023 | 37913 | 37804 | 37804 | 37804 | 37804 | 37804 | 37804 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% |


| Year start <br> Year end | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { \#NAME? } \\ & \text { Projected } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other waste collected <br> Trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 |
| Trade hardcore | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 |
| Total trade waste | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 | 37664 |
| BMW composition of trade waste collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade waste collected | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Trade hardcore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total BMW content of trade waste | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 |
| Community Groups | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 |
| Total other waste | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 | 2579 |
| BMW composition of other waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 | 557 |
| Community Groups | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 | 1018 |
| Total BMW content of other waste | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 | 1576 |
| Impact of Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less waste diverted to Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste diverted to Eastcroft | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 |
| Residual ash | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 |
| Net diversion | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| BMW content of net diversion | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total MSW | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 |
| Total HHW (incl HWRC waste, schools and CGs) | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 |
| Total recycling/composting | 199483 | 202633 | 205782 | 208932 | 212082 | 215232 | 215232 | 215232 | 215232 | 215232 | 215232 |
| As a \% of total HHW | 41\% | 42\% | 43\% | 43\% | 44\% | 44\% | 44\% | 44\% | 44\% | 44\% | 44\% |
| Total recycling/composting, Eastcroft and hardcore | 263845 | 266994 | 270144 | 273294 | 276444 | 279593 | 279593 | 279593 | 279593 | 279593 | 279593 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 51\% | 51\% | 52\% | 52\% | 53\% | 54\% | 54\% | 54\% | 54\% | 54\% | 54\% |
| Total diversion | 249345 | 252494 | 255644 | 258794 | 261944 | 265093 | 265093 | 265093 | 265093 | 265093 | 265093 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 48\% | 48\% | 49\% | 50\% | 50\% | 51\% | 51\% | 51\% | 51\% | 51\% | 51\% |
| Total MSW to landfill | 272357 | 269207 | 266057 | 262908 | 259758 | 256608 | 256608 | 256608 | 256608 | 256608 | 256608 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 52\% | 52\% | 51\% | 50\% | 50\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% |
| BMW content of total MSW to landfill | 191899 | 190171 | 188444 | 186719 | 184995 | 183273 | 183273 | 183273 | 183273 | 183273 | 183273 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 37\% | 36\% | 36\% | 36\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |


| Year start <br> Year end | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Pojected } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Pojected } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recycling/Recovery Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Target | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | 92\% | 93\% | 94\% | 96\% | 97\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Gap | 18334 | 15184 | 12034 | 8885 | 5735 | 2585 | 2585 | 2585 | 2585 | 2585 | 2585 |
| Landfill Directive Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute reduction in MSW tonnage to landfill from 2007? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Gap | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gap as a \% of total MSW | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| BMW landfill target | 87373 | 87373 | 87373 | 87373 | 87373 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Gap | 104526 | 102798 | 101071 | 99346 | 97622 | 122112 | 122112 | 122112 | 122112 | 122112 | 122112 |
| Gap as a \% of total MSW | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 19\% | 19\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Projec

## County Waste Generation and Diversion



Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 18110 | 18110 | 18110 | 18110 | 18110 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 15022 | 15022 | 15022 | 15022 | 15022 |
| Textiles | 4364 | 4364 | 4364 | 4364 | 4364 |
| Misc. | 4985 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 | 49875 |
| Glass | 8089 | 8089 | 8089 | 8089 | 8089 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 75442 | 75442 | 75442 | 75442 | 75442 |
| Organic - Green | 25814 | 25814 | 25814 | 25814 | 25814 |
| Metal | 6581 | 6581 | 6581 | 6581 | 6581 |
| Fines | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 | 9721 |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{2 1 3 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3 0 1 8}$ |
| $\%$ of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ |

BMW composition of residual wast

| Paper/Card | 18110 | 18110 | 18110 | 18110 | 18110 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 2182 | 2182 | 2182 | 2182 | 2182 |
| Misc. | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 | 24938 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 75442 | 75442 | 75442 | 75442 | 75442 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 25814 | 25814 | 25814 | 25814 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metal | 0 | 48814 |  |  |  |
| Fines | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 | 4860 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 1 3 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 3 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 3 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 3 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 3 4 6}$ |
| Total BMW residual HHW | $42 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ |

Year star

HWRC waste Composition of HWRC waste

| HWRC Refuse | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 | 53209 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HWRC Recycled | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 |
| HWRC Composted | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 | 35267 |
| Total HWRC waste | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 | 123743 |
| Total HWRC recycling | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 | 70533 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% |
| Total BMW residual HWRC waste | 37804 | 37804 | 37804 | 37804 | 37804 |
| As a \% of total HWRC waste | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% |

Other waste collected
Trade waste collected

| Trade waste collected | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 | 23302 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trade hardcore | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 | 14362 |
| Total trade waste | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6 6 4}$ |

BMW composition of trade waste collected

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trade waste collected | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 |
| Trade hardcoree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ontent of trade waste | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 | 14238 |

Other waste

| Schools | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Community Groups | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 | 1667 |
| Total other waste | $\mathbf{2 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 9}$ |

BMW composition of other waste


Impact of Eastcroft

| Less waste diverted to Eastcroft |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waste diverted to Eastrroft | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 |
| Residual ash | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 | 14500 |
| Net diversion | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 | 35500 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| BMW content of net diversion | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 | 21691 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total MSW | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 | 521701 |
| Total HHW (incl HWRC waste, schools and CGs) | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 | 484037 |
| Total recycling/composting | 215232 | 215232 | 215232 | 215232 | 215232 |
| As a \% of total HHW | 44\% | 44\% | 44\% | 44\% | 44\% |
| Total recycling/composting, Eastcroft and hardcore | 279593 | 279593 | 279593 | 279593 | 279593 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 54\% | 54\% | 54\% | 54\% | 54\% |
| Total diversion | 265093 | 265093 | 265093 | 265093 | 265093 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 51\% | 51\% | 51\% | 51\% | 51\% |
| Total MSW to landfill | 256608 | 256608 | 256608 | 256608 | 256608 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% |
| BMW content of total MSW to landfill | 183273 | 183273 | 183273 | 183273 | 183273 |
| As a \% of total MSW | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% | 35\% |

Year start
Year end

Targets
Recycling/Recovery Targets
\#NAME
rojected
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
jected
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
\#NAME?
rojected
\#NAME?
\#NAME?

| 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 | 217817 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | No | No | No | No |
| $99 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| 2585 | 2585 | 2585 | 2585 | 2585 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| n/a | n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 | 61161 |
| No | No | No | No | No |
| 122112 | 122112 | 122112 | 122112 | 122112 |
| $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Ashfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year |  | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected |
| Composition of District waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/Card | 11144 | 11311 | 11481 | 11653 | 11828 | 12005 | 12185 | 12368 | 12554 | 12742 | 12742 |
|  | Plastics | 5911 | 6000 | 6090 | 6181 | 6274 | 6368 | 6464 | 6560 | 6659 | 6759 | 6759 |
|  | Textiles | 1938 | 1967 | 1997 | 2027 | 2057 | 2088 | 2119 | 2151 | 2183 | 2216 | 2216 |
|  | Misc. | 7801 | 7918 | 8037 | 8157 | 8279 | 8404 | 8530 | 8658 | 8788 | 8919 | 8919 |
|  | Glass | 4022 | 4082 | 4143 | 4205 | 4268 | 4332 | 4397 | 4463 | 4530 | 4598 | 4598 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 9690 | 9836 | 9983 | 10133 | 10285 | 10439 | 10596 | 10755 | 10916 | 11080 | 11080 |
|  | Organic - Green | 2907 | 2951 | 2995 | 3040 | 3086 | 3132 | 3179 | 3226 | 3275 | 3324 | 3324 |
|  | Metal | 3052 | 3098 | 3145 | 3192 | 3240 | 3288 | 3338 | 3388 | 3439 | 3490 | 3490 |
|  | Fines | 1987 | 2016 | 2047 | 2077 | 2108 | 2140 | 2172 | 2205 | 2238 | 2271 | 2271 |
|  | Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 48452 | 49179 | 49917 | 50665 | 51425 | 52197 | 52980 | 53774 | 54581 | 55400 | 55400 |
| Kerbside recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card | 1002 | 3859 | 4320 | 4794 | 5282 | 5783 | 6297 | 6633 | 6976 | 7329 | 7577 |
|  | Plastics | 0 | 2047 | 2292 | 2543 | 2802 | 3067 | 3340 | 3518 | 3701 | 3888 | 4019 |
|  | Cans | 0 | 1057 | 1183 | 1313 | 1447 | 1584 | 1725 | 1817 | 1911 | 2008 | 2075 |
|  | Textiles | 0 | 671 | 751 | 834 | 919 | 1006 | 1095 | 1153 | 1213 | 1275 | 1318 |
|  | Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2273 | 2393 | 2518 | 2645 | 2734 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total kerbside recycling | 1002 | 7634 | 8546 | 9484 | 10449 | 11439 | 14730 | 15514 | 16319 | 17144 | 17724 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 16\% | 17\% | 19\% | 20\% | 22\% | 28\% | 29\% | 30\% | 31\% | 32\% |
| Bring site recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card mixed | 363 | 369 | 374 | 380 | 386 | 391 | 397 | 403 | 409 | 415 | 415 |
|  | Plastic bottles | 7 |  | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Cans | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | Textiles | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Glass | 139 | 141 | 143 | 145 | 148 | 150 | 152 | 154 | 157 | 159 | 159 |
|  | Total bring site recycling | 518 | 526 | 534 | 542 | 550 | 558 | 567 | 575 | 584 | 593 | 593 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Total direct recycling |  | 1520 | 8160 | 9080 | 10026 | 10999 | 11998 | 15297 | 16090 | 16903 | 17736 | 18316 |
| As \% of total HHW collected |  | 3\% | 17\% | 18\% | 20\% | 21\% | 23\% | 29\% | 30\% | 31\% | 32\% | 33\% |

## Contract year <br> year start

Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 9779 | 7083 | 6786 | 6479 | 6160 | 5831 | 5491 | 5332 | 5168 | 4997 | 4749 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5904 | 3946 | 3791 | 3631 | 3465 | 3293 | 3115 | 3034 | 2950 | 2863 | 2731 |
| Textiles | 1937 | 1295 | 1245 | 1192 | 1138 | 1082 | 1023 | 997 | 969 | 941 | 898 |
| Misc. | 7801 | 7918 | 8037 | 8157 | 8279 | 8404 | 8530 | 8658 | 8788 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 3882 | 3941 | 4000 | 4060 | 4121 | 4183 | 1973 | 1915 | 1856 | 1794 | 1705 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9690 | 9836 | 9983 | 10133 | 10285 | 10439 | 10596 | 10755 | 10916 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 2907 | 2951 | 2995 | 3040 | 3086 | 3132 | 3179 | 3226 | 3275 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 3044 | 2033 | 1953 | 1870 | 1784 | 1696 | 1604 | 1562 | 1519 | 1473 | 1405 |
| Fines | 1987 | 2016 | 2047 | 2077 | 2108 | 2140 | 2172 | 2205 | 2238 | 2271 | 2271 |
| Total residual waste | 46932 | 41019 | 40836 | 40639 | 40427 | 40199 | 37683 | 37685 | 37678 | 37663 | 37083 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 97\% | 83\% | 82\% | 80\% | 79\% | 77\% | 71\% | 70\% | 69\% | 68\% | 67\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Targe } \\
\text { Compliance } \\
\% \text { of target achieve }
\end{array}
$$

| 4918 | 8985 | 10640 | 10799 | 10961 | 18543 | 18821 | 19103 | 19390 | 19390 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
| $166 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $102 \%$ | $109 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| n/a | n/a | 613 | n/a | n/a | 3246 | 2731 | 2201 | 1654 | 1073 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Ashfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling
Contract year
Year start
Year end

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#rojected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 |
| Textiles | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 |
| Misc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 | 55400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card | 7825 | 8015 | 8204 | 8394 | 8583 | 8773 | 8773 | 8773 | 8773 | 8773 | 8773 |
| Plastics | 4151 | 4251 | 4352 | 4452 | 4553 | 4653 | 4653 | 4653 | 4653 | 4653 | 4653 |
| Cans | 2143 | 2195 | 2247 | 2299 | 2351 | 2403 | 2403 | 2403 | 2403 | 2403 | 2403 |
| Textiles | 1361 | 1394 | 1427 | 1460 | 1493 | 1526 | 1526 | 1526 | 1526 | 1526 | 1526 |
| Glass | 2824 | 2892 | 2961 | 3029 | 3097 | 3166 | 3166 | 3166 | 3166 | 3166 | 3166 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 18304 | 18747 | 19191 | 19634 | 20077 | 20521 | 20521 | 20521 | 20521 | 20521 | 20521 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 33\% | 34\% | 35\% | 35\% | 36\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Cans | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Textiles | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Glass | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Total bring site recycling | 593 | 593 | 593 | 593 | 593 | 593 | 593 | 593 | 593 | 593 | 593 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
|  | 18897 | 19340 | 19783 | 20227 | 20670 | 21113 | 21113 | 21113 | 21113 | 21113 | 21113 |
|  | 34\% | 35\% | 36\% | 37\% | 37\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% |

Contract year
year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 4501 | 4312 | 4122 | 3933 | 3743 | 3554 | 3554 | 3554 | 3554 | 3554 | 3554 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2600 | 2499 | 2399 | 2298 | 2198 | 2097 | 2097 | 2097 | 2097 | 2097 | 2097 |
| Textiles | 854 | 821 | 788 | 756 | 723 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Misc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 1615 | 1547 | 1479 | 1410 | 1342 | 1273 | 1273 | 1273 | 1273 | 1273 | 1273 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 1337 | 1286 | 1234 | 1182 | 1130 | 1078 | 1078 | 1078 | 1078 | 1078 | 1078 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
| Total residual waste | 36503 | 36060 | 35616 | 35173 | 34730 | 34286 | 34286 | 34286 | 34286 | 34286 | 34286 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 66\% | 65\% | 64\% | 63\% | 63\% | 62\% | 62\% | 62\% | 62\% | 62\% | 62\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 | 24930 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 24930 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Gap | 6033 | 5590 | 5147 | 4703 | 4260 | 3817 | 3817 | 3817 | 3817 | 3817 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3817 |  |  |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Ashfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 | 12742 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 | 6759 |
| Textiles | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 | 2216 |
| Mlsc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 | 4598 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 | 3490 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 0 0}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 8773 | 8773 | 8773 | 8773 | 8773 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 4653 | 4653 | 4653 | 4653 | 4653 |
| Cans | 2403 | 2403 | 2403 | 2403 | 2403 |
| Textiles | 1526 | 1526 | 1526 | 1526 | 1526 |
| Glass | 3166 | 3166 | 3166 | 3166 | 3166 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside reycling | $\mathbf{2 0 5 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 2 5 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 2 1}$ |
| As $\%$ of total $\boldsymbol{H H W}$ collected | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 | 415 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Cans | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Textiles | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Glass | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Total bring site recycling | 593 | 593 | 593 | 593 | 593 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
|  | 21113 | 21113 | 21113 | 21113 | 21113 |
|  | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% |

Targets
Targets
PMSU Targets

## Contract year <br> year start

Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 3554 | 3554 | 3554 | 3554 | 3554 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 2097 | 2097 | 2097 | 2097 | 2097 |
| Textiles | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Misc. | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 | 8919 |
| Glass | 1273 | 1273 | 1273 | 1273 | 1273 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 | 11080 |
| Organic - Green | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 | 3324 |
| Metal | 1078 | 1027 | 1078 | 1078 | 1078 |
| Fines | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 | 2271 |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{3 4 2 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 2 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 2 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 2 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 2 8 6}$ |
| $\%$ of total $\boldsymbol{H H W}$ collected | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ |

$\begin{array}{ccccc}22 & 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 \\ \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } & \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected } & \text { Projected }\end{array}$
Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected

As \% of total HHW collected

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Bassetlaw Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year |  | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected |
| Composition of District waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/Card | 12594 | 12783 | 12975 | 13169 | 13367 | 13567 | 13771 | 13978 | 14187 | 14400 | 14400 |
|  | Plastics | 4821 | 4893 | 4967 | 5041 | 5117 | 5193 | 5271 | 5350 | 5431 | 5512 | 5512 |
|  | Textiles | 1539 | 1562 | 1585 | 1609 | 1633 | 1658 | 1682 | 1708 | 1733 | 1759 | 1759 |
|  | Misc. | 5525 | 5608 | 5692 | 5778 | 5864 | 5952 | 6042 | 6132 | 6224 | 6317 | 6317 |
|  | Glass | 3747 | 3803 | 3860 | 3918 | 3977 | 4036 | 4097 | 4158 | 4221 | 4284 | 4284 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 14013 | 14223 | 14436 | 14653 | 14873 | 15096 | 15322 | 15552 | 15785 | 16022 | 16022 |
|  | Organic - Green | 5495 | 5578 | 5661 | 5746 | 5832 | 5920 | 6009 | 6099 | 6190 | 6283 | 6283 |
|  | Metal | 1788 | 1815 | 1843 | 1870 | 1898 | 1927 | 1956 | 1985 | 2015 | 2045 | 2045 |
|  | Fines | 435 | 441 | 448 | 454 | 461 | 468 | 475 | 482 | 490 | 497 | 497 |
|  | Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 49957 | 50706 | 51467 | 52239 | 53022 | 53818 | 54625 | 55444 | 56276 | 57120 | 57120 |
| Kerbside recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card | 1192 | 4361 | 4882 | 5418 | 5969 | 6535 | 7117 | 7496 | 7884 | 8283 | 8563 |
|  | Plastics | 0 | 1669 | 1869 | 2074 | 2285 | 2502 | 2724 | 2869 | 3018 | 3171 | 3278 |
|  | Cans | 0 | 619 | 693 | 769 | 848 | 928 | 1011 | 1064 | 1120 | 1176 | 1216 |
|  | Textiles | 0 | 533 | 596 | 662 | 729 | 798 | 869 | 916 | 963 | 1012 | 1046 |
|  | Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2117 | 2230 | 2346 | 2464 | 2548 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Organic - Green | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total kerbside recycling | 1231 | 7183 | 8041 | 8924 | 9831 | 10763 | 13838 | 14575 | 15331 | 16106 | 16651 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 14\% | 16\% | 17\% | 19\% | 20\% | 25\% | 26\% | 27\% | 28\% | 29\% |
| Bring site recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/card mixed | 1825 | 1852 | 1880 | 1908 | 1937 | 1966 | 1996 | 2025 | 2056 | 2087 | 2087 |
|  | Plastic bottles | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
|  | Cans | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
|  | Textiles | 118 | 120 | 121 | 123 | 125 | 127 | 129 | 131 | 133 | 135 | 135 |
|  | Glass | 292 | 297 | 301 | 306 | 310 | 315 | 320 | 324 | 329 | 334 | 334 |
|  | Total bring site recycling | 2282 | 2316 | 2351 | 2386 | 2422 | 2458 | 2495 | 2532 | 2570 | 2609 | 2609 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Total direct recycling |  | 3513 | 9499 | 10392 | 11309 | 12252 | 13221 | 16333 | 17107 | 17901 | 18715 | 19260 |
| As \% of total HHW collected |  | 7\% | 19\% | 20\% | 22\% | 23\% | 25\% | 30\% | 31\% | 32\% | 33\% | 34\% |

Contract year
Year start
Year end

## Composition of residual waste

|  | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | 01 Apr 04 \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected |
| Paper/Card | 9578 | 6570 | 6212 | 5843 | 5461 | 5066 | 4659 | 4456 | 4247 | 4031 | 3750 |
| Plastics | 4803 | 3205 | 3079 | 2948 | 2812 | 2672 | 2527 | 2461 | 2392 | 2321 | 2213 |
| Textiles | 1421 | 909 | 867 | 824 | 779 | 732 | 684 | 661 | 637 | 613 | 578 |
| Misc. | 5525 | 5608 | 5692 | 5778 | 5864 | 5952 | 6042 | 6132 | 6224 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 3454 | 3506 | 3559 | 3612 | 3666 | 3721 | 1660 | 1604 | 1546 | 1486 | 1402 |
| ganic - Kitchen | 14013 | 14223 | 14436 | 14653 | 14873 | 15096 | 15322 | 15552 | 15785 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 5456 | 5578 | 5661 | 5746 | 5832 | 5920 | 6009 | 6099 | 6190 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 1760 | 1167 | 1120 | 1071 | 1020 | 968 | 914 | 889 | 863 | 836 | 796 |
| Fines | 435 | 441 | 448 | 454 | 461 | 468 | 475 | 482 | 490 | 497 | 497 |
| residual waste | 46444 | 41208 | 41075 | 40929 | 40770 | 40597 | 38292 | 38337 | 38375 | 38406 | 37861 |
| HW collected | 93 | 81 | 80 | 78\% | 77 | 75 | 70\% | 69\% | 68\% | 67 | 66\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 7099 | 10808 | 12537 | 12725 | 12916 | 19119 | 19406 | 19697 | 19992 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| N of target achieved | $134 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $102 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| Gap | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 416 | 1228 | 473 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2785 | 2298 | 1796 | 1278 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Bassetlaw Waste Generation and Direct Recycling
Contract year
Year star
Year end

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 17 |  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |  |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 |
| Textiles | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 57120 | 57120 | 57120 | 57120 | 57120 | 57120 | 57120 | 57120 | 57120 | 57120 | 5712 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 8843 | 9058 | 9272 | 9486 | 9700 | 9914 | 9914 | 9914 | 9914 | 9914 | 9914 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3385 | 3467 | 3549 | 3631 | 3713 | 3795 | 3795 | 3795 | 3795 | 3795 | 3795 |
| Cans | 1256 | 1286 | 1317 | 1347 | 1377 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 |
| Textiles | 1080 | 1107 | 1133 | 1159 | 1185 | 1211 | 1211 | 1211 | 1211 | 1211 | 1211 |
| Glass | 2631 | 2695 | 2758 | 2822 | 2886 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 17196 | 17612 | 18029 | 18445 | 18862 | 19278 | 19278 | 19278 | 19278 | 19278 | 19278 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 30\% | 31\% | 32\% | 32\% | 33\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| Glass | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 |
| Total bring site recycling | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 | 2609 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
|  | 19805 | 20221 | 20638 | 21054 | 21471 | 21887 | 21887 | 21887 | 21887 | 21887 | 21887 |
|  | 35\% | 35\% | 36\% | 37\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% |

Contract year
Year start
Year star
Year end
Composition of residual waste

$\begin{array}{llllll}11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16\end{array}$
\#NAME? \#NAME?
NAME? \#NAME?
ME? \#NAME?
\#NAME? \#NAME?
17 \#NAME
18
Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected PNAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME? \#NAME?

| Paper/Card | 3470 | 3256 | 3042 | 2827 | 2613 | 2399 | 2399 | 2399 | 2399 | 2399 | 2399 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2106 | 2024 | 1942 | 1860 | 1778 | 1696 | 1696 | 1696 | 1696 | 1696 | 1696 |
| Textiles | 544 | 518 | 492 | 466 | 440 | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 1319 | 1255 | 1191 | 1128 | 1064 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 757 | 726 | 696 | 665 | 635 | 604 | 604 | 604 | 604 | 604 | 604 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |
| Total residual waste | 37316 | 36899 | 36483 | 36066 | 35650 | 35233 | 35233 | 35233 | 35233 | 35233 | 35233 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 65\% | 65\% | 64\% | 63\% | 62\% | 62\% | 62\% | 62\% | 62\% | 62\% | 62\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| No | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of target achieved | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Gap | 5899 | 5483 | 5066 | 4650 | 4233 | 3817 | 3817 | 3817 | 3817 | 3817 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3817 |  |  |  |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Bassetlaw Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 | 14400 |
| Plastics | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 | 5512 |
| Textiles | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 | 1759 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 | 4284 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16222 |
| Organic - Green | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 | 2045 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 1 2 0}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 9914 | 9914 | 9914 | 9914 | 9914 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3795 | 3795 | 3795 | 3795 | 3795 |
| Cans | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 | 1408 |
| Textiles | 1211 | 1211 | 1211 | 1211 | 1211 |
| Glass | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 | 2950 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 19278 | 19278 | 19278 | 19278 | 19278 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 | 2087 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| Glass | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 334 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 0 9}$ |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{2 6 0 9}$ |  |  |  |  |
| As of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5} \%$ | $\mathbf{5} \%$ | $\mathbf{5} \%$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 1 8 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 8 7}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ |

Contract year
Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 2399 | 2399 | 2399 | 2399 | 2399 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 1696 | 1696 | 1696 | 1696 | 1696 |
| Textiles | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 |
| Misc. | 6317 | 613 | 6317 | 6317 | 6317 |
| Glass | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 | 16022 |
| Organic - Green | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 | 6283 |
| Metal | 604 | 604 | 604 | 604 | 604 |
| Fines | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{3 5 2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 2 3 3}$ |
| ${\% \text { of total } H \text { HW collected }} \mathbf{6 2 \%} }$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ |  |

Targets
Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 | 25704 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Gap | 3817 | 3817 | 3817 | 3817 | 3817 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Broxtowe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 Actuals | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 9556 | 9699 | 9845 | 9992 | 10142 | 10294 | 10449 | 10605 | 10764 | 10926 | 10926 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5069 | 5145 | 5222 | 5300 | 5380 | 5460 | 5542 | 5625 | 5710 | 5795 | 5795 |
| Textiles | 1662 | 1687 | 1712 | 1738 | 1764 | 1790 | 1817 | 1844 | 1872 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Misc. | 6689 | 6789 | 6891 | 6995 | 7099 | 7206 | 7314 | 7424 | 7535 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3448 | 3500 | 3553 | 3606 | 3660 | 3715 | 3771 | 3827 | 3885 | 3943 | 3943 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8309 | 8434 | 8560 | 8689 | 8819 | 8951 | 9086 | 9222 | 9360 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2493 | 2530 | 2568 | 2607 | 2646 | 2685 | 2726 | 2767 | 2808 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 2617 | 2657 | 2697 | 2737 | 2778 | 2820 | 2862 | 2905 | 2949 | 2993 | 2993 |
| Fines | 1703 | 1729 | 1755 | 1781 | 1808 | 1835 | 1863 | 1891 | 1919 | 1948 | 1948 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 41547 | 42170 | 42802 | 43444 | 44096 | 44757 | 45429 | 46110 | 46802 | 47504 | 47504 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper/card | 133 | 3309 | 3704 | 4111 | 4529 | 4958 | 5400 | 5687 | 5982 | 6285 | 6497 |
| Plastics | 0 | 1755 | 1965 | 2181 | 2402 | 2630 | 2864 | 3017 | 3173 | 3334 | 3446 |
| Cans | 8 | 906 | 1015 | 1126 | 1241 | 1358 | 1479 | 1558 | 1639 | 1721 | 1780 |
| Textiles | 2 | 575 | 644 | 715 | 788 | 862 | 939 | 989 | 1040 | 1093 | 1130 |
| Glass | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1949 | 2052 | 2159 | 2268 | 2345 |
| Organic - Kitchen | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 183 | 6546 | 7328 | 8133 | 8959 | 9809 | 12631 | 13303 | 13993 | 14700 | 15198 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 0\% | 16\% | 17\% | 19\% | 20\% | 22\% | 28\% | 29\% | 30\% | 31\% | 32\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 931 | 945 | 959 | 973 | 988 | 1003 | 1018 | 1033 | 1048 | 1064 | 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 75 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 85 | 86 | 86 |
| Cans | 27 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Textiles | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 81 |
| Glass | 303 | 308 | 313 | 317 | 322 | 327 | 332 | 337 | 342 | 347 | 347 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1408 | 1429 | 1450 | 1472 | 1494 | 1517 | 1539 | 1562 | 1586 | 1610 | 1610 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 1591 | 7975 | 8779 | 9605 | 10454 | 11326 | 14170 | 14866 | 15579 | 16310 | 16807 |
|  | 4\% | 19\% | 21\% | 22\% | 24\% | 25\% | 31\% | 32\% | 33\% | 34\% | 35\% |


| Year start Year end |  | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \text { Mar } 04 \\ \text { Actuals } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $01 \text { Apr } 04$ \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { \#NAME? } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Composition of residual waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/Card | 8492 | 5445 | 5181 | 4908 | 4625 | 4333 | 4031 | 3885 | 3734 | 3577 | 3364 |
|  | Plastics | 4994 | 3313 | 3180 | 3041 | 2898 | 2749 | 2596 | 2525 | 2452 | 2376 | 2263 |
|  | Textiles | 1589 | 1039 | 995 | 949 | 901 | 851 | 800 | 776 | 752 | 726 | 689 |
|  | Misc. | 6689 | 6789 | 6891 | 6995 | 7099 | 7206 | 7314 | 7424 | 7535 | 7648 | 7648 |
|  | Glass | 3105 | 3192 | 3240 | 3289 | 3338 | 3388 | 1490 | 1438 | 1384 | 1328 | 1251 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 8309 | 8434 | 8560 | 8689 | 8819 | 8951 | 9086 | 9222 | 9360 | 9501 | 9501 |
|  | Organic - Green | 2493 | 2530 | 2568 | 2607 | 2646 | 2685 | 2726 | 2767 | 2808 | 2850 | 2850 |
|  | Metal | 2582 | 1722 | 1654 | 1582 | 1508 | 1432 | 1353 | 1317 | 1279 | 1240 | 1182 |
|  | Fines | 1703 | 1729 | 1755 | 1781 | 1808 | 1835 | 1863 | 1891 | 1919 | 1948 | 1948 |
|  | Total residual waste | 39956 | 34195 | 34024 | 33840 | 33642 | 33432 | 31259 | 31245 | 31223 | 31194 | 30696 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 96\% | 81\% | 79\% | 78\% | 76\% | 75\% | 69\% | 68\% | 67\% | 66\% | 65\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PMSU Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Target |  | 4217 | 7704 | 9123 | 9260 | 9399 | 15900 | 16139 | 16381 | 16626 | 16626 |
|  | Compliance? |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes |
|  | \% of target achieved |  | 189\% | 114\% | 105\% | 113\% | 120\% | 89\% | 92\% | 95\% | 98\% | 101\% |
|  | Gap |  | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 1730 | 1273 | 802 | 316 | n/a |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Broxtowe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year star
Year end

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |  |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 |
| Textiles | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Misc. | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 |
| Fines | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 | 47504 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 6710 | 6872 | 7035 | 7197 | 7360 | 7522 | 7522 | 7522 | 7522 | 7522 | 7522 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3559 | 3645 | 3732 | 3818 | 3904 | 3990 | 3990 | 3990 | 3990 | 3990 | 3990 |
| Cans | 1838 | 1882 | 1927 | 1971 | 2016 | 2061 | 2061 | 2061 | 2061 | 2061 | 2061 |
| Textiles | 1167 | 1195 | 1223 | 1252 | 1280 | 1308 | 1308 | 1308 | 1308 | 1308 | 1308 |
| Glass | 2421 | 2480 | 2539 | 2597 | 2656 | 2715 | 2715 | 2715 | 2715 | 2715 | 2715 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 15695 | 16075 | 16456 | 16836 | 17216 | 17596 | 17596 | 17596 | 17596 | 17596 | 17596 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 33\% | 34\% | 35\% | 35\% | 36\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Cans | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Textiles | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| Glass | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 17305 | 17685 | 18065 | 18445 | 18826 | 19206 | 19206 | 19206 | 19206 | 19206 | 19206 |
|  | 36\% | 37\% | 38\% | 39\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 3152 | 2989 | 2827 | 2664 | 2502 | 2339 | 2339 | 2339 | 2339 | 2339 | 2339 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2150 | 2064 | 1978 | 1892 | 1806 | 1719 | 1719 | 1719 | 1719 | 1719 | 1719 |
| Textiles | 652 | 624 | 595 | 567 | 539 | 511 | 511 | 511 | 511 | 511 | 511 |
| Misc. | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 1174 | 1116 | 1057 | 998 | 940 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 1123 | 1079 | 1034 | 990 | 945 | 901 | 901 | 901 | 901 | 901 | 901 |
| Fines | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 |
| Total residual waste | 30199 | 29819 | 29439 | 29059 | 28678 | 28298 | 28298 | 28298 | 28298 | 28298 | 28298 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 64\% | 63\% | 62\% | 61\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Broxtowe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start
Year end

| 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 | 10926 |
| Plastics | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 | 5795 |
| Textiles | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Misc. | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 | 3943 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 | 2993 |
| Fines | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 7 5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5 0 4}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 7522 | 7522 | 7522 | 7522 | 7522 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 3990 | 3990 | 3990 | 3990 | 3990 |
| Cans | 2061 | 2061 | 2061 | 2061 | 2061 |
| Textiles | 1308 | 1308 | 1308 | 1308 | 1308 |
| Glass | 2715 | 2715 | 2715 | 2715 | 2715 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| kerbside reycling | $\mathbf{1 7 5 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 9 6}$ |
| As $\%$ of total $\boldsymbol{H H W}$ collected | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 | 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Cans | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Textiles | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| Glass | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 | 347 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 | 1610 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 19206 | 19206 | 19206 | 19206 | 19206 |
|  | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 2339 | 2339 | 2339 | 2339 | 2339 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 1719 | 1719 | 1719 | 1719 | 1719 |
| Textiles | 511 | 511 | 511 | 511 | 511 |
| Misc. | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 | 7648 |
| Glass | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 | 9501 |
| Organic - Green | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 | 2850 |
| Metal | 901 | 901 | 901 | 901 | 901 |
| Fines | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 | 1948 |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{2 8 2 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 2 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 2 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 2 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 2 9 8}$ |
| $\%$ of total $\mathbf{H H W}$ collected | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 | 21377 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Gap | 2171 | 2171 | 2171 | 2171 | 2171 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Gedling Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 Actuals | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> Projected |

Composition of District waste


Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 2580 | 3508 | 3927 | 4358 | 4801 | 5256 | 5724 | 6029 | 6341 | 6662 | 6887 | 7112 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 1861 | 2083 | 2311 | 2546 | 2788 | 3036 | 3198 | 3364 | 3534 | 3653 | 3773 |
| Cans | 41 | 961 | 1076 | 1194 | 1315 | 1440 | 1568 | 1651 | 1737 | 1825 | 1886 | 1948 |
| Textiles | 18 | 610 | 683 | 758 | 835 | 914 | 995 | 1048 | 1103 | 1159 | 1198 | 1237 |
| Glass | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2066 | 2176 | 2288 | 2404 | 2485 | 2567 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 3018 | 6939 | 7768 | 8621 | 9497 | 10398 | 13389 | 14102 | 14833 | 15582 | 16110 | 16637 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 7\% | 16\% | 17\% | 19\% | 20\% | 22\% | 28\% | 29\% | 30\% | 31\% | 32\% | 33\% |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 945 | 959 | 974 | 988 | 1003 | 1018 | 1033 | 1049 | 1064 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 121 | 123 | 124 | 126 | 128 | 130 | 132 | 134 | 136 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| Cans | 51 | 52 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Textiles | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Glass | 419 | 425 | 432 | 438 | 445 | 452 | 458 | 465 | 472 | 479 | 479 | 479 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1606 | 1630 | 1654 | 1679 | 1704 | 1730 | 1756 | 1782 | 1809 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
|  | 4623 | 8569 | 9422 | 10300 | 11201 | 12128 | 15145 | 15884 | 16641 | 17418 | 17946 | 18473 |
|  | 10\% | 19\% | 21\% | 22\% | 24\% | 26\% | 31\% | 32\% | 34\% | 35\% | 36\% | 37\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 6604 | 5814 | 5535 | 5246 | 4947 | 4638 | 4318 | 4164 | 4005 | 3839 | 3614 | 3389 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 5252 | 3470 | 3328 | 3180 | 3028 | 2870 | 2707 | 2631 | 2553 | 2472 | 2352 | 2232 |
| Textiles | 1673 | 1107 | 1060 | 1011 | 960 | 908 | 854 | 829 | 803 | 776 | 736 | 697 |
| Misc. | 7090 | 7197 | 7305 | 7414 | 7525 | 7638 | 7753 | 7869 | 7987 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 2965 | 3285 | 3334 | 3384 | 3435 | 3486 | 1473 | 1416 | 1357 | 1296 | 1215 | 1133 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8808 | 8940 | 9074 | 9210 | 9348 | 9489 | 9631 | 9775 | 9922 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 2535 | 2682 | 2722 | 2763 | 2805 | 2847 | 2889 | 2933 | 2977 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 2683 | 1804 | 1730 | 1655 | 1576 | 1495 | 1410 | 1372 | 1331 | 1290 | 1228 | 1166 |
| Fines | 1806 | 1833 | 1860 | 1888 | 1916 | 1945 | 1974 | 2004 | 2034 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
| Total residual waste | 39416 | 36131 | 35948 | 35751 | 35541 | 35315 | 33010 | 32993 | 32969 | 32936 | 32409 | 31881 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 90\% | 81\% | 79\% | 78\% | 76\% | 74\% | 69\% | 68\% | 66\% | 65\% | 64\% | 63\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| 6258 | 9528 | 11052 | 11218 | 11386 | 16854 | 17107 | 17364 | 17624 | 17624 | 22659 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| $137 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $107 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $96 \%$ | 999 | $102 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| n/a | 105 | 753 | 17 | n/a | 1710 | 1223 | 722 | 206 | n/a | 4186 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Gedling Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 |
| Textiles | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| Misc. | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 | 50354 |
| Paper/card | 7285 | 7457 | 7629 | 7802 | 7974 | 7974 | 7974 | 7974 | 7974 | 7974 | 7974 |
| Plastics | 3864 | 3955 | 4047 | 4138 | 4230 | 4230 | 4230 | 4230 | 4230 | 4230 | 4230 |
| Cans | 1995 | 2043 | 2090 | 2137 | 2184 | 2184 | 2184 | 2184 | 2184 | 2184 | 2184 |
| Textiles | 1267 | 1297 | 1327 | 1357 | 1387 | 1387 | 1387 | 1387 | 1387 | 1387 | 1387 |
| Glass | 2629 | 2691 | 2753 | 2815 | 2878 | 2878 | 2878 | 2878 | 2878 | 2878 | 2878 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 17040 | 17443 | 17846 | 18249 | 18652 | 18652 | 18652 | 18652 | 18652 | 18652 | 18652 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 34\% | 35\% | 35\% | 36\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| Cans | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Textiles | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Glass | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 | 1836 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
|  | 18876 | 19279 | 19682 | 20085 | 20488 | 20488 | 20488 | 20488 | 20488 | 20488 | 20488 |
|  | 37\% | 38\% | 39\% | 40\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 3216 | 3044 | 2872 | 2699 | 2527 | 2527 | 2527 | 2527 | 2527 | 2527 | 2527 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2141 | 2050 | 1958 | 1867 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 |
| Textiles | 667 | 637 | 607 | 577 | 547 | 547 | 547 | 547 | 547 | 547 | 547 |
| Misc. | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 1071 | 1009 | 947 | 885 | 823 | 823 | 823 | 823 | 823 | 823 | 823 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 1119 | 1072 | 1025 | 977 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 930 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
| Total residual waste | 31478 | 31075 | 30672 | 30269 | 29866 | 29866 | 29866 | 29866 | 29866 | 29866 | 29866 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 63\% | 62\% | 61\% | 60\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $83 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Gap | 3783 | 3380 | 2978 | 2575 | 2172 | 2172 | 2172 | 2172 | 2172 | 2172 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Gedling Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
ear start

| 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 | 11581 |
| Plastics | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 | 6143 |
| Textiles | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| Misc. | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 | 4179 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10071 | 1071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 | 3172 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3 5 4}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 7974 | 7974 | 7974 | 7974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4230 | 4230 | 4230 | 4230 |
| Cans | 2184 | 2184 | 2184 | 2184 |
| Textiles | 1387 | 1387 | 1387 | 1387 |
| Glass | 2878 | 2878 | 2878 | 2878 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 18652 | 18652 | 18652 | 18652 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| Cans | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Textiles | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Glass | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{1 8 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 6}$ |
| $\%$ of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 8 8}$ |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 2527 | 2527 | 2527 | 2527 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 | 1776 |
| Textiles | 547 | 547 | 547 | 547 |
| Misc. | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 | 8107 |
| Glass | 823 | 823 | 823 | 823 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 | 10071 |
| Organic - Green | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 | 3021 |
| Metal | 930 | 930 | 930 | 930 |
| Fines | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 | 2065 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 9 8 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 8 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 8 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 8 6 6}$ |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ |  |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 | 22659 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Gap | 2172 | 2172 | 2172 | 2172 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Actuals | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste


Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 425 | 3576 | 4004 | 4443 | 4895 | 5359 | 5836 | 6147 | 6465 | 6792 | 7022 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 1897 | 2124 | 2357 | 2596 | 2843 | 3096 | 3260 | 3429 | 3603 |  |
| Cans | 0 | 980 | 1097 | 1217 | 1341 | 1168 | 1599 | 1684 | 1771 | 1860 |  |
| Textiles | 0 | 622 | 696 | 773 | 851 | 932 | 1015 | 1069 | 1124 | 1181 |  |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1223 |  |  |  |  |
| Organic - green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2106 | 2218 | 2333 | 2451 |  |
| Organic - kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 918 | 931 | 945 | 959 | 974 | 988 | 1003 | 1018 | 1034 | 1049 | 1049 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Textiles | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 77 | 78 | 78 |
| Glass | 155 | 158 | 160 | 162 | 165 | 167 | 170 | 172 | 175 | 178 | 178 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1156 | 1173 | 1191 | 1209 | 1227 | 1245 | 1264 | 1283 | 1302 | 1322 | 1322 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 1581 | 8248 | 9111 | 9998 | 10910 | 11847 | 14915 | 15661 | 16425 | 17209 | 17747 |
|  | 4\% | 18\% | 20\% | 21\% | 23\% | 24\% | 30\% | 31\% | 32\% | 34\% | 35\% |


| Year start Year end |  | 31 Mar 04 Actuals | 01 Apr 04 \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? <br> Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? <br> \#NAME? Projected | \#NAME? \#NAME? Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Composition of residual waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper/Card | 8985 | 5975 | 5691 | 5397 | 5093 | 4778 | 4453 | 4297 | 4135 | 3967 | 3737 |
|  | Plastics | 5478 | 3663 | 3520 | 3372 | 3218 | 3059 | 2894 | 2819 | 2742 | 2661 | 2539 |
|  | Textiles | 1728 | 1132 | 1084 | 1034 | 983 | 930 | 875 | 849 | 822 | 795 | 755 |
|  | Misc. | 7229 | 7338 | 7448 | 7559 | 7673 | 7788 | 7905 | 8023 | 8144 | 8266 | 8266 |
|  | Glass | 3572 | 3625 | 3679 | 3735 | 3791 | 3848 | 1799 | 1746 | 1690 | 1633 | 1550 |
|  | Organic - Kitchen | 8980 | 9115 | 9252 | 9391 | 9531 | 9674 | 9819 | 9967 | 10116 | 10268 | 10268 |
|  | Organic - Green | 2694 | 2735 | 2776 | 2817 | 2859 | 2902 | 2946 | 2990 | 3035 | 3080 | 3080 |
|  | Metal | 2814 | 1876 | 1802 | 1725 | 1646 | 1563 | 1478 | 1439 | 1399 | 1357 | 1294 |
|  | Fines | 1841 | 1869 | 1897 | 1925 | 1954 | 1983 | 2013 | 2043 | 2074 | 2105 | 2105 |
|  | Total residual waste | 43320 | 37327 | 37148 | 36954 | 36747 | 36525 | 34182 | 34173 | 34156 | 34131 | 33593 |
|  | As \% of total HHW collected | 96\% | 82\% | 80\% | 79\% | 77\% | 76\% | 70\% | 69\% | 68\% | 66\% | 65\% |
| Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PMSU Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Target |  | 4558 | 8327 | 9860 | 10008 | 10158 | 17184 | 17442 | 17703 | 17969 | 17969 |
|  | Compliance? |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
|  | \% of target achieved |  | 181\% | 109\% | 101\% | 109\% | 117\% | 87\% | 90\% | 93\% | 96\% | 99\% |
|  | Gap |  | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 2269 | 1781 | 1278 | 760 | 222 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAM |
| ear end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11808 | 11808 | 11808 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6263 | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 2054 | 2054 | 2054 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4261 | 4261 | 4261 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 3234 | 3234 | 3234 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 7252 | 7427 | 7603 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 3847 | 3940 | 4033 |
| Cans | 1986 | 2034 | 2083 |
| Textiles | 1261 | 1292 | 1322 |
| Glass | 2617 | 2680 | 2744 |
| Organic - green | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 9 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 7 8 4}$ |
| Total kerbside reccling | $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ |  |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Textiles | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Glass | 178 | 178 | 178 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| As of total HHW collected |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 8 2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 0 6}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 3507 | 3332 | 3156 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 2417 | 2324 | 2231 |
| Textiles | 715 | 684 | 654 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 1467 | 1403 | 1340 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 1231 | 1183 | 1134 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 3 0 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 6 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 2 3 4}$ |
| Total residual waste |  |  |  |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $79 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Gap | 4818 | 4408 | 3997 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste


Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 7779 | 7954 | 8130 | 8130 | 8130 | 8130 | 8130 | 8130 | 8130 | 8130 | 8130 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4126 | 4219 | 4312 | 4312 | 4312 | 4312 | 4312 | 4312 | 4312 | 4312 | 4312 |
| Cans | 2131 | 2179 | 2227 | 2227 | 2227 | 2227 | 2227 | 2227 | 2227 | 2227 | 2227 |
| Textiles | 1353 | 1383 | 1414 | 1414 | 1414 | 1414 | 1414 | 1414 | 1414 | 1414 | 1414 |
| Glass | 2807 | 2870 | 2934 | 2934 | 2934 | 2934 | 2934 | 2934 | 2934 | 2934 | 2934 |
| Organic - green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 18195 | 18606 | 19017 | 19017 | 19017 | 19017 | 19017 | 19017 | 19017 | 19017 | 19017 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 35\% | 36\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 | 1049 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 7 |
| Textiles | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Glass | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 | 1322 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  | 19517 | 19928 | 20339 | 20339 | 20339 | 20339 | 20339 | 20339 | 20339 | 20339 | 20339 |
|  | 38\% | 39\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 2980 | 2805 | 2629 | 2629 | 2629 | 2629 | 2629 | 2629 | 2629 | 2629 | 2629 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 2137 | 2044 | 1951 | 1951 | 1951 | 1951 | 1951 | 1951 | 1951 | 1951 | 1951 |
| Textiles | 623 | 592 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 1277 | 1213 | 1150 | 1150 | 1150 | 1150 | 1150 | 1150 | 1150 | 1150 | 1150 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 1086 | 1038 | 990 | 990 | 990 | 990 | 990 | 990 | 990 | 990 | 990 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total residual waste | 31823 | 31412 | 31001 | 31001 | 31001 | 31001 | 31001 | 31001 | 31001 | 31001 | 31001 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 62\% | 61\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 | 23103 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $84 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Gap | 3586 | 3175 | 2764 | 2764 | 2764 | 2764 | 2764 | 2764 | 2764 | 2764 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Mansfield Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11808 | 11808 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 6263 | 6263 |
| Textiles | 2054 | 2054 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 4261 | 4261 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 3234 | 3234 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3 4 0}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 8130 | 8130 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 4312 | 4312 |
| Cans | 2227 | 2227 |
| Textiles | 1414 | 1414 |
| Glass | 2934 | 2934 |
| Organic - green | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - kitchen | 0 | 0 |
| $\%$ of total $H H W$ collected | $\mathbf{1 9 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 0 1 7}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 1049 | 1049 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 17 | 17 |
| Textiles | 78 | 78 |
| Glass | 178 | 178 |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ |
| As \% of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 3 9}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 2629 | 2629 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 1951 | 1951 |
| Textiles | 562 | 562 |
| Misc. | 8266 | 8266 |
| Glass | 1150 | 1150 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 10268 | 10268 |
| Organic - Green | 3080 | 3080 |
| Metal | 990 | 990 |
| Fines | 2105 | 2105 |
| Total residual waste | $\mathbf{3 1 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 0 0 1}$ |
| $\%$ of total $\boldsymbol{H H W}$ collected | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 23103 | 23103 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No |
| \% of target achieved | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Gap | 2764 | 2764 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Newark Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year end

| Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | JAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAM | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Actuals | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11545 | 11718 | 11894 | 12073 | 12254 | 12438 | 12624 | 12813 | 13006 | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4419 | 4486 | 4553 | 4621 | 4691 | 4761 | 4832 | 4905 | 4978 | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 |
| Textiles | 1411 | 1432 | 1453 | 1475 | 1497 | 1520 | 1542 | 1565 | 1589 | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |
| Misc. | 5065 | 5141 | 5218 | 5296 | 5376 | 5457 | 5538 | 5621 | 5706 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3435 | 3486 | 3539 | 3592 | 3645 | 3700 | 3756 | 3812 | 3869 | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12846 | 13039 | 13234 | 13433 | 13634 | 13839 | 14046 | 14257 | 14471 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5038 | 5113 | 5190 | 5268 | 5347 | 5427 | 5508 | 5591 | 5675 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1640 | 1664 | 1689 | 1714 | 1740 | 1766 | 1793 | 1820 | 1847 | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 |
| Fines | 398 | 404 | 410 | 417 | 423 | 429 | 436 | 442 | 449 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Total HHW collected (excl schools and CGs) | 45796 | 46483 | 47181 | 47888 | 48607 | 49336 | 50076 | 50827 | 51589 | 52363 | 52363 | 52363 |
| Paper/card | 1072 | 3998 | 4476 | 4967 | 5472 | 5991 | 6524 | 6871 | 7228 | 7593 | 7850 | 8107 |
| Plastics | 0 | 1530 | 1713 | 1901 | 2095 | 2293 | 2497 | 2630 | 2767 | 2906 | 3005 | 3103 |
| Cans | 0 | 568 | 636 | 705 | 777 | 851 | 926 | 976 | 1026 | 1078 | 1115 | 1151 |
| Textiles | 0 | 488 | 547 | 607 | 669 | 732 | 797 | 840 | 883 | 928 | 959 | 990 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1941 | 2044 | 2150 | 2259 | 2335 | 2412 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 1072 | 6585 | 7371 | 8180 | 9012 | 9867 | 12686 | 13361 | 14054 | 14764 | 15264 | 15764 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 14\% | 16\% | 17\% | 19\% | 20\% | 25\% | 26\% | 27\% | 28\% | 29\% | 30\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 695 | 706 | 716 | 727 | 738 | 749 | 760 | 772 | 783 | 795 | 795 | 795 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 31 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Textiles | 41 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 349 | 354 | 360 | 365 | 371 | 376 | 382 | 388 | 393 | 399 | 399 | 399 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1117 | 1133 | 1150 | 1167 | 1185 | 1203 | 1221 | 1239 | 1258 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
|  | 2188 | 7718 | 8522 | 9348 | 10197 | 11069 | 13907 | 14600 | 15312 | 16041 | 16541 | 17040 |
|  | 5\% | 17\% | 18\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% | 28\% | 29\% | 30\% | 31\% | 32\% | 33\% |

Year star
Year end

## omposition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 9778 | 7015 | 6702 | 6379 | 6044 | 5698 | 5340 | 5170 | 4995 | 4813 | 4556 | 4299 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4419 | 2955 | 2840 | 2720 | 2596 | 2468 | 2335 | 2275 | 2212 | 2147 | 2048 | 1950 |
| Textiles | 1370 | 902 | 865 | 826 | 785 | 744 | 701 | 681 | 660 | 639 | 607 | 576 |
| Misc. | 5065 | 5141 | 5218 | 5296 | 5376 | 5457 | 5538 | 5621 | 5706 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3086 | 3132 | 3179 | 3227 | 3275 | 3324 | 1433 | 1380 | 1326 | 1269 | 1193 | 1116 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12846 | 13039 | 13234 | 13433 | 13634 | 13839 | 14046 | 14257 | 14471 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5038 | 5113 | 5190 | 5268 | 5347 | 5427 | 5508 | 5591 | 5675 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1608 | 1064 | 1021 | 976 | 930 | 882 | 832 | 809 | 785 | 760 | 724 | 687 |
| Fines | 398 | 404 | 410 | 417 | 423 | 429 | 436 | 442 | 449 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Total residual waste | 43608 | 38766 | 38659 | 38540 | 38410 | 38266 | 36169 | 36227 | 36278 | 36322 | 35823 | 35323 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 95\% | 83\% | 82\% | 80\% | 79\% | 78\% | 72\% | 71\% | 70\% | 69\% | 68\% | 67\% |

Targets
MSU Targets

| Target | 4648 | 8493 | 10057 | 10207 | 10361 | 17527 | 17789 | 18056 | 18327 | 18327 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $166 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $107 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Gap | n/a | n/a | 709 | 10 | n/a | 3620 | 3189 | 2745 | 2286 | 1787 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Newark Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year start
Year end

| 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME? | \#NAME? | AME? | AME? | AME? | \#NAME? | AME? | AME? | NAME? | E? | \#NAME? | E? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste


Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 8303 | 8500 | 8696 | 8892 | 9089 | 9089 | 9089 | 9089 | 9089 | 9089 | 9089 | 9089 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 3178 | 3254 | 3329 | 3404 | 3479 | 3479 | 3479 | 3479 | 3479 | 3479 | 3479 | 3479 |
| Cans | 1179 | 1207 | 1235 | 1263 | 1291 | 1291 | 1291 | 1291 | 1291 | 1291 | 1291 | 1291 |
| Textiles | 1014 | 1038 | 1062 | 1086 | 1110 | 1110 | 1110 | 1110 | 1110 | 1110 | 1110 | 1110 |
| Glass | 2470 | 2529 | 2587 | 2645 | 2704 | 2704 | 2704 | 2704 | 2704 | 2704 | 2704 | 2704 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 16145 | 16527 | 16909 | 17291 | 17673 | 17673 | 17673 | 17673 | 17673 | 17673 | 17673 | 17673 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 31\% | 32\% | 32\% | 33\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 | 795 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Textiles | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 |
| Total bring site recycling | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 | 1277 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
|  | 17422 | 17804 | 18186 | 18567 | 18949 | 18949 | 18949 | 18949 | 18949 | 18949 | 18949 | 18949 |
|  | 33\% | 34\% | 35\% | 35\% | 36\% | 36\% | 36\% | 36\% | 36\% | 36\% | 36\% | 36\% |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 4103 | 3906 | 3710 | 3513 | 3317 | 3317 | 3317 | 3317 | 3317 | 3317 | 3317 | 3317 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 1875 | 1800 | 1724 | 1649 | 1574 | 1574 | 1574 | 1574 | 1574 | 1574 | 1574 | 1574 |
| Textiles | 552 | 528 | 504 | 480 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Misc. | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 1058 | 999 | 941 | 883 | 824 | 824 | 824 | 824 | 824 | 824 | 824 | 824 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 659 | 632 | 604 | 576 | 548 | 548 | 548 | 548 | 548 | 548 | 548 | 548 |
| Fines | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Total residual waste | 34941 | 34559 | 34177 | 33796 | 33414 | 33414 | 33414 | 33414 | 33414 | 33414 | 33414 | 33414 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 67\% | 66\% | 65\% | 65\% | 64\% | 64\% | 64\% | 64\% | 64\% | 64\% | 64\% | 64\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 23563 | 23563 | 23563 | 23563 | 23563 | 23563 | 23563 | 23563 | 23563 | 23563 | 23563 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| No | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of target achieved | $74 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Gap | 6141 | 5760 | 5378 | 4996 | 4614 | 4614 | 4614 | 4614 | 4614 | 4614 | 4614 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4614 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Newark Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year start | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | Projected | Projected |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 13201 | 13201 | 13201 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 5053 | 5053 | 5053 |
| Textiles | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |
| Misc. | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 3927 | 3927 | 3927 |
| Organic - itthen | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 1875 | 1875 | 1875 |
| Fines | 456 | $\mathbf{4 5 6}$ | 456 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 2 3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 3 6 3}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 9089 | 9089 | 9089 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 3479 | 3479 | 3479 |
| Cans | 1291 | 1291 | 1291 |
| Textiles | 1110 | 1110 | 1110 |
| Glass | 2704 | 2704 | 2704 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Green | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 6 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 6 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 6 7 3}$ |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ |  |

Bring site recycling

| Paper/card mixed | 795 | 795 | 795 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastic bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Textiles | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Glass | 399 | 399 | 399 |
| Total bring site recycling | $\mathbf{1 2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 7}$ |
| As of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 8 9 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9 4 9}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ |

Year star
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 3317 | 3317 | 3317 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 1574 | 1574 | 1574 |
| Textiles | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| Misc. | 5791 | 5791 | 5791 |
| Glass | 824 | 824 | 824 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 14688 | 14688 | 14688 |
| Organic - Green | 5760 | 5760 | 5760 |
| Metal | 548 | 548 | 548 |
| Fines | 456 | 456 | 456 |
| residual waste | $\mathbf{3 3 4 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 4 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 4 1 4}$ |
| $\%$ of total $H$ HW collected | $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 23563 | 23563 | 23563 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | No | No | No |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Gap | 4614 | 4614 | 4614 |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Rushcliffe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling
Contract year
Year start
Year end

Composition of District waste


Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 0 | 3332 | 3730 | 4139 | 4560 | 4992 | 5437 | 5726 | 6023 | 6327 | 6541 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6049 | 6371 | 6701 | 7040 | 7278 |
| Organic - Green | 96 | 1454 | 1627 | 1806 | 1990 | 2178 | 2372 | 2498 | 2628 | 2761 | 2854 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 96 | 4785 | 5357 | 5945 | 6549 | 7170 | 13858 | 14596 | 15352 | 16128 | 16674 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 0\% | 12\% | 14\% | 15\% | 16\% | 17\% | 33\% | 34\% | 36\% | 37\% | 38\% |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 1821 | 1848 | 1876 | 1904 | 1933 | 1962 | 1991 | 2021 | 2051 | 2082 | 2082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cans | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 139 | 141 | 143 | 145 | 148 | 150 | 152 | 154 | 157 | 159 | 159 |
| Glass | 993 | 1008 | 1023 | 1038 | 1054 | 1069 | 1085 | 1102 | 1118 | 1135 | 1135 |
| Total bring site recycling | 2983 | 3028 | 3073 | 3119 | 3166 | 3214 | 3262 | 3311 | 3360 | 3411 | 3411 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |
|  | 3080 | 7813 | 8430 | 9064 | 9715 | 10384 | 17120 | 17906 | 18713 | 19539 | 20085 |
|  | 8\% | 20\% | 21\% | 23\% | 24\% | 25\% | 41\% | 42\% | 44\% | 45\% | 46\% |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste


Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 4648 | 7077 | 8380 | 8506 | 8634 | 14605 | 14824 | 15046 | 15272 | 15272 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance? | Yes | Yes | Yes | $Y$ es | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| $\%$ of target achieved | $168 \%$ | $119 \%$ | $108 \%$ | $114 \%$ | $120 \%$ | $117 \%$ | $121 \%$ | $124 \%$ | $128 \%$ | $132 \%$ |
| Gap | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

## Rushcliffe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

Contract year
Year star
Year end

| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | AME? | AME? | NAME? | AME? | NAME? | NAME? | JAME? | NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

| Paper/Card | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 |
| Textiles | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 |
| Misc. | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 |
| Organic - Green | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 480 |
| Metal | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 |
| Fines | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 6756 | 6919 | 7083 | 7246 | 7410 | 7574 | 7574 | 7574 | 7574 | 7574 | 7574 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 7517 | 7699 | 7881 | 8063 | 8245 | 8427 | 8427 | 8427 | 8427 | 8427 | 8427 |
| Organic - Green | 2948 | 3019 | 3090 | 3162 | 3233 | 3305 | 3305 | 3305 | 3305 | 3305 | 3305 |
| Total kerbside recycling | 17220 | 17637 | 18054 | 18471 | 18888 | 19305 | 19305 | 19305 | 19305 | 19305 | 19305 |

Bring site recycling

As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Glass | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 |
| Total bring site recycling | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |
|  | 20631 | 21048 | 21465 | 21882 | 22299 | 22716 | 22716 | 22716 | 22716 | 22716 | 22716 |
|  | 47\% | 48\% | 49\% | 50\% | 51\% | 52\% | 52\% | 52\% | 52\% | 52\% | 52\% |

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 2163 | 1999 | 1835 | 1672 | 1508 | 1345 | 1345 | 1345 | 1345 | 1345 | 1345 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastics | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 |
| Textiles | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 |
| Misc. | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 4723 | 4541 | 4359 | 4177 | 3995 | 3813 | 3813 | 3813 | 3813 | 3813 | 3813 |
| Organic - Green | 1852 | 1781 | 1709 | 1638 | 1567 | 1495 | 1495 | 1495 | 1495 | 1495 | 1495 |
| Metal | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 |
| Fines | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
| Total residual waste | 23004 | 22587 | 22170 | 21753 | 21336 | 20919 | 20919 | 20919 | 20919 | 20919 | 20919 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 53\% | 52\% | 51\% | 50\% | 49\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% | 48\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

| Target | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 | 19636 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Compliance? | Yes |  | $116 \%$ | $116 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of target achieved | $105 \%$ | $107 \%$ | $109 \%$ | $111 \%$ | $114 \%$ | $116 \%$ | $116 \%$ | $116 \%$ | $116 \%$ | $116 \%$ | $116 \%$ |
| Gap | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Rushcliffe Waste Generation and Direct Recycling

| Contract year |  | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year start |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  |  | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |  |  |  |
|  |  | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

Composition of District waste

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Paper/Card | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 |
| Plastics | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 | 4211 |
| Textiles | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 | 1344 |
| Misc. | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 | 3273 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 12240 | 124240 |
| Organic - Green | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 | 4800 |
| Metal | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 |
| Fines | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6 3 5}$ |

Kerbside recycling

| Paper/card | 7574 | 7574 | 7574 | 7574 | 7574 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textiles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 8427 | 8427 | 8427 | 8427 | 8427 |
| Organic - Green | 3305 | 3305 | 3305 | 3305 | 3305 |
| Total kerbside recycling | $\mathbf{1 9 3 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 0 5}$ |
| As $\%$ of total HHW collected | $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ |

Bring site recycling
otal direct recycling
As \% of total HHW collected

| Paper/card mixed | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 | 2082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plastic bottles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cans | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Textiles | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Glass | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 | 1135 |
| Total bring site recycling | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 | 3411 |
| As \% of total HHW collected | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |
|  | 22716 | 22716 | 22716 | 22716 | 22716 |
|  | 52\% | 52\% | 52\% | 52\% | 52\% |

Targets
PMSU Targets

Year start
Year end

Composition of residual waste

| Paper/Card | 1345 | 1345 | 1345 | 1345 | 1345 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plastics | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 | 4208 |
| Textiles | 11185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 | 1185 |
| Misc. | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 | 4826 |
| Glass | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 | 2138 |
| Organic - Kitchen | 3813 | 3813 | 3813 | 3813 | 3813 |
| Organic - Green | 1495 | 1495 | 1495 | 1495 | 1495 |
| Metal | 1430 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 |
| Fines | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9 1 9}$ |
| Total residual waste |  |  |  |  |  |


| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |

As $\%$ of total HHW collected

## Nottingham CC Waste Management Project

Capture rate calculations

| Contract year | Day 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year start |  | 01 Apr 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
| Year end | 31 Mar 04 | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? | \#NAME? |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Capture rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct recycling (capture rate for each component) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kerbside recycling - dry recyclables |  | 34\% | 38\% | 41\% | 45\% | 48\% | 52\% | 54\% | 56\% | 58\% | 59\% | 61\% | 63\% | 64\% | 66\% | 67\% |
| Kerbside recycling - organic |  | 34\% | 38\% | 41\% | 45\% | 48\% | 52\% | 54\% | 56\% | 58\% | 59\% | 61\% | 63\% | 64\% | 66\% | 67\% |
| HWRC's (capture rate of total stream) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County <br> HWRC recycling | 13\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% |

Nottingham C
Capture rate C

Direct recycling (ca
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & 69 \% & 69 \% & 69 \% & 69 \% & 69 \% & 69 \% & 69 \% & 69 \% & 69 \% & 69 \% \\ 699 & 69 \% & 69 \% & 69 \%\end{array}\right)$

## © Deloitte \& Touche

## DISCLAIMER

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

This Model ('the Model') has been constructed for a specific purpose and is not intended for distribution to third parties. Third parties who obtain copies of the Model should be aware of the following:
the Model may not be suitable for purposes, other than the specific purpose for which it was designed, and the interests of third parties may not have been anticipated;
the Model was not intended for use by third parties and may not be designed so that it can be readily operated in a correct manner by such parties;
the Model may be a development version and may not be complete or, in the event that development of the Model has concluded, material events may have occurred since completion, which are not reflected in the Model;
the Model may not have been subject to independent testing and where it has been tested, this may not provide an appropriate degree of assurance for all possible uses of the Model.

Accordingly, in the absence of written consent to the contrary, no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) is made by Deloitte \& Touche and no responsibility is taken or accepted as to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of the Model and we exclude liability thereof. In particular, no responsibility is taken or accepted and all liability is excluded for the validity of the assumptions, the accuracy of the computations or the reasonableness and achieveability of the projections comprised herein .

Nottingham CC Waste Management Project
Input sheet
Manual input
Text in blue italics is the name corresponding to the input used throughout the workbook
Contract start date 01 Apr 04

| Operating Costs ( $\mathbf{E} / \mathrm{T}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bring sites | 15.00 |
| HWRCs | 13.50 |
| MRF processing | 20.00 |
| Green waste composter | 10.00 |
| In-vessel composting | 15.00 |
| MBT facility | 15.00 |
| Transfer stations | 5.00 |
| Landfill | 19.50 |
| Landfill transfer | 5.00 |
| Landfill tax | 14.00 |
| EfW basic rate | 27.11 |
| EfW reduced rate | 7.10 |
| Recycling credit rate | 30.31 |
| Revenue (£/T) |  |
| Compost from green waste | 2.00 |
| Compost from IVC | 0.50 |
| MRF recyclate | 20.00 |
| Bring site recyclate | 15.00 |
| Tradable permits | 10.00 |
| MBT recyclate | 10.00 |
| Capital Costs ( $£$ ) |  |
| HWRC upgrades | 100,000 |
| MRF - max capacity 75,000 Tp.a. | 6,000,000 |
| MRF - max capacity 30,000Tp.a. | 2,500,000 |
| Green waste composter | 1,000,000 |
| IVC | 2,000,000 |
| Other recycling/recovery facility (MBT) | 12,500,000 |
| Transfer station/HWRC | 2,000,000 |

nflation rates
Operating Cost Inflation
Operating Cost Inflatio
Capital Cost Inflation
andfill Cost Inflation
Interest rates
CAPEX interest rate

## DISCLAIMER

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

This Model ('the Model') has been constructed for a specific purpose and is not intended for distribution to third parties. Third parties who obtain copies of the Model should be aware of the following:
the Model may not be suitable for purposes, other than the specific purpose for which it was designed, and the interests of third parties may not have been anticipated;
the Model was not intended for use by third parties and may not be designed so that it can be readily operated in a correct manner by such parties;
the Model may be a development version and may not be complete or, in the event that development of the Model has concluded, material events may have occurred since completion, which are not reflected in the Model;
the Model may not have been subject to independent testing and where it has been tested, this may not provide an appropriate degree of assurance for all possible uses of the Model.

Accordingly, in the absence of written consent to the contrary, no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) is made by Deloitte \& Touche and no responsibility is taken or accepted as to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of the Model and we exclude liability thereof. In particular, no responsibility is taken or accepted and all liability is excluded for the validity of the assumptions, the accuracy of the computations or the reasonableness and achieveability of the projections comprised herein .

| dates |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contract signature date | 01 Apr 04 |
| Financial year start in which contract signature occurs | 01 Apr 04 |
| NPV adjustment factor |  |
| Length of contract (years) | 26 |
| Concession end date | \#NAME? |
| Total model time requirement (years) | 26 |
| CURRENCY | £ |
| DISCOUNT \& INFLATION RATES |  |
| Real discount rate | 3.50\% |
| Treasury nominal discount rate | 7.00\% |
| Discount rate with inflation | 6.09\% |
| Annual inflation rate | 2.50\% |
| Inflation factor at close of Year 1 | 1 |
| ECONOMIES OF SCALE \& EFFICIENCIES |  |
| Capital |  |
| Economies / (diseconomies) of scale | 0.00\% |
| Efficiency savings / (costs) | 0.00\% |
| Operating |  |
| Economies / (diseconomies) of scale | 0.00\% |
| Efficiency savings / (costs) | 0.00\% |
| RISK COSTS |  |
| Capital | 0.00\% |
| Operating | 0.00\% |
| DIVIDENDS |  |
| Min cash level before dividends can be paid |  |
| Normal years | 500,000 |
| Penultimate year | - |
| Min loan coverage required for dividend to be paid |  |
| \% | 75.00\% |


| TAXATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corporation tax rate | 30.00\% |
| Capital allowance rate | 25.00\% |
| Proportion of capex where capital allowances apply | 80.00\% |
| FINANCING COSTS |  |
| Capital funded by debt | 90.00\% |
| Capital funded by equity | 10.00\% |
| LIBOR | 5.75\% |
| Private sector margin over LIBOR | 1.50\% |
| Interest rate - debt \& overdraft | 7.25\% |
| Public sector discount below LIBOR | -0.50\% |
| Interest rate - surplus | 5.25\% |
| Annuity length | 22 |
| Required equity rate of return | 14.00\% |
| Calculated equity rate of return | \#REF! |
| NPV of investor cashflows | \#REF! |
| Final year profit \& loss c/f | \#REF! |
| Construction start up costs |  |
| Minimum cost | 2,300,000 |
|  | 2,300,000 |
| Percentage of capital | 7.50\% |
| Annual SPV costs |  |
| Annual SPV cost | 100,000 |
|  | 100,000 |
| UNITARY PAYMENT |  |
| Base charge | 13,727,076 |
| Indexation factor to be applied to unitary payment | 1.00 |
| Indexation applied to UP | 2.50\% |
| Percentage of Unitary Payment in Year 0 | 91.00\% |
| Percentage of Unitary Payment in Year 1 | 92.00\% |

Percentage of Unitary Payment in Year 2 Percentage of Unitary Payment in Year 3 Percentage of Unitary Payment in Year 4 Percentage of Unitary Payment in Year 5 Percentage of Unitary Payment in Year 6 Percentage of Unitary Payment in Year 7 Percentage of Unitary Payment in Year 8 Percentage of Unitary Payment in Year 9 Percentage of Unitary Payment in Year 10
93.00\%
94.00\%
95.00\%
96.00\%
97.00\%
98.00\%
99.00\%
100.00\% 01.00\%

| ASSUMPTIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PFI CREDIT |  |
| (1) \% Abatement | 30.00\% |
| (2) Capital investment |  |
| (3) Reg 41 |  |
| (4) Approved PFI Credit | 31,931,170 |
| (3) Reg 41 - Change in GDP deflator | 2.00\% |
| (3) Reg 41 - "E" |  |
| Method of calculation (4) Approved PFI Credit | - 4 |
| Method used in Council cashflow | sroved PFI Credit |
| REVENUE SUPPORT GRANT |  |
| MRP (proportion of notional debt) | 4.00\% |
| Proportion of RSG available |  |
| April | 0.958 |
| May | 0.875 |
| June | 0.792 |
| July | 0.708 |
| August | 0.625 |
| September | 0.542 |
| October | 0.458 |
| November | 0.375 |
| December | 0.292 |
| January | 0.208 |
| February | 0.125 |
| March | 0.068 |
| Date of first SPV payment | 01 Apr 04 |
| Month of first SPV payment | 4 |
| RSG proportion available | 0.958 |
| Number of full financial years between contract signature and first SPV payment | - |
| OTHER |  |
| Proportion of OPEX capitalised | 5\% |
| Percentage increase in Council budget | 7.00\% |
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## SPECIFICATION

## 1. Introduction

Nottinghamshire County Council (The Council) as Waste Disposal Authority (WDA) is seeking to make arrangements for a Contractor to receive, treat, transport and dispose of Waste in accordance with the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (the EPA), the Directive on Public Services Contracts 92/50/EC under the negotiated procedure and the Public Services Contracts Regulations 1993. The Contract must demonstrate optimum risk transfer to the private sector whilst achieving demonstrable value for money to the public sector.

## 2. Purpose of the Contract

The Council as WDA has a statutory duty under the EPA to make arrangements for the disposal of Municipal Waste that is collected by the Waste Collection Authorities (WCAs) in its area. The Council also has a statutory duty for the provision of Household Waste Recycling Centres and for the disposal of the Waste arising therefrom.

The Council is seeking to enter into a Contract under the rules of the government's Private Finance Initiative (PFI) in order to fulfil the duties identified above.

The Contract will have the following objectives in relation to the management of Waste from Nottinghamshire:

- to focus on positive action to protect and improve the environment and prevent pollution, including measures for the efficient use of energy and the achievement of sustainable development;
- to carry out the Councils statutory duties as WDA under the EPA at least cost to the environment and the community and maximising the use of Waste as a resource;
- to integrate Waste minimisation, recycling, recovery and composting initiatives into a future Waste disposal Contract to reduce the proportion of Waste going to landfill and to conserve energy and raw materials;
- to meet the targets set out in section 5.3 of this specification document, which are derived from the Government's publications entitled "Waste Strategy 2000 for England and Wales", "Guidance on Municipal Waste Management Strategies" (March 2001) and "The Landfill Directive"; and
- to use and promote the principle of a Waste hierarchy, i.e.
I. Reduction
II. Re-use
III. Recycling and Composting
IV. Energy Recovery
V. Disposal

The hierarchy is not a rigid order of priorities, and options must be considered in the light of Best Practicable Environmental Option (BPEO).

The service objectives, not necessarily in priority order, are as follows.

1. Provide sustainable Waste management recognising the links between socio-economic and environmental objectives.
2. Base the management of Waste on a hierarchy of options subject to the options selected being those which create most benefit and least damage to the environment as a whole at an acceptable cost.
3. Secure the provision of an integrated, sustainable, flexible and adequate network of Waste Management Facilities in order to ensure that Waste is treated and/or disposed of in one of the nearest appropriate installations, by means of the most appropriate methods and technologies.
4. Encourage and promote the development of clean technologies for the provision of the Waste management service.
5. Encourage and promote the re-use, and increased recycling and reclamation of Waste materials with a view to extracting secondary raw materials.
6. Reduce reliance on landfill by encouraging options higher up the Waste hierarchy.
7. Apply the proximity principle (dealing with Waste close to the point of origin) to the management of waste.
8. Promote Waste minimisation through increased public awareness, education and involvement in the management of waste.

These objectives will be realised by the management, processing, transporting and disposal of all Municipal Waste collected and delivered by the WCAs and of Household Waste arisings from the Household Waste Recycling Centres and the recycling and recovery of these Waste materials.

## The main objective of the Contract is to:

Provide an efficient Waste management service capable of receiving, processing, transporting and disposing of municipal waste in accordance with the waste hierarchy, the principle of sustainability, the Councils policy objectives, local and national targets and current and foreseeable legal requirements.

The Contractor is free to select the any system that best meets this objective using proven technology within the overall constraints of providing affordability and value for money for the Council. The Contractor shall deliver a flexible Waste management system capable of responding to usage, technical, regulatory and economic developments within the Waste management industry during the Contract Period.

Contractors proposing a partial service will be expected to show how their element of the contract will be integrated with the remaining elements, and how provision of such a service will Achieve Best Value for the Council.

The overall targets for the service are:
Recycle and Compost 40\% of the Contract Waste by 2010
Recycle and Compost 50\% of the Contract Waste by 2015
Recycle and Compost 55\% of the Contract Waste by the end of the contract.
Recover 60\% of the Contract Waste in 2010
Recover 67\% of the Contract Waste by 2015
Recover 70\% of the Contract Waste by 2020
Incrementally reduce the amount of Biodegradable Municipal Waste delivered to landfill to a level that exceeds the requirements of the landfill directive.

## 3. Statement of Service Requirement

### 3.1 Brief Description of the Service

The Contractor shall develop and implement a Waste management system (the Service) to receive, treat and dispose of Municipal Waste for which the Council has a statutory responsibility. The Waste management system shall achieve the targets set out in section 5.3 The Contractor shall be responsible for:

- the identification, selection and acquisition of sites, obtaining planning permissions (including appeals and public inquiries) and Environment Agency authorisations and licences for the development, management and operation of facilities required to achieve the Councils targets;
- providing copies of all planning permissions and Environment Agency authorisations and licences to the Council;
- complying with all existing and foreseeable legislation;
- the design, construction, commissioning, operation and maintenance of the facilities, relating to all initial and phased site and civil engineering works, mechanical, electrical and mobile plant and related activities;
- the operation and maintenance of Waste Management Facilities comprising reception, weighing, processing, treatment, and recycling of waste, management of the facilities, and the supply of labour, plant, equipment, utilities and other perquisites;
- performance monitoring and provision of management information;
- providing a flexible service that can accommodate changes in Waste amounts and composition and respond to changes in technology and the requirements of unforeseen legislation at minimum cost to the Council;
- the removal, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of wastes and residues;
- the financing of all capital and revenue streams associated with the Contract;
- the provision of an efficient Waste management system for the administrative areas of the Council, that shall be more fully described in the Project Plan (see section 3.6) to be provided by the Contractor;
- the management and operation of all the Councils Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRC) with effect from November 2005


### 3.2 Exclusion from the Services

Refuse collection services are excluded from the Contract. The WCAs have separate Contracts in place in respect of the collection of Household Waste in accordance with the EPA.

The future collection of recyclable materials is not precluded from the Contract.

### 3.3 Sites for the Service

The Contractor shall be responsible for identifying the sites for the Service, and its associated plant and facilities, and for obtaining the planning permissions, Environment Agency authorisations and Waste management licences. In addition, the Contractor shall design, build, finance and operate the facilities at the sites provided by the Contractor. These sites shall be identified and described in the Delivery Plan and shall comprise existing facilities used by the Council, and may include new and replacement or refurbished Waste Management Facilities.

### 3.4 Sites Secured by the Council

The Council has secured an option on two sites for the construction of Material Recycling Facilities (MRF's) in order to speed the planning process should these facilities be required as part of the successful bid. A site at Crown Farm Way, Mansfield benefits from outline planning approval for a 60000 tonne per annum MRF, and a site at Calverton Colliery has an outline planning application lodged with the Planning Authority. Both sites are subject to long term lease arrangements and have had extensive ground investigation works completed. Information relating to these sites is available in the Data Room.

### 3.5 Format of Bids

The Waste management system shall comprise a number of Waste Management Facilities for the treatment of Contract Waste. In order to be considered to operate any or all of the services the contractor shall bid against one or more of the four service areas detailed in the Reference Project.

Contractors can however only bid for elements of the service for which they expressed an interest at the ISOP stage. Such a bid will be considered a Mandatory Reference Bid only when evaluated against other Mandatory Reference Bids in accordance with the Evaluation Model.

### 3.5.1 Mandatory Reference Bids

Mandatory Reference Bids will be based on the Reference Project as detailed below and in section 5.3.

### 3.5.2 Reference Project

The Reference Project developed by the Council following extensive waste flow modelling comprises four elements:

- Green Garden Waste Composting
- Landfill
- Household Waste and Recycling Centre (HWRC) Management
- Recycling and Recovery

Details of the requirements in respect of this reference bid are shown in Section 5.3, and the Waste Flow Model.

### 3.5.3 Variant Bids

The Contractor is however free to choose any method of waste treatment, or combination of proposals, and shall make such proposals by way of a Variant Bid. This Variant Bid will however have to show how it meets or preferably exceeds the targets set down in section 5.3 and how it offers value for money for the Council. Any such bid will be evaluated against the criteria set down in the Evaluation Model.

Variant Bids will only be accepted supplementary to a Mandatory Reference Bid for that particular service area.

### 3.6 General Performance of the Service

The Service provided by the Contractor shall be reliable and achievable in terms of managing and disposing of the Municipal Waste, be environmentally and economically sustainable, flexible and not wholly dependent upon a single method of Waste treatment, responsive to new technological developments in Waste treatment, and shall accord with the policies and proposals of the Council, while also minimising environmental impacts.

### 3.7 Calculation of Recycling and Recovery Rates

The Recycling and Recovery Targets set by the Council reflect those set out in DETR's national Waste strategy document 'Waste Strategy 2000 for England and Wales', published in May 2000, 'Guidance on Municipal Waste Management Strategies' published in March 2001 and the Landfill Directive.

For the purposes of Contract monitoring and of measuring performance against the Recycling Targets and the Recovery Targets, the Contractor's Recycling Rates and Recovery Rates shall be calculated in accordance with a formulae to be agreed. All percentages will be calculated by weight.
The Contractor shall Recycle all Recyclable Materials collected by the WCAs or by any third party which are delivered to the Contractor, sorted from Contract Waste by or for the Contractor and received at the Household Waste Recycling Centres from November 2005.
The calculation of the Recycling Rate for the Council shall be based on the aggregate of tonnes of Recyclable Materials Recycled arising from:
a) the HWRC's in the Nottinghamshire County Council area;
b) any kerbside collection schemes operated by any WCA in the Nottinghamshire County Council area;
c) any bring schemes operated by any WCA in the Nottinghamshire County Council; and
d) any third party Recycling schemes operated in the Nottinghamshire County Council area where the third party is in receipt of Recycling Credits.

However, for the purposes of calculating Contract payments to the Contractor in respect of the level of Recycling and Recovery of Contract Waste actually carried out by the Contractor, any Recycling and Recovery achieved by the WCAs or any other third party shall be disregarded. The Contractor may not
claim to have Recycled or Recovered any Contract Waste where such Recycling or Recovery is carried out by a WCA or any third party.

### 3.8 Project Programme, Delivery Plan and Service Plan

The primary purpose of these plans is to provide a clear and full description of the Waste management service in relation to Waste collected by the WCAs in accordance with their statutory duties and for Waste brought to Household Waste and Recycling Centres by the public. They shall be complimentary to any information requested in the Evaluation Model.

### 3.8.1 Project Programme

The Project Programme shall set out the timetable for the obtaining of consents, and the design, building, financing, commissioning and achievement of full operational status for the Waste management system as a whole and the dates by which the output targets shall be met. The Project Programme shall include the following information:

- sites and facilities to be used and developed, including location plans; and
- programme commencing with the submission of planning applications and showing the achievement of Contract targets until Contract completion.


### 3.8.2 Delivery Plan

The Delivery Plan shall be a plan setting out the process for procuring constructing, refurbishing and commissioning each Waste Management Facility including specifications and plans for each facility and key milestones in the service development process. The Delivery Plan shall include as a minimum the timetable relating to the following activities:

- site acquisition
- carrying out surveys;
- agreeing design standards
- submitting regulatory applications;
- obtaining planning consents;
- obtaining Environment Agency authorisations and Waste management licences;
- starting and finishing construction;
- starting and finishing commissioning; and
- commencing operations.


### 3.8.3 Service Plan

There shall be a Service Plan for each service and for each Waste Management Facility setting out how and to what standard the services will be provided at that facility. The standards set out in the Service Plans shall be enforced by a mechanism that motivates the Contractor to comply with these standards. These Service Plans shall include as a minimum the following information:

- the Contractor's management structure;
- the Contractor's Waste minimisation initiatives and proposals;
- certificates and authorisations in respect of each Waste Management Facility; and
- Contractor's proposals for public relations, public awareness and education.
- Waste movement plans;
- specific process descriptions for each process and facility;
- plant and equipment (description of equipment necessary to perform the processes);
- description of targets and how they are achieved;
- description of how each facility shall be developed and operated and fulfil its operating capacity;
- proposals for continuous Waste disposal including "catch up" procedures;
- life expectancy of any plant, equipment and buildings;
- management of the relationships between Contractor and WCAs;
- back up and emergency arrangements;
- nature, extent, management and disposal of process residues;
- environmental protection and occupational health;
- maintenance standards and structured maintenance plan;
- security;
- marketing (e.g. of electricity, recyclables);
- contingency arrangements for non-performance of facility;
- contingency arrangements for weighbridge breakdown;
- audit trail to ensure monitoring of Contract Waste and payment for management of this Waste only;
- arrangements for service performance and monitoring;
- staff welfare, Health and Safety facilities, qualifications, incident and accident reporting and complaints procedures;
- proposals for educational opportunities;
- access for the public and availability of information;
- cleaning and cleanliness standards;
- methods and timing of analysing Municipal Waste for information purposes only (the risk of changes in composition of Waste rests with the Contractor);
- opening hours;
- method of identifying and recording amounts of non-Contract waste;
- method of responding to and recording complaints received and taking necessary rectifying action; and
- Waste handling, that is, procedures for handling non-Contract, unauthorised, special and clinical waste.


### 3.8.4 General

The Contractor shall provide the following general information relating to the Project Programme, the Delivery Plan and the Service Plan:

- procedures for and time limits within which each plan will be updated; and
- statement of frequency of updating each plan, including reviews caused by trigger events.


### 3.9 Interim Waste Management Service

The Contractor shall detail within his Project Plan, Delivery Plan and Service Plan how any Interim Waste Management Service shall be provided to cover the period between commencement of the contract and any proposed facilities coming on line.

### 3.10 Location of Waste Management Facilities

The facilities to be used in the Contract shall be nominated by the Contractor, but may include facilities at sites supplied by the Council.

### 3.11 Household Waste and Recycling Centres

The Council will include the management and operation (including the haulage of HWRC waste) of sixteen Household Waste and Recycling Centres in the Contract from November 2005, when the Councils current Contracts expire.

In Nottinghamshire County Council's area, there are currently eighteen Household Waste and Recycling Centres, including the site at Calverton Colliery operated jointly by the County Council and Nottingham City Council.

Two of these existing sites are to be closed in November 2005, and will be replaced under the "Recycling" section of the contract, and are therefore excluded from the HWRC Management element. The affected sites are Worksop and Cotham.

All sites are open 0800 to 1600 November to February, 0800 to 1800 March and October and 0800 to 2000 April to September.

The current sites are located at:

- Ashfield District:

Sutton in Ashfield, Off Huthwaite Road
Kirkby in Ashfield, Sidings Road, Lowmoor Industrial Estate
Hucknall, Wigwam Lane

- Bassetlaw District:

Torworth, Daneshill Road
Retford, Hallcroft Road
Worksop, Dukeries Industrial Estate, Claylands Avenue (to be replaced by November 2005)

- Broxtowe Borough:

Beeston, Lilac Grove
Giltbrook, Gilthill
Stapleford, Nottingham Road

- Gedling Borough:

Gedling Colliery, Arnold Lane

Calverton Colliery, Hollinwell Lane (From Summer 2003)

- Mansfield District:

Mansfield, Kestral Park, Kestral Road
Warsop, Oakfield Lane

- Newark and Sherwood District

Cotham, Hawton Lane (to be replaced by November 2005)
Southwell, Fiskerton Landfill Site, Fiskerton Road
Bilsthorpe, Brailwood Road

- Rushcliffe Borough

Langar, Coach Gap Lane
West Bridgford, Rugby Road

### 3.12 Hours of Operation of the Facilities

The minimum level of service provision at facilities covered by the contract shall reflect or improve on the current level of service provision to the WCAs and to the public.

Implementation of changes to opening hours of existing sites may be subject to modification of planning permission conditions and Waste management licence conditions. The Contractor shall liaise with the Council regarding any such changes, and may be required to liaise with local residents' groups and other stakeholders.

Licensing hours of all Waste Management Facilities may extend beyond the specified opening hours. Opening hours are also subject to restrictions or conditions contained in each respective planning permission.

### 3.13 Planning Application, Architectural and Construction Standards

In designing each Waste Management Facility and submitting any planning applications, the Contractor shall have regard to the best practice in the Waste management industry.

The architectural and aesthetic merits of the new Waste Management Facilities are of paramount importance. The Contractor shall provide innovative architectural designs appropriate to the locations in which facilities are to be constructed.

The contractor shall ensure that all new facilities constructed under this contract are fully compliant with the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 and any other anti discriminatory legislation applicable to the design, construction and operation of treatment sites.

### 3.14 Types of Wastes

### 3.14.1 Contract Waste

It should be noted that the Contract Waste, comprising Municipal Waste collected by the WCAs and Waste received at Household Waste Recycling Centres, may include LPG cylinders and small quantities of Special Waste, as defined by the Special Waste Regulations 1996. The Contractor shall indicate in the Service Plan how it proposes to deal with all waste. Should the

Contractor accept non-Contract Waste (as defined below), either delivered with the Contract Waste, or by other means, whether knowingly or otherwise, the Contractor shall be responsible for all costs in managing, treating, transporting and disposing of non-Contract waste. The Contractor shall submit details in the Service Plan of the measures to be implemented to ensure that non-Contract Waste is separately identified from Contract Waste and also details of how these wastes are to be managed.

### 3.14.2 Priority of Contract Waste over Non-Contract Waste

The Contractor may receive and process non-Contract waste, however Contract Waste shall be processed in priority to non-Contract waste at the Waste Management Facilities. Should the quantity of Contract Waste increase such that third party Contracts are adversely affected, then the Contractor cannot make a claim against the Council should those third party Contracts be compromised.

### 3.14.3 Unauthorised Wastes

Dealing with unauthorised wastes deposited at Waste Management Facilities shall be the responsibility of the Contractor who shall, therefore, also meet the full cost of any liability associated with it, including removal and disposal. The Contractor shall provide details in the Service Plan of procedures to be employed to deal with the unauthorised Waste deposited at any of the Waste Management Facilities.

### 3.15 Composition of Waste

The Council gives no undertaking as to the composition of the Contract Waste. The Contractor shall accept and make provision for potential changes in composition of the Contract Waste over the period of the Contract.

The Contractor shall be responsible for making all assessments of possible future trends in Waste composition and shall make allowances for these factors in the tendered solution.

If requested by the Council, the Contractor shall arrange for an independent body to be employed to carry out an analysis of the waste, identifying the Waste by such categories as the Council shall require, at the expense of the Contractor.

### 3.15 Quantities of Waste

The Council has developed a waste flow model to predict as accurately as possible future quantities of waste requiring treatment and disposal through this contract.

This information is however only an indication of one possible scenario and cannot be relied upon as any guarantee as to the types and quantities of waste arising within Nottinghamshire.

It is therefore the responsibility of the Contractor to assess the amount of future arisings of Waste requiring management processing, treatment and disposal under the Contract and to provide a system with sufficient capacity
and flexibility to manage the Waste and achieve the Contract targets throughout the life of the Contract. The Council will not specify a binding minimum or maximum Waste input on a daily, weekly, monthly, seasonal, yearly or any other time related basis.

### 3.17 Weighbridge and Data Processing

All waste, Contract Waste and non-Contract waste, received at Waste Management Facilities shall be weighed by means of weighbridges. The Contractor shall weigh in and weigh out individual loads of these wastes to obtain a net weight, unless otherwise agreed with the Council to use agreed tare weights for the vehicles. The Contractor will not receive any payment for the processing of any Waste not supported by a defined audit trail.

Records will be such as to provide all the information required to make due payments under the Contract, to assist the Council in the management of the collection services (in conjunction with the WCAs) and Household Waste Recycling Centres and to ensure that the Council can comply with their Waste data reporting obligations, for example, as required by the Audit Commission (including Best Value Statutory Performance Standards), and CIPFA.

These records are to include the weight of material diverted from landfilling, Recovered and Recycled (identified by type), the amount and description of any non-Contract Waste and unauthorised Waste sent for disposal, and any other information which the Council may reasonably require.

In the event of a breakdown of any weighbridge installation, a valid and auditable manual recording system, in accordance with the Service Plan, shall be immediately instigated and maintained until the weighbridge is again in normal operation. In operating the weighbridge installations the Contractor shall have regard to all relevant legislation, including the obligations of the Duty of Care Code of Practice March 1996 issued under Section 34 of the EPA.

The weighbridge system shall issue weighbridge tickets generated from secure computerised records and the system shall have been authorised by an inspector as fit for use in accordance with Section 11 of the Weights and Measures Act 1985.

## 4. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

### 4.1Environmental Protection

The Contractor shall ensure that the impact of any operation of the Contractor upon the environment, in pursuance of its obligations under the Contract, is adequately and sufficiently considered, supervised, controlled and monitored.

For each of the facilities used to achieve the Contract targets the Contractor shall undertake a consultation exercise and employ a systematic decision making process to ensure the protection and conservation of the environment. All Facilities shall be planned, constructed, operated and if appropriate
subsequently decommissioned in accordance with all current legislation, best practice guidelines and policies and standards of the Council.

The Contractor shall ensure that BPEO, the proximity principle and the Waste hierarchy are implemented in this Contract, at an acceptable cost to the Council, to minimise the impact on the environment in the long term as well as the short term, in the selection of sites, and the construction, commissioning and operation of all Waste Management Facilities used to achieve the Contract targets.

### 4.2Emission Standards to be Met

Any combustion plants proposed shall be designed, equipped, built and operated in such a way that the plant complies with the emission limits set out in current and foreseeable UK emission standards.

The Contractor shall satisfy the Council that compliance with unforeseen improvements to these emission standards can be accommodated at minimum cost to the Council. Emissions will be monitored by the Environment Agency and other outside bodies.

All proposals for combustion plants must be accompanied by a comprehensive site-specific risk assessment including a detailed study of local conditions, which will form part of the environmental statement accompanying any planning application. This must demonstrate that there will be no unacceptable impact from emissions on the surrounding population and no adverse impact on ecological systems and the surrounding environment.

All systems shall have appropriate plant and equipment to monitor and control all systems to ensure that environmental and operational best practice is achieved. On-line, all year round, monitoring and control systems necessary for good operational and environmental practice shall be installed by the Contractor.

As a minimum requirement, all Waste Management Facilities shall meet the requirements of the Environment Agency. The Contractor shall take the necessary steps to ensure that improvements required by legislation may be readily incorporated while maintaining continuity of the service.

The control systems at all Waste Management Facilities provided for the service shall be designed to the requirements of the Environment Agency to provide all necessary on-line monitoring and data for good operational and environmental practice. Where necessary (and on combustion plants in particular) controlled shutdown of the process must be possible should conditions so dictate.

### 4.3Support Services at Waste Management Facilities

All Waste Management Facilities provided for the service shall, in addition to the main treatment processes, possess all necessary administration, welfare, product storage, effluent treatment and emergency services contributing to a complete and efficient process. Provision shall be made for the treatment of
all these effluents in accordance with the authorisation and discharge consents granted.

### 4.4Courtesy to Users of the Waste Management Facilities

The Contractor shall ensure that all managers and staff employed at the Waste Management Facilities behave in a courteous manner. Failure to do so will result in performance deductions being applied. The Council may require that managers or staff who fail in this respect or who are proved to have behaved in any other discreditable way be replaced.

### 4.5 Complaints in Respect of Service Provision

The Contractor is required to provide and manage a hotline for the receipt of telephone complaints and a computerised complaint logging and recording system compatible with hardware operated by the Council and linked to the Councils dedicated systems for this service.

The Contractor shall deal with any complaints received from whatever source in a prompt, courteous and efficient manner in accordance with the terms of the Contract. The Contractor shall keep a written record of all complaints received and of action taken.

Should the Contractor receive complaints direct from the public it shall no later than 10am on the next working day, inform the Council of the details of the complaint and the action taken or to be taken. It should be noted that the Council will from time to time check the Contractor's compliance with the complaints procedure aspect of the service. If the Council finds that complaints have not been dealt with in accordance with the Service Plan, this shall be considered a failure of service delivery and shall result in performance deductions being applied.

### 4.6 Waste Transport Vehicles and Containers

The Contractor shall maintain in a legal, efficient, clean and serviceable condition all road vehicles, containers and trailers used for the performance of the service. Waste shall be transported in enclosed containers or sheeted vehicles. All drivers and other operatives shall be suitably trained and qualified for their tasks and made aware of the safe use of the vehicles in their charge.

The Contractor shall be required to submit proposals which demonstrate that they will provide vehicles which minimise the impact of these vehicles on the environment. No vehicles more than 10 years old shall be used in this Contract except with the agreement of the Council.

### 4.7 Signs

Any signs or notices erected at the Waste Management Facilities shall be of a suitably durable material, shall be in the corporate style of the Contractor and shall be agreed with the Council. The number and types of signs shall be detailed in the Service Delivery Plan, and shall include local direction signs to any new Waste Management Facilities. Any signs to be erected within the highway boundary shall be designed in accordance with the Traffic Signs

Manual and erected in accordance with the requirements of the Highway Authority.

In addition, a sign shall be provided by the Contractor at each Waste Management Facility provided within the Council area in the corporate style of the Council, stating that the facility is provided in partnership with the Council.

### 4.8Safety and Security

The Contractor shall be directly responsible for the security of the Waste Management Facilities provided for the provision of the service including any property belonging to the Council and the Contractor. The Contractor shall ensure that all the Waste Management Facilities provided by any other contractor or person for the purpose of this Contract, are properly secure. Full details of all arrangements for dealing with security and the results of vandalism shall be provided in the Service Plan. Emergency procedures shall also be detailed in the Service Plan.

The Council shall not be liable for any claim for loss by the Contractor resulting from any breach of security.

The Contractor shall comply with the Councils security regulations, and its obligations under the Data Protection Act 1984 and the Computer Misuse Act 1990.

The Contractor shall provide cover 24 hours a day, every day of the year to respond to any emergency that may arise in connection with the Waste Management Facilities. An emergency call out procedure shall be provided, maintained and updated in accordance with the requirements of the Environment Agency, and shall be submitted to the Council as part of the Service Plan.

### 4.9 Health and Safety Requirements

The Contractor and its staff shall adopt safe working practices as laid down in all current and future regulations, working rules and legislation that apply to its activities under the Contract to ensure the safety of all site users and personnel. The Contractor shall as a minimum, operate in accordance with the Councils Corporate Health and Safety Policies as amended from time to time.

The Contractor shall be responsible for the suitable and safe use of the equipment used in the provision of the service and no equipment shall be used which may be unsuitable, unsafe or liable to cause damage. Without prejudice to the absolute responsibility of the Contractor in regard to such equipment, the Council shall have the right to inspect such equipment and if in the Councils opinion it is unsafe, it shall not be used, with no extra payment being allowed for the costs of rectification under the Contract.

The Contractor shall require its employees at all times while engaged in the provision of the service at the Waste Management Facilities to be properly and presentably dressed in suitable uniforms, work wear, protective and
reflective clothing approved by the Council so that they are visible and obvious.

Special care shall be taken to protect the health and safety of operatives working in close proximity to the Waste being processed.

## 5 TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL OF CONTRACT WASTE

### 5.1.1 Details of Service Provision

The Contractor shall receive, weigh, process or otherwise treat and dispose of Contract Waste delivered by the WCAs and Waste arising from Household Waste and Recycling Centres and other Waste Management Facilities. The Contractor shall remove Waste from and transport Waste between Waste Management Facilities as necessary and subsequently remove residues and transport these to and dispose of them at the final disposal points.

Where the Council agrees that exceptionally adverse weather conditions have prevented any part of the service from being performed, the Contractor shall be permitted to undertake restricted operations or a temporary stoppage to the approval of the Council.

### 5.2 Methods of Performance of the Service

### 5.2.1 Design Concepts

No constraints are placed on the Contractor as to the process or methods used for the performance of the Contract, save that they comply with the requirements set out in the Contract, meet the targets set out in Section 5.3 and minimise the reliance upon direct disposal of wastes to land within the affordability constraints of the Council.

The Contractors proposals should however take into account both the proximity principle and the waste hierarchy. The evaluation undertaken by the Council will include an assessment of this element of the service provision.

The Contractor shall satisfy the Council that future improvements to the Waste Management Facilities required as a result of unforeseen changes in legislation can be accommodated at minimum cost to the Council.

The Contractor may elect to use a combination of processes and methods to achieve the Contract targets. As a minimum requirement, all such processes must be of proven design with at least one facility of equivalent design and capacity to those proposed in the Contract being currently in operation.

In preparing the designs for the Waste Management Facilities to be used, the Contractor shall ensure that all Waste unloading and discharge areas, Waste process areas and Waste loading areas (excluding those at Household Waste Recycling Centres, on-farm composting facilities and Landfill sites provided for the service), shall be enclosed. All buildings shall be of a suitable industrial
standard and appropriate architectural design incorporating all necessary environmental controls.

### 5.2.2 Operational Concepts

The Council as WDA provides Waste management services, during the minimum opening hours specified, for both Waste collected by the WCAs as part of their statutory duties and for Waste brought to Household Waste and Recycling Centres by the public. The Contractor's Service Plan must as a minimum ensure the continuation of this current level of service provision.

### 5.2.3Design Capacity

The design capacity of any plant shall include sufficient flexibility to accommodate planned and unplanned interruptions in its operation. Details of managing these interruptions shall be provided in the Service Plan.

### 5.2.4 Delivery Points and Waste Reception and Handling

The contractor shall identify and secure for the duration of the contract Delivery Points for contract waste in respect of all relevant elements of the service. These Delivery Points shall be no more than 10 miles or 30 minutes (whichever is less) travel time one way from the boundary of the Waste Collection Authority supplying the material under the Contract.

A new Transfer Station for dry recyclables is currently being procured at Giltbrook by Broxtowe Borough Council and the County Council. The Contractor may be able to secure use of this facility in the longer term by negotiation with the County Council. Details of the facility are available in the Data Room.

Where the service area of any Waste Management Facility is fully enclosed the Waste reception and handling areas together with vehicle manoeuvring areas shall be kept under negative pressure to reduce the environmental impact of dust and odour. Waste handling shall be carried out in accordance with good industrial practice and all conditions imposed by permissions, consents and licences and all current and foreseeable legal requirements.

### 5.2.5 Combustion Process

Any combustion processes proposed as part of the service shall be equipped for energy recovery by the generation of electricity, heat or combined heat and power (CHP) applications.

The minimum standards of combustion gas cleaning shall be those set by the Environment Agency. The design and operation of the process shall be capable of responding to future legislation and the possibility of significant improvements in these required standards over the period of the Contract, including measures likely to be imposed by future EU Directives.

The plant should be designed and constructed to enable, without disruption to the service, the incorporation into the plant of new technologies.

### 5.3 Contract Service Areas - Reference Project

### 5.3.1 Green Garden Waste Composting

### 5.3.1.1 Number and Location of Facilities

A minimum of one site is required.

### 5.3.1.2 Hours of Operation and Availability

Delivery Points shall be available to receive waste between 0700 and 2100 hours every day except Christmas Day, Boxing Day and New Years day subject to conditions imposed by the Local Planning Authority and the Licencing Authority. The site shall be operational to the minimum required capacity between 1 April 2005 and 31 March 2010. Additional contract periods will be evaluated as Variant Bids having taken into account proposals for the treatment of mixed green and catering waste post 2010.
Vehicle turnaround times shall be a maximum of 15 minutes weighbridge to weighbridge with no more than 5 minutes queuing time onto the weighbridge on entering the site.

### 5.3.1.3 Capacity

The site shall be able to compost green garden waste in accordance with the tonnages detailed in the Waste Flow Model.

### 5.3.1.4 Input Standards

A maximum of 5\% rejection of delivered Green Garden Waste in the 12 months to 31 March 2006, decreasing incrementally to $1 \%$ rejection in the 12 months to 31 March 2010 and thereafter maintained.

### 5.3.1.5 Output Standards

Compost must meet, as a minimum, the requirements of National Best Value Performance Indicator BV82b or any subsequent revision.

### 5.3.2 Landfill

### 5.3.2.1 Number and Location of Facilities

Site Locations to be identified and secured by the contractor.

### 5.3.2.2 Hours of Operation and Availability

Delivery Points shall be available to receive waste between 0730 and 1730 hours Monday to Friday 0730 and 1600 Saturday 0730 and 1600 Sundays every day except Christmas Day, Boxing Day and New Years day subject to conditions imposed by the Local Planning Authority and the Licensing Authority.
Vehicle turnaround times shall be a maximum of 15 minutes weighbridge to weighbridge with no more than 15 minutes queuing time onto the weighbridge on entering the site.
Sufficient capacity shall be available between 1 January 2004 and 31 March 2030.

### 5.3.2.3 Capacity

The new sites should be able to accept materials and tonnages at least equivalent to the requirement for landfill disposal identified within the waste model for the contract

### 5.3.2.4 Input Standards

The sites shall accept all materials acceptable to the Local Planning and Licensing Authorities. Sufficient sites shall also be available to take a limited amount of Special Wastes, and other materials as defined within the Data Room.

### 5.3.2.5 Output Standards

All Landfill sites shall be operated in accordance with Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control (IPPC) standards, and shall score a minimum of **** Operator and Risk Appraisal (OPRA) Points

### 5.3.3 Household Waste and Recycling Centre (HWRC) Management

### 5.3.3.1 Number and Location of Facilities

Seventeen existing sites as identified within the contract. All sites shall be utilised exclusively as Household Waste and Recycling Centres except with the express written consent of the Council.
This section of the contract is for Management and Operation only, which for the avoidance of doubt includes site and grounds maintenance but not enhancement works.

### 5.3.3.2 Hours of Operation and Availability

The site(s) shall be available to receive waste as a minimum between 0800 and 2000 hours (April to September) 0800 and 1800 (March and October) 0800 and 1600 (November to February) every day except Christmas Day, Boxing Day and New Years day subject to conditions imposed by the Local Planning Authority and the Licensing Authority.

Turnaround times for service users at all sites shall be a maximum of 15 minutes from entering Gates to leaving gates, plus a maximum 15 minutes queuing time into the site.

The HWRC's will be part of the contract between November 2005 and 31 March 2015.

### 5.3.3.3 Capacity

The sites shall continue to accept materials and tonnages at least equivalent to the current capacity.

### 5.3.3.4 Input Standards

The sites shall accept all materials detailed in, and shall be operated in accordance with, the County Council policy on Household Waste and Recycling Centres available in the Data Room (Policies Currently under Development), as supplemented by service level agreements proposed by the contractor and subsequently agreed by the Council.

### 5.3.3.5 Output Standards

Material sent to the Green Garden Waste Composting Facilities from the HWRC's shall be to the minimum standards set out for input to the Green Garden Waste Composting facilities.
Targets for recycling of material aggregated between all the HWRC's shall be:
Recycle or Recover 54\% of total Material (including Green) by the end of March 2005
Recycle or Recover 55\% of total Material (including Green) by the end of March 2010
Recycle or Recover 56\% of total Material (including Green) by the end of March 2015

In the event that the actual Recycling and Recovery performance is greater than $54 \%$ by the end of March 2005 then all the above targets will be increased by actual performance minus 54\%.

### 5.3.4 Recycling and Recovery

### 5.3.4.1 Material Recycling Facilities

### 5.3.4.1.1 Number and Location of Facilities

At least one site as identified by the Contractor.
The Council has however taken out options on two sites at Crown Farm Way Mansfield and Calverton Colliery Calverton that can be utilised if required. Outline planning approval has been secured for the Mansfield site and is currently being sought for Calverton. Details are available in the Data Room.

### 5.3.4.1.2 Hours of Operation and Availability

Both sites shall be complete and operational to the required capacity between 1 January 2005 and 31 March 2030.

Delivery Points shall be available to receive waste between 0700 and 2100 hours every day except Christmas Day, Boxing Day and New Years day subject to conditions imposed by the Local Planning Authority and the Licensing Authority.
Turnaround times shall be 15 minutes weighbridge to weighbridge with no more than 5 minutes queuing onto the weighbridge on entering the site.

### 5.3.4.1.3 Capacity

The sites shall be able to process quantities of materials as defined in the Waste Flow Model, plus store up to 20000 tonnes of pre sorted dry recyclables and glass for bulking prior to onward transfer for reprocessing.

### 5.3.4.1.4 Input Standards

The facility shall process all materials collected by the WCA's as part of their twin bin or segregated kerb side collections including but not exclusively:
Mixed Cans to EU Waste Category 200140
Plastics to EU Waste Category 200139
Paper and Card to EU Waste Category 200101
Textiles to EU Waste category 200111
A maximum of $5 \%$ rejection of delivered unsorted material in the 12 months to 31 March 2006, decreasing incrementally to $1 \%$ rejection in the 12 months to 31 March 2010 and thereafter never exceeding 1\% rejection for the remaining life of the contract.

### 5.3.4.1.5 Output Standards

Targets for recycling of material within the MRF's shall be:
Recycle 95\% of total processed material by the end of March 2006 rising incrementally to $99 \%$ by the end of March 2010 and thereafter maintained till the end of the contract.

For the avoidance of doubt pre sorted materials handled by the facility shall not form part of this output target.

### 5.3.4.2 Community Recycling Centres (CRC's)

### 5.3.4.2.1 Number and Location of Facilities

Two new Community Recycling Centres are required as part of the contract and shall be open by 1 November 2005.
These sites are to be located in Bassetlaw District and Newark and Sherwood District. The Contractor will be responsible for identifying, securing, planning, designing, constructing and operating these sites.

All sites shall be utilised exclusively as Community Recycling Centres except with the express written consent of the Council.

### 5.3.4.2.2 Hours of Operation and Availability

The site(s) shall be available to receive waste as a minimum between 0800 and 2000 hours (April to September) 0800 and 1800 (March and October) 0800 and 1600 (November to February) every day except Christmas Day, Boxing Day and New Years day subject to conditions imposed by the Local Planning Authority and the Licensing Authority.
Turnaround times for service users at all sites shall be a maximum of 15 minutes from entering Gates to leaving gates, plus a maximum 5 minutes queuing time into the site.
These new CRC's will be part of the contract between 1 November 2005 and 31 March 2030.

### 5.3.4.2.3 Capacity

The sites shall accept materials and tonnages at least equivalent to the largest site currently operating within the County area.

### 5.3.4.2.4 Input Standards

The sites shall accept all materials detailed in, and shall be operated in accordance with, the County Council policy on Household Waste and Recycling Centres available in the Data Room (Policies Currently under Development), as supplemented by service level agreements proposed by the contractor and subsequently agreed by the Council.

### 5.3.4.2.5 Output Standards

Material sent to the Green Garden Waste Composting Facilities from the CRC's shall be to the minimum standards set out for input to the Green Garden Waste Composting facilities.

Targets for recycling of material aggregated between the 3 CRC's shall be:
Recycle or Recover 55\% of total Material (including Green) by the end of March 2006

Recycle or Recover 56\% of total Material (including Green) by the end of March 2010

Recycle or Recover 57\% of total Material (including Green) by the end of March 2015

Recycle or Recover 58\% of total Material (including Green) by the end of March 2020

Recycle or Recover 59\% of total Material (including Green) by the end of March 2025
Recycle or Recover 60\% of total Material (including Green) by the end of March 2030

### 5.3.4.3 Treatment Faclity

### 5.3.4.3.1 Number and Location of Facilities

At least one facility is required.

### 5.3.4.3.2 Hours of Operation and Availability

Delivery Points shall be available to receive waste between 0700 and 2100 hours every day except Christmas Day, Boxing Day and New Years day subject to conditions imposed by the Local Planning Authority and the Licensing Authority.

Turnaround times shall be 15 minutes weighbridge to weighbridge with no more than 5 minutes queuing onto the weighbridge on entering the site.
The facility shall be available between 1 January 2010 and 31 March 2030.
5.3.4.3.3 Capacity

A total Recovery capacity as defined in Waste Flow Model.

### 5.3.4.3.4 Input Standards

The site shall accept all materials collected by the WCA's as part of their normal household collections.

### 5.3.4.3.5 Output Standards

The contractor must specify recycling and recovery rates for the type of process chosen and these must be agreed by the Council and will become part of the contract.
All processes must be carried out in accordance with current legislation and meet any licensing conditions imposed.

### 5.3.4.4 In Vessel Composting

### 5.3.4.4.1 Number and Location of Facilities

A minimum of one site is required.

### 5.3.4.4.2 Hours of Operation and Availability

Delivery Points shall be available to receive waste between 0700 and 2100 hours every day except Christmas Day, Boxing Day and New Years day subject to conditions imposed by the Local Planning Authority and the Licensing Authority.

The facility shall be available between 1 January 2010 and 31 March 2030.

### 5.3.4.4.3 Capacity

The site shall be able to compost mixed putrecible waste in accordance with the Waste Flow Model.

### 5.3.4.4.4 Input Standards

A maximum of $5 \%$ rejection of delivered putrecible Waste in the 12 months to 31 March 2011, decreasing incrementally to $1 \%$ rejection in the 12 months to 31 March 2015 and thereafter never exceeding 1\% rejection for the remaining life of the contract.

### 5.3.4.4.5 Output Standards

Compost must meet, as a minimum, the requirements of National Best Value Performance Indicator BV82b and be fully compliant with the EU Animal ByProducts Regulations 1999 and any subsequent revisions thereof.

### 5.4 WCA Collection Vehicle Turnaround Times

The Contractor, in the design and operation of those Waste Management Facilities provided for the performance of the service which accept WCA delivered waste, shall have regard to the need for a prompt turnaround time. The Contractor shall ensure that (with the exception of service elements that have specific targets) a maximum turnaround time of 30 minutes is achieved. The turnaround time shall be recorded from the time of arrival of the vehicle at the Waste Management Facility to the time of departure from the Waste Management Facility. The Contractor shall maintain sufficient records to enable effective monitoring of the actual turnaround times being achieved. Vehicles of the WCA's delivering Contract Waste shall be given priority over any third party use of the Waste Management Facilities.

### 5.5 Diversion of Collection Service Vehicles

The Contractor shall make adequate arrangements to divert WCA vehicles away from a given Waste Management Facility in the cases of breakdown, when storage capacity is exhausted, and also where there is an emergency or other such incident. The diversion procedures and alternative Waste Management Facilities shall be identified in advance in the Service Plan.

The Council shall be notified within one hour of any need to divert WCA collection vehicles to other Waste Management Facilities together with the anticipated period of time that such a diversion shall be in place. The Contractor should note that such diversions have the potential to cause considerable disruption to the operation of the collection services and any additional costs incurred by the WCA's shall in all circumstances be recovered from the Contractor by the Council in accordance with the Contract.

### 5.6 Services before and after Public and Bank Holidays

The Contractor shall be required to make provision for dealing with the Waste delivery patterns that may arise immediately before and after Public and Bank Holidays. In the days around such holiday periods the WCA's may institute alternative collection arrangements that may give rise to abnormal deliveries of Contract Waste.

The Council shall inform the Contractor of the likely extent of these abnormal Contract Waste delivery patterns and the Contractor shall make due allowances for them within the consented operating hours of the Waste Management Facilities.

### 5.7 Queuing on the Highway

The Contractor shall also take account of the need to avoid any vehicles queuing on the highway and shall incorporate adequate capacity within the Waste Management Facilities to accommodate all queuing vehicles.

## 6 Auditing

### 6.1 Management Information Systems

The Contractor shall install, implement and operate management information systems and equipment to the satisfaction of the Council throughout the

Contract Period, to ensure that the Council is charged for and pay only such amounts as it is obliged to under the terms of the Contract. The Contractor's arrangements shall provide an auditable trail for each load of Contract Waste through each stage of the process, from receipt to final processing or disposal.
All ICT arrangements should be fully compatible with systems used by the Council to enable direct transfer of contract information.

### 6.2 Payments

The Council shall only pay in respect of the amounts of Contract Waste, which the Contractor proves to the satisfaction of the Council to have actually been received by the Contractor and for which the Council is obliged to make payments under the Contract.

### 6.3 Access

The Contractor shall permit the Council to have access, at any time, to the premises, facilities and records and, if so required, give such information and other assistance to the Council to enable them to verify compliance with the financial terms, performance requirements and other Contract conditions. The Contractor shall be required to preserve all records of Waste transactions for at least 7 years after the end of the Councils financial year in which such transaction was made.

### 6.4Duty of Both Parties

The general duty of both parties under this is to ensure that the Council is not charged for, and do not pay, any lesser or greater sums than it is liable to pay under the terms of the Contract.
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## DISCLAIMER

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

This Model ('the Model') has been constructed for a specific purpose and is not intended for distribution to third parties. Third parties who obtain copies of the Model should be aware of the following:
the Model may not be suitable for purposes, other than the specific purpose for which it was designed, and the interests of third parties may not have been anticipated;
the Model was not intended for use by third parties and may not be designed so that it can be readily operated in a correct manner by such parties;
the Model may be a development version and may not be complete or, in the event that development of the Model has concluded, material events may have occurred since completion, which are not reflected in the Model;
the Model may not have been subject to independent testing and where it has been tested, this may not provide an appropriate degree of assurance for all possible uses of the Model.

Accordingly, in the absence of written consent to the contrary, no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) is made by Deloitte \& Touche and no responsibility is taken or accepted as to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of the Model and we exclude liability thereof. In particular, no responsibility is taken or accepted and all liability is excluded for the validity of the assumptions, the accuracy of the computations or the reasonableness and achieveability of the projections comprised herein .

## NOTTINGHAMSHIRE CC WASTE MANAGEMENT BASELINE RISK ANALYSIS

RISK NPV SUMMARY

| Facility | Total Capex ( $£^{\prime} 000$ ) | Total Opex (£'000) | Total Revenue/Tax ( $£^{\prime} 000$ ) | Total risk value (£'000) | Value of risk bourne by NCC (£'000) | \% of total risk bourne by NCC <br> (\%) | Value of risk bourne by SPV (£'000) | \% of total risk bourne by SPV <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HWRCs | 451,505 | 27,449,039 | 11,354,697 | 4,285,362 | 1,485,559 | 35\% | 2,799,802 | 65\% |
| Green Waste Composting | 966,184 | 6,102,619 | 1,159,498 | 2,020,928 | 1,149,206 | 57\% | 871,722 | 43\% |
| Mixed Organic Waste Composting | 1,683,946 | 2,044,451 | 258,964 | 1,484,294 | 666,030 | 45\% | 818,264 | 55\% |
| Dry Recyclate Handling Facilities | 13,699,136 | 50,452,757 | 32,416,186 | 17,583,030 | 6,529,055 | 37\% | 11,053,975 | 63\% |
| Additional recycling/recovery | 21,049,329 | 29,613,409 | 3,948,455 | 20,030,134 | 5,663,711 | 28\% | 14,366,423 | 72\% |
| Landfill | 0 | 86,337,811 | 65,699,372 | 11,553,468 | 8,186,293 | 71\% | 3,367,175 | 29\% |
| Total | 37,850,100 | 202,000,087 | 16,561,572 | 56,957,215 | 23,679,855 | 42\% | 33,277,360 | 58\% |

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE CC WASTE MANAGEMENT BASELINE RISK ANALYSIS
HWRCs
Inputs Delay cost 1000
Risk category $\quad$ Risk $\quad$ Description of


| PLANNING STAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLanno stage | Site Acquisition Planning Rejected Planning Delays Permits Rejected Permitting Delays <br> Permiting Delay | Identitying, accessing and obtaining rights over sites for new facilities Failure to obtain planning consent for proposed sites Delays to planning process <br> Rejection of permit applications necessary for site operation <br> Delays to obtaining permits necessary for site operation | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { na } \\ & \text { naa } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 6 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 30,000 60,000 60,000 30,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 150,000 \\ & 300000 \\ & 150,000 \\ & 300000 \\ & 150,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 0 0 0 0 |
| design stage | Design change delays Output specification changes | Delays associated with changes to the design of the facilities Delays associated with changes in the output specification | ${ }_{c}^{\text {D }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & 10 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 53,877 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{45,151}^{0}$ | n/a | $\bigcirc$ | : | 3 $n / a$ | $\stackrel{30,000}{0}$ | $\stackrel{150,000}{0}$ | $\underset{0}{126,071}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| construction stage | Delays <br> Cost overruns | Delays in the construction phase <br> Cost overruns resulting from eg: archeological discoveries, ecological disasters, geotechnical problems, community disruption, 3rd party consents, utility connections, health and safety problems, contractor/sub-contractor default, 3rd party claims. | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { n/a } \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 53,877 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{45,151}^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 3/a | $\begin{gathered} 30,000 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150,000 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 126,071 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| COMMISSIONING STAGE | Delays Cost overruns | Delays attributable to eg: trialing unproven technology,construction quality assurance Cost overruns attributable to commisioning | ${ }_{\text {D }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & 1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{5,388}{0}$ | ${ }_{4,515}^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1 / a}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,000 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $50,000$ | $42,024$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| OPERATIONAL Stage | Routine operating cost overruns Plant derogation cost overruns Regulatory upgrade costs | Uplanned increase in operating and maintainence costs Unplanned capital costs resulting from plant derogation Additional capital costs attributable to regulatory change | 0 $c$ $c$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { 1006 } \\ & 10 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 53,877 \\ 53,877 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 45,151 \\ 45,151 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \text { a } \\ & \text { nala } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,072,822 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,744,904 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 0 0 |


| FINANCIAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residual value Interest rates to close | Residual value being lower than anticipated due to eg plant derogation, inadeeuate care a Increased costs associated with increased rates of interest resulting trom delays to financi | ${ }_{c}^{\text {c }}$ | $2 \%$ $1 \%$ |  | ${ }_{\text {9, }}^{4.515}$ | n/a $n / a$ | 0 | $0$ | n/a n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Increased capital cosis atributable to changes in VAT rates | c | 3\% | 13,469 | 11,288 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Taxation (Genera) | Increased tax costs by Contractor | - | n/a | , | 0 | 2\% | 1,214,564 | 548.981 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Insurance | Additional costs assoicated with obtaining insurance | c | 2\% | 10,775 | 9,030 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Landifil tax rate | Additional landifill tax cossts resulting trom rate increases greater than anticipated | E | n/a | , | O | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Landifill tax quantity | Additional landilil axa costs resulting trom contractor non-pertormance | E | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,642,484 |
|  | Incineration tax | Additional tax burden resulting from new waste tax | E | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,0 |
|  | Tradable permists rate | Additional coststrevenues resulting trom the rate of tradable permits being higher than anti | E | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.592,149 |
|  | Tradable permits quantity Inflation | Additional costs resulting from contractor non-performance Changes to the general rate of inflation | ${ }_{\text {E }}^{\text {c }}$ | \% | $\underset{\text { 13,469 }}{0}$ | $\underset{\substack{0 \\ 11,288}}{ }$ | n/a $n / a$ | : | : | noa | : | : | : | 398,037 |
| REGULATORY ISSUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Changes in law Regulatory compliance | General changes in law impact the project Breach of regulations | ${ }_{0}^{\circ}$ | 5\% | $\begin{gathered} 26,939 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 22,575 | n/a <br> $5 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 3,036,411 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1,372,452}{0}$ | n/a | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |




NOTTINGHAMSHIRE CC WASTE MANAGEMENT BASELINE RISK ANALYSIS
Green Waste Composting

| Delay cost 25000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk category | Risk | Description of risk |  | CAPEX Risk CAPEX risk as percentage CAPEX | $\begin{gathered} \text { CAPEX cost } \\ \text { Of risk } \end{gathered}$ | NPV of CAPEX cost of risk | OPEX risk OPEX risk as percentage of total OPEX total OPEX | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OPEX cost } \\ & \text { of risk } \end{aligned}$ | NPV of OPEX cost of risk |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Each Delay } \\ & \text { risk } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Delay } \\ & \text { risk } \end{aligned}$ | Delay risk NPV | External risk External risk NPV |
| PLanning stage | Site Acquisition Planning Rejected Planning Delays Permits Rejected Permitting Delays Permituing Delay | Identifying, accessing and obtaining rights over sites for new facilities Failure to obtain planning consent for proposed sites Delays to planning process <br> Rejection of permit applications necessary for site operation Delays to obtaining permits necessary for site operation | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 6 \\ & 3 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75,000 \\ & 110000 \\ & 75,000 \\ & 150,000 \\ & 75,000 \end{aligned}$ | 75,000 1550,000 75000 110.000 75,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 70.696 \\ & 141.39 \\ & 10.96 \\ & 141,93 \\ & 70,996 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |
| design stage | Design change delays Output specification changes | Delays associated with changes to the design of the facilities Delays associated with changes in the output specification | ${ }_{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { n/a } \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 102,500 \end{gathered}$ | 96,618 | n/a n/a | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ n / a \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{75,000}{0}$ | $\stackrel{75,000}{0}$ | $\underset{0}{70,696}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| construction stage | Delays <br> Cost overruns | Delays in the construction phase <br> Cost overruns resulting from eg: archeological discoveries, ecological disasters, geotechnical problems, community disruption, 3rd party consents, utility connections, health and safety problems, contractor/sub-contractor default, 3rd party claims. | ${ }_{\text {D }}^{\text {c }}$ | n/a $10 \%$ | ${ }_{102,500}^{0}$ | ${ }_{96,618}^{0}$ | n/a $n / a$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ n / a \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{75,000}{0}$ | $\stackrel{75000}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { co,696 }}{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| COMMISSIIONING STAGE | Delays <br> Cost overruns | Delays attributable to eg: trialing unproven technology,construction quality assurance Cost overruns attributable to commisioning | D | n/a | ${ }_{\text {10,250 }}$ | ${ }_{9,662}^{0}$ | n/a $n / a$ | : | $\bigcirc$ | n/a | $\xrightarrow{25,000}$ | $\stackrel{25000}{0}$ | $\stackrel{23,565}{0}$ | : |
| operational stage | Routine operating cost overruns Plant derogation cost overruns Regulatory upgrade costs | Uplanned increase in operating and maintainence costs Unplanned capital costs resulting from plant derogation Additional capital costs attributable to regulatory change | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & c \\ & c \\ & c \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { now } \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 102,50 \\ & 1020.500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 06,618 \\ 96.618 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & n / a \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,388,296 \\ \substack{1 \\ 0 \\ 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 610,262 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| FINANCIAL | Residual value <br> VAT <br> Taxation (General) <br> Insurance <br> Land in tax rate <br> Landfill tax quantity <br> Incineration tax <br> Tradable permits rat <br> Tradable permits quantity Inflation | Residual value being lower than anticipated due to eg plant derogation, inadequate care a Increased costs associated with increased rates of interest re Increased capital costs attributable to changes in VAT rates <br> Increased tax costs by Contractor <br> Additional costs assoicated with obtaining insurance <br> Additional landfill tax costs resulting from rate increases greater than anticipated <br> Additional landfill tax costs resulting from contractor non-performance <br> Additional tax burden resulting from new waste tax <br> Additional costs/revenues resulting from the rate of tradable permits being higher than anti Additional costs resulting from contractor non-performance <br> Changes to the general rate of inflation | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & C \\ & C \\ & C \\ & C \\ & E \\ & E \\ & E \\ & E \\ & E \\ & E \\ & C \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 10,250 \\ 25,625 \\ 20,500 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 25,625 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24,152 \\ 19,324 \\ 19.324 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 24,155 \end{gathered}$ |  | 0 0 0.059 2690 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 122.052 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | n/a n/a na na na n/a n/a na na na n/a |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1,642,184 \\ \text { 1,5992,149 } \\ 3988,037 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| REGULATORY ISSUES | Changes in law Regulatory compliance | General changes in law impact the project Breach of regulations | ${ }_{0}^{\text {c }}$ | n/a | $\stackrel{51,250}{0}$ | ${ }_{\substack{48,309 \\ 0}}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {n/a }}$ | ${ }^{674,148}$ | ${ }_{\text {305,131 }}$ | n/a $n / a$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| general | Council variations Provider variations Insolvency of provider | Provider is unable to continue to provide the contracted serice due to their finacial position | $\begin{aligned} & c \\ & c \\ & c \\ & c \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 25 \% \\ \text { 20\% } \\ 25 \% \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250,250 \\ & 102,50 \\ & 256,250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 241,546 \\ & 964618 \\ & 241.546 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { naa } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | : |
|  | Recruitment and retention Employment costs | Costs associated with unplanned staff turnover levels Changes in employment costs cause cost overruns | - | $\begin{gathered} n / a a_{n} \\ n / a \end{gathered}$ | : | : | 5\% $5 \%$ | 674,148 674,148 | 305,131 305131 | n/a | : | : |  |  |
|  | Composition of waste Householder behaviour Demand risk Revenue generation Third party claims <br> third party clamis | Risk that waste composition will be less valuable than anticipated Risk that segregated waste will be more contaminated than anticipated Risk that waste quantities will be less than anticipated Risk that recyclate value will be less than anticipated <br> Risk of claims made by mirid paries due to activities of the contrator | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,348,296 \\ & 1,348,296 \\ & 1,348,296 \\ & 1,288,081 \\ & 674,148 \end{aligned}$ | 610,262 610,262 610,262 599749 305,131 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |



|  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ \substack{0 \% \\ \text { ond } \\ \text { ons } \\ 250} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | ¿ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \substack{\text { roro } \\ 12,64} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (20.86 |  | $\substack{\text { 7000 } \\ 9.60}$ | $\times$ |  | 0.68 | ${ }^{7} 0$ |  |
| (20.06 | $\underset{\substack{\text { 25\% }}}{\substack{\text { 25\% }}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{17.64 \\ 20.155}}$ |  | $\times$ | : | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { che }}}_{\substack{17,64 \\ 24.15}}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{25 \%}}^{\substack{25 \%}}$ |  |  | $\times$ | : |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} 102020 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\times}{\times}$ | : |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | * |  |  | Included in Landfill Potential $2.5 \%$ increase in landfill tax Not applicable Potential $10 \%$ variance in Tradable Permit revenues Potential $2.5 \%$ increase in Tradable Permit quantities |
| $\substack{\text { amas } \\ \text { ancial }}$ | $\underbrace{\text { cosem }}_{\substack{\text { som } \\ \text { com }}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{2.155 \\ \text { aposis }}}$ |  | $\times$ | $\xrightarrow{12007}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{12077 \\ 30,513}}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 241,546 \\ 96,618 \\ 241,546 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {9,6e2 }}^{0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cioraid |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Recyclate revenues |

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE CC WASTE MANAGEMENT BASELINE RISK ANALYSIS
Mixed Organic Waste Composting
Inputs
Risk category $\quad$ Risk $\quad$ Description of


| PLANNING STAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Site Acquisition | Idenitiving, accessing and obtaining rights over sites for new facilities | D | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 6 | 150,000 | 150,000 | ${ }^{111,627}$ |  |
|  | Planning Rejected | Failure to obtain planning consent tor proposed sites | D | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 12 | ${ }^{300,000}$ | 300,000 | 223,254 | 0 |
|  | ${ }^{\text {Planning Delays }}$ | Delays toplanning process | D | n/a | 0 | O | na | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | 75,000 | 75,000 | 55,814 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | ${ }^{\text {Pemmis }}$ Permiting Deeleays | Delays to obtaining permits neesessary tor site operation | ${ }_{\text {D }}$ | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{3}^{12}$ | 75000 | 75,000 | ${ }_{55,814}^{23,24}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Outpu specification changes | Delays associated with changes in the output specification | c | 10\% | 226,282 | 6,395 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONSTRUCTION STAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| commissioning stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Delays <br> Cost overruns | Delays attributable to eg: trialing unproven technology, construction quality assurance Cost overruns attributable to commisioning | D c | ¢ $\begin{gathered}\text { n/a } \\ 5 \%\end{gathered}$ | $\underset{113,141}{0}$ | 84,197 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | : | - | 3 $n / a$ | 75,000 | $\stackrel{5}{5}, 000$ | $\stackrel{55,814}{5}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| OPERATIONAL Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plant derogation cost overruns | Unplanned capital costs resulting from plant derogation | c | 25\% | 565,704 | 420,987 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | \% |
|  | Regulatory upgrade costs | Additional capital costs atributable to regulatory change | c | 10\% | 226,282 | 168,395 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |


| FINANCIAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residual value | Residual value being lower than anticipated due to eg plant derogation, inadecuate care a Increased costs associated with increased rates of interest resulting trom delays to financi | ${ }_{c}^{\text {c }}$ | $2 \%$ $1 \%$ | ${ }_{\substack{45,256 \\ 22,628}}$ | 33,679 16.839 | n/a $n / a$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | : | n/a $n / a$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 |
|  |  | Increaseed capital costs attributable to changes in VAT rates | c | ${ }_{3 \%}$ | ${ }_{56,570}$ | 42,099 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Taxation (Genera) | Increased tax costs by Contractor | - | n/a | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 2\% | 108,734 | 0.889 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Insurance | Additional costs assoicated with obtaining insurance | c | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | 45,256 | 33,679 | n/a | 0 | , | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Landifill tax rate | Additional landifil tax cossts restuting trom rate increases greater than anticipated | E | n/a | \% | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Lendifl tax uuantily | Additional landifill lax costs resulting trom contractor non-pertormance Additional tax burden resuling trom new waste tax | ${ }_{\text {E }}^{\text {E }}$ | n/a <br> $n / a$ | 0 | : | n/a $n / a$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | n/a | : | : | 0 | ${ }^{656,994}$ |
|  | Tradabie permits rate | Additional cossistrevenuesus sesulting trom the reate of tradable permits being higher than anti | E | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 796,075 |
|  | Tradable permits quantiy | Additional costs resulting trom contractor non-pertormance | E | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 。 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 159,215 |
|  | Inflation | Changes to the generat rate of iflation | c | ${ }^{3} \%$ | 56.570 | 42,099 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| REGULATORY ISSUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| general | Council variations Provider variations Insolvency of provider | Provider is unable to continue to provide the contracted senvice due to their finacial postion | c $c$ $c$ | 25\% 10\% 25\% | 565,704 <br> 226,282 <br> 565,704 | $\begin{aligned} & 420,987 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 168,395 \\ 42,987 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | n/a $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a }\end{aligned}$ | $0$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | n/a $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & n / a\end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 | 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recruitment and retention Employment costs | Costs associated with unplanned staff turnover levels Changes in employment costs cause cost overruns | - | n/a |  |  | ${ }^{5 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 271,836 \\ & 271,836 \end{aligned}$ | 102,223 102.223 | n/a $\begin{gathered}\text { n/a } \\ \text { na }\end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Composition of waste Householder behaviour Demand risk Revenue generation Third party claims | Risk that waste composition will be less valuable than anticipated Risk that segregated waste will be more contaminated than anticipated Risk that waste quantities will be less than anticipated Risk that recyclate value will be less than anticipated <br> f the contrator | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% 6 \\ & 1006 \\ & 1006 \\ & 50 \% \\ & 50 \% \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 543,671 \\ & 543,671 \\ & 543,671 \\ & 344,325 \\ & 271,836 \end{aligned}$ | 204,445 204,445 204445 1299482 102,223 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { na } \\ & \text { na } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |



NOTTINGHAMSHIRE CC WASTE MANAGEMENT BASELINE RISK ANALYSIS

## Dry Recyclate Handling Facilities





NOTTINGHAMSHIRE CC WASTE MANAGEMENT BASELINE RISK ANALYSIS
Additional Recycling and Recovery Facilities

| Inputs Delay cost 50000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk category | Risk | Description of risk | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capitalal (C), } \\ \text { operational, } \\ \text { (o), Defay (D), } \\ \text { Exxemal Cost Cost } \\ \text { (E) } \end{gathered}$ | CAPEX Risk CAPEX risk as perentage of oftan CAPEX | CAPEX cost <br> of risk | NPV of CAPEX cost of risk | OPEX risk OPEX risk as percentage of total OPEX | OPEX cost <br> of risk | NPV of OPEX cost of risk | Delay risk Expected length of (months) | Each Delay <br> risk | Total Delay <br> risk | Nelay ${ }_{\text {disk }}$ | External risk External risk NPV |
| PLANNING StAGE | Site Acquisition Planning Rejected Planning Delays Permitting Delays | Identifying, accessing and obtaining rights over sites for new facilities Failure to obtain planning consent for proposed sites Delays to planning process <br> Rejection of permit applications necessary for site operation Delays to <br> Delays to obtaining permits necessary for site operation | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n=a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { naa } \\ & \text { naa } \\ & \text { na } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 18 \\ & 6 \\ & 24 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | 600,000 900,000 300,000 1,200,000 300,000 | 600,000 900,000 300,000 1,200,000 300,000 | 446,509 669,763 223,254 893,018 223,254 223,25 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| design stage | Design change delays Output specification changes | Delays associated with changes to the design of the facilities Delays associated with changes in the output specification | ${ }_{c}^{\text {c }}$ | n/a | 5,657,041 | $\underset{4,209,866}{0}$ | n/a | : | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{6}{\text { n/a }}$ | $\underset{\substack{300,000}}{0}$ | $\underset{0}{300,000}$ | $\underset{\substack{223,254 \\ 0}}{\text { chen }}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Construction stage | Delays <br> Cost overruns | Delays in the construction phase <br> Cost overruns resulting from eg: archeological discoveries, ecological disasters, geotechnical problems, community disruption, 3rd party consents, utility connections, health and safety problems, contractor/sub-contractor default, 3rd party claims. | n ${ }^{D}$ | $\begin{gathered} n / a \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{5,657,041}$ | $\underset{4,20,866}{0}$ | n/a $n / a$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ n / a \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{0}{300000}$ | $\underset{0}{300,000}$ | $\underset{0}{223,254}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Commissioning stage | Delays <br> Cost overruns | Delays attributable to eg: trialing unproven technology,construction quality assurance Cost overruns attributable to commisioning | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & C \\ & C \end{aligned}$ | 5\%/ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 1,414,260 \end{gathered}$ | $1,052,466$ | n/a | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\stackrel{6}{\text { n/a }}$ | $\stackrel{300,000}{0}$ | $\stackrel{300,000}{0}$ | $\underset{\substack{223,254 \\ 0}}{\text { chen }}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| operational stage | Routine operating cost overruns Plant derogation cost overruns Regulatory upgrade costs | Uplanned increase in operating and maintainence costs Unplanned capital costs resulting from plant derogation Additional capital costs attributable to regulatory change | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & c \\ & c \\ & c \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \substack{500 \\ 25 \%} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { 14,122,63 } \\ 7,071,301 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 10,524,665 \\ 5,262,332 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,935,531 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,40,352 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { naa } \\ & \text { na/ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| FINANCIAL | Residual value <br> Interest rates to close <br> VAT <br> Taxation (General) <br> Insurance <br> Landifill tax rate <br> Landfill tax quantity <br> Incineration tax <br> Tradable permits rate <br> Inflation | Residual value being lower than anticipated due to eg plant derogation, inadequate care a Increased costs associated with increased rates of interest re Increased capital costs atributabile to changes in VAT rates <br> Increased tax costs by Contractor <br> Additional costs assoicated with obtaining insurance <br> Additional landfill tax costs resulting from rate increases greater than anticipated <br> Additional landfill tax costs resulting from contractor non-performance <br> Additional tax burden resulting from new waste tax <br> Additional costs/revenues resulting from the rate of tradable permits being higher than anti <br> Additional costs resulting from contractor non-performance <br> Changes to the general rate of inflation | $\begin{aligned} & c \\ & c \\ & c \\ & c \\ & c \\ & C \\ & E \\ & E \\ & E \\ & E \\ & E \\ & C \end{aligned}$ |  | 565,704 282885 707,130 565,704 0 0 0 0 0 0 707,130 | $\begin{gathered} 420,987 \\ \begin{array}{c} 20,49 \\ 526,233 \\ \text { 523 } \\ 420,987 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 526,233 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1,594,842 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 002 \\ 592.268 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3,284,969 \\ 1,048,298 \\ 796,075 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| REGULATORY ISSUES | Changes in law Regulatory compliance | General changes in law impact the project Breach of regulations | ${ }_{0}^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {10\% }}^{\text {n/a }}$ | $\underset{0}{2,888,521}$ | $\underset{0}{2,104,933}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{7,974,212}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,961,341 \end{gathered}$ | n/a $n / a$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | : | $\bigcirc$ |
| GENERAL | Council variations Provider variations Insolvency of provider | Provider is unable to continue to provide the contracted service due to their finacial position | $\begin{aligned} & c \\ & c \\ & c \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & \substack{25 \% \\ 5 \% \%} \end{aligned}$ | 7,071,301 1,414,260 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,262,332 \\ & 5,262,332 \\ & 1,052,466 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Recruitment and retention Employment costs | Costs associated with unplanned staff turnover levels Changes in employment costs cause cost overruns | : | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ! | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ | 3,987,106 3,987,106 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,480,670 \\ & 1,480,670 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | : | : | : | ! |
|  | Composition of waste Householder behaviour Demand risk Revenue generation Third party claims <br> thirc pary claims | Risk that waste composition will be less valuable than anticipated <br> Risk that segregated waste will be more contaminated than anticipated <br> Risk that waste quantities will be less than anticipated <br> Risk that recyclate value will be less than anticipated Risk of clams made by third paries due to activites of | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1006 \\ & 1006 \\ & 1006 \\ & 1206 \\ & 250 \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { na } \\ & \text { na } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |



NOTTINGHAMSHIRE CC WASTE MANAGEMENT BASELINE RISK ANALYSIS
Landfill

| Delay cost 10000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk category | Risk | Description of risk | Capital (C), Operationa (O), Delay (D), External Cost (E) | CAPEX Risk CAPEX risk as perce <br> CAPEX | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPEX } \\ & \text { of risk } \end{aligned}$ | NPV of CAPEX cost of risk | OPEX risk OPEX risk as total OPEX | Cost <br> OPEXcost of risk | NPV of OPEX cost of risk | Delay risk Expected delay (months) | Each Delay <br> risk | Total Delay <br> risk | Delay risk <br> NPV | External risk External risk NPV |
| PLANNING STAGE | Site Acquisition Planning Rejected Planning Delays Permits Rejected Permitting Delays Pernting Delay | Identiting, accessing and obtaining rights over sites $t$ to Failure to obtain planning consent tor ropoosed sites Failure to obtain planning $c$ Delays to planning process Rejection of permit appications necessary tor site operation Delays to oblaining permits necessary tor site operation | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { naa } \\ & \text { naa } \\ & \text { na } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | o | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 24 \\ & 6 \\ & 24 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 120,000 240,000 6000 240000 30,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| designstage | Design change delays Output specification changes | Delays associated with changes to the design of the facilities Delays associated with changes in the output specification | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ | n/a 10\% | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | n/a | : | $\bigcirc$ | n/a | $\stackrel{30000}{\substack{3000}}$ | $\bigcirc$ | : | $\bigcirc$ |
| construction stage | Delays <br> Cost overruns | Delays in the construction phase <br> Cost overruns resulting from eg: archeological discoveries, ecological disasters, geotechnical problems, community disruption, 3rd party consents, utility connections, health and safety problems, contractor/sub-contractor default, 3rd party claims. | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ | - ${ }_{\text {n/a }}$ | 0 | 0 | n/a $n / a$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | n/a | $\stackrel{30,000}{0}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| COMMISSIIONING STAGE | Delays <br> Cost overruns | Delays attributable to eg: trialing unproven technology, construction quality assurance Cost overruns attributable to commisioning | c | ${ }_{\text {5\% }}^{\substack{n / 2}}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | n/a | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 / a \\ n / a \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{10.000}{0}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| operational stage | Routine operating cost overruns Plant derogation cost overruns Regulatory upgrade costs | Uplanned increase in operating and maintainence costs Unplanned capital costs resulting from plant derogation Additional capital costs attributable to regulatory change | $\begin{aligned} & o \\ & c \\ & c \\ & c \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & 500 \\ & 20 \% \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,402,206 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,316,891 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| FINANCIAL | Residual value <br> VAT <br> Taxa <br> Taxation (General) <br> Insurance <br> Landfill tax rate <br> Landfill tax quantity <br> Incineration tax <br> Tradable permits rate <br> Inflation | Residual value being lower than anticipated due to eg plant derogation, inadequate care a Increased costs associated with increased rates of interest res Increased capital costs atributable to changes in VAT rates <br> Increased tax costs by Contractor <br> Additional costs assoicated with obtaining insurance <br> Additional landifil tax costs resulting from rate increases greater than anticipated <br> Additional landfill tax costs resulting from contractor non-performance <br> Additional tax burden resulting from new waste tax <br> Additional costs/revenues resulting from the rate of tradable permits being higher than anti <br> Additional costs resulting from contractor non-performance <br> Changes to the general rate of inflation | $C$ $C$ $C$ $C$ $O$ $C$ $E$ $E$ $E$ $E$ $E$ $C$ $C$ |  |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3,360,882 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1,726,756 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 30,155,039 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| REGULATORY YISUES | Changes in law Regulatory compliance | General changes in iaw impact the project Breach of regulations | ${ }_{0}^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {10\% }}^{\text {n/a }}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{5 \%}^{n / 2}$ | ${ }_{8,402,206}$ | $\stackrel{0}{4,316,891}$ | n/a $n / a$ | : | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| General | Council variations Provider variations Insolvency of provider | Provider is unable to continue to provide the contracted senvice due to their finacial position | $\begin{aligned} & c \\ & c \\ & c \\ & c \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1006 \\ & 10 \% \\ & 020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Recruitment and retention Employment cosis | Costs associated with unplanned staff turnover levels Changes in employment costs cause cost overruns | : | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | : | : | $50 \%$ $5 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.402,206 \\ & 8,402,206 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,316,891 \\ & 4,316,891 \end{aligned}$ | n/a | 0 | \% | : |  |
|  | Composition of waste Householder behaviour Demand risk Third pary ceration nird party claims | Risk that waste composition will be less valuable than anticipated Risk that segregated waste will be more contaminated than anticipated Risk that waste quantities will be less than anticipated Risk that recyclate value will be less than anticipated <br> Risk of claims made by third parties due to activities of the contuator | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & 10 \\ & 10 \\ & 5 \% \\ & 0 \% \\ & 2 \% \end{aligned}$ | 3,360,882 $1,680,441$ $8,402,206$ 3,360,882 |  | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n=a \\ & n=a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |




## 1. BASED ON OUTLINE BUSINESS CASE ("OBC"), 6 JUNE 2003

### 1.1 Method Statement for Report on Accounting Treatment under the Revised Guidance

This report has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Treasury Taskforce Technical Note No. 1 - How to Account for PFI Transactions, ("the Treasury Guidance") on the balance sheet accounting treatment of assets arising from PFI transactions. Although strictly speaking the Treasury Guidance does not cover Local Government, we believe it provides a good framework for undertaking our accounting assessment.

The Treasury Guidance sets out a framework for determining initially whether the contract should be assessed under FRS5 or SSAP 21. If the FRS5 route is to be pursued further work is then required in weighing up all the relevant factors under the following categories:
(a) Qualitative factors
(b) Quantitative factors
(c) Other factors

This work consists of reviewing the relevant sections of the current contract, the technical documentation and the financial documentation in order to form a view on where the risks will lie, and on whose balance sheet the related assets of the scheme will ultimately be disclosed.

Under the heading of 'Qualitative Indicators’ the following risks are considered:
A. Termination for operator default
B. Nature of operator's financing
C. Who determines the nature of the property

Under 'Quantitative Indicators' the Treasury Taskforce will expect to see a detailed risk analysis being developed after the ITN has been issued. Where possible the analysis should cover the following risks:
A. penalties for under-performance or non-availability;
B. potential change in relevant costs;
C. design risk; (a) failure of design against initial requirements,
(b) failure of design against changes in legislation,
(c) latent defects, and
(d) variations in maintenance and works cost,
D. obsolescence and technology;
E. demand risk;
F. third party revenues; and
G. residual value risk.

### 1.2 Separability and SSAP 21/FRS 5

Deloitte \& Touche's view, based on available information at the OBC stage, is that the scheme's proposed contract arrangements are separable as the payment mechanism will be split into four distinct elements, namely, availability, quantity, performance and incentives. It is uncertain whether the payment in respect of availability is solely in relation to the asset or includes some service elements.

On the basis that the availability payment relates to service as well as assets, in our opinion FRS 5 is the appropriate accounting standard for assessing the proposed contract arrangements rather than SSAP 21. This is because the scheme is seen as a service contract for waste management, where the capital spend on waste management is $£ 67$ million ( $\sim 10 \%$ ), of the total operator lifetime costs of $£ 648$ million.

### 1.3 Risk allocation

Qualitative indicators - our assessment of the three qualitative factors is as follows:

- termination for operator default - no evidence at this OBC stage to support an on or off balance sheet opinion for the Council;
- nature of operator's financing - no evidence at this OBC stage to support an on or off balance sheet opinion for the Council; and
- who determines the nature of the property - no evidence at this OBC stage to support an on or off balance sheet opinion for the Council.
Quantitative indicators - detailed quantitative risk analysis has not been undertaken by Deloitte \& Touche as it is not possible to quantify meaningfully potential variations in profits and losses at this OBC stage. It should be emphasised that, in the event that the qualitative indicators are not conclusive as to the accounting treatment, detailed quantitative risk analysis will be necessary.

Other indicators - the risks that could not be meaningfully quantified have been assessed based upon our understanding/expectation of how the scheme/contract will develop beyond the OBC stage. The table below illustrates where the key risks are expected to be allocated.

| Risk / Principal Factor | Borne by <br> Council | Borne by <br> Operator |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A - Penalties for under-performance |  | 4 |  |  |
| B - Potential change in relevant costs | Unknown |  |  |  |
| C - Design risk | Unknown |  |  |  |
| D - Obsolescence |  |  |  | 4 |
| E - Demand risk |  |  |  |  |
| F - Third party revenues | 4 |  |  |  |
| G - Residual value risk | Unknown |  |  |  |

Under FRS 5, demand risk is seen as the key risk and at the OBC stage this lies with the operator.

### 1.4 Summary

From our analysis it appears that the proposed contract arrangements at the OBC stage have features which suggest that the underlying assets may be accounted for as "off Balance Sheet" from the point of view of the purchaser, Nottinghamshire County Council. This is based on the proposed transfer of risks to the private sector operator at the OBC stage.

It should be noted that the assessment of risks has been prepared by Deloitte \& Touche, following discussions with the Council. As the scheme/contract arrangements develop, it is the role of the Council's external auditors to consider this and comment on whether the view on the proposed accounting treatment is appropriate.

This Appendix 10 is part of the Outline Business Case (OBC) for Nottinghamshire County Council's Waste Management PFI project and should not be referred to in isolation from the rest of the OBC.

## APPENDIX 11

DRAFT TERMS AND CONDITIONS ADDITIONAL TO SOPC

| Term | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contract period | 25 years as presently drafted. Will consider shorter period for some facilities |
| Indexation | Assumes RPI and benchmarking. May need to consider a more sophisticated basket of indices if better value for money |
| Services | The contracts are being let in packages and it is possible that a Bidder may be awarded (for example) a contract for the management for the HWRC's only. This element does not attract PFI credits and it may be unsuitable to use a form of contract as complex as SoPC for such a relationship. Position reserved in documents. <br> If the contract is let to a single provider (all but one are bidding for all services) it will nevertheless distinguish the types of services since in parts different considerations apply to each. To be clear, however, there will not be a separability problem since the assets and services are not decoupled or distinguished |
| City and County | It has not been finally decided whether a single (tripartite) contact will be let to which the City and County will both be parties or whether a it will be preferable for the County to let the contract and "back to back" with the City or City and County each let a contract with a partnering type umbrella agreement <br> This largely depends on whether credits are available, the nature of the successful bid and the best practical arrangement. The former is currently proposed |
| The District Councils | By virtue of the EPA the Districts have to take their waste to wherever the County tells them. However they are entitled to withhold waste for recycling and in any event the EPA does not give a power to the County to determine how the waste should be presented. <br> Accordingly an agreement has been drafted (and agreed in principle) between the County and the Districts confirming that they will deliver all their waste (ie not withhold recyclables) and deliver it in such a way as to meet the MRF/ Recycling Facility specification. This is to give the Bidders sufficient comfort to take on at least some demand risk |
| Exclusivity | Ideally all demand risk will be placed with the successful bidder. It is recognised that in order to manage and accept this risk the Bidder will probably require exclusivity to the |


|  | particular waste stream applicable to his <br> facilities/services. This is not conceded but is <br> acknowledged |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capacity | The contract will specify the capacity of the <br> sites which must be made Available |
| Handling of Waste | This clause is important because it specifies <br> that no Recyclable Material may re-enter the <br> general waste stream, effectively putting an <br> onus on the Contactor to secure a market |
| Diversion | There will be circumstances when waste has <br> to be diverted from the Facility (eg during <br> planned shut down for PPM) and the onus is <br> on the Contractor to ensure that the Services <br> remain available during that time. In practical <br> terms this will mean diversion of collection |
| vehicles which will have a cost to the |  |
| Collection Authorities (to be met by the |  |
| Contractor). If any diversion results in waste |  |
| taken to landfill the landfill tax must be paid |  |
| by the Contractor and the loss of any trading |  |
| opportunities for the permits indemnified |  |$|$


[^0]:    NB this also comprises a requirement for an absolute annual reduction in MSW to landfill from 2007

